Langlands Functoriality and the Jacquet-Langlands Correspondence

Abhijit S. Mudigonda

October 23^{rd} , 2023

1 Motivation: The Langlands Programme

The Langlands programme, broadly construed, has two main parts: reciprocity and functoriality.

1.1 Reciprocity

Reciprocity predicts relationships between different types of representations ("Galois" and "automorphic") attached to a given (connected reductive) group.

Langlands reciprocity for $G = GL_n(\mathbb{Q})$ predicts:

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Cuspidal, algebraic, automorphic} \\ \text{representations of } GL_n(\mathbb{Q}) \end{array} \right\} \leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Compatible families of geometric Galois} \\ \text{representations } \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/Q) {\longrightarrow} \operatorname{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}) \end{array} \right\}$

A cuspidal automorphic representation is an irreducible subrepresentation π of $L^2(G(\mathbb{Q})G(\mathbb{A}))$ such that every $f \in \pi$ satisfies some vanishing condition. Algebraic is some "geometric" condition at the places at infinity. It generalizes the notion of "algebraic Hecke character", which is the n = 1case.

Note that this quotient is locally compact, so we can define a (right) Haar measure on the quotient with respect to which integration makes sense.

On the Galois side, **compatible** means that we get a family of representations for every prime ℓ which are all related in some way, and **geometric** means that locally, the representation is unramified at all but finitely many places and it is de Rham at ℓ .

Example 1. The Tate modules of an elliptic curve for different ℓ are maps

$$\rho_{\ell} \colon \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}),$$

but as long as $p \nmid \ell$, tr Frob_p = a_p and det Frob_p = p, independently of ℓ . Usually, the trace and the determinant together determine a two-dimensional matrix up to conjugaacy. There's a bit of a wrinkle here because these representations are over different fields, but nevertheless this suggests some sort of a relationship between the representations associated to different ℓ .

Let's say a little bit more about the quotient $L^2(G(\mathbb{Q})\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$. For a Lie group H, the decomposition of $L^2(H)$ into irreducible representations looks very different when H is compact than when H is not. When H is compact, all the irreducible unitary representations are finite-dimensional, and the Peter-Weyl theorem gives a direct sum decomposition

$$L^2(H) \cong \bigoplus_{\pi \text{ unitary irrep}} \pi^{\dim \pi}.$$

This should not be surprising, since compact groups are basically finite groups with more analysis.

However, if H is not compact, this picture breaks down completely! Unitary representations of non-compact G are not generally finite-dimensional, and there may be parts of the decomposition of $L^2(G)$ which are direct integrals instead of direct sums.

Example 2. A function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$ has a Fourier decomposition

$$f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(y) e^{2\pi i x y} dx.$$

Here, the characters $\chi_y(x) := e^{2\pi i x y}$ are the unitary representations of the locally compact abelian group \mathbb{R} .

Roughly, representations appearing in direct sums are known as **discrete series** representations, and those in direct integrals are known as **principal series** representations. There are also "limit of discrete series" representations, which I won't discuss much here.

Example 3. If $G = \operatorname{GL}_2$, the discrete series representations appearing in $L^2(G)$ are things we understand – they correspond to modular forms of weight $\geq 2!$ The limit of discrete series representations are forms of weight 1. The principal series representations correspond to Maass forms. For $G = \operatorname{GL}_2$, we can more or less prove reciprocity for discrete series representations.

1.2 Functoriality and the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence

Functoriality describes maps between representations that arise from maps between groups. Given a group G, we can associate to it an *L*-group, denoted ${}^{L}G$. For our purposes, the *L*-group is some group which depends only on the $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -points of G.

Langlands functoriality predicts that given (nice) groups G and G', maps

$$\phi\colon {}^{L}G\longrightarrow {}^{L}G'$$

should lift to maps sending automorphic representations of G to automorphic representations of G'. The Jacquet-Langlands correspondence is an example of Langlands functoriality for $G = GL_2$, and was historically one of the motivating examples for functoriality in general.

Definition 4. A form of an algebraic group G/\mathbb{Q} is another group G'/\mathbb{Q} such that there exists a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -isomorphism ϕ between G and G'.

Definition 5. Let G' be a form of G and $\phi: G \to G'$ a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -isomorphism. The group G' is an inner form of G if the action of $\phi^{-1} \circ \sigma \circ \phi$ on G is an inner automorphism for every $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$.

Exercise 6. Check that this condition is well defined i.e. that it doesn't depend on the choice of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -isomorphism ϕ .

A group and its inner form will have the same L-group. If we believe functoriality, then the identity morphism on L-groups should induce a corresponding map of automorphic representations. This is exactly what Jacquet-Langlands correspondence gives us for $G = GL_2$.

2 Quaternion algebras

Let's start with some basic definitions from noncommutative algebra. See [?,?] for proofs and details. Throughout, no field has characteristic 2.

Definition 7. A left (right) **ideal** of a ring R is a left (right) submodule of R, i.e. a subset I such that RI = I (IR = I). An ideal which is both a left and right ideal is said to be a **two-sided ideal**. The ring R is **simple** if it has no proper two-sided ideals.

Proposition 8. The center of a simple ring is a field, so simple rings are simple algebras.

Definition 9. A \mathbb{Q} -algebra is called **central** if its center is \mathbb{Q} . A quaternion algebra (over a field K) is a central simple K-algebra of dimension 4.

Example 10. Here are some examples of quaternion algebras.

- $M_2(K)$ is a 4-dimensional CSA for every K;
- The usual quaternions, \mathbb{H} , are a quaternion algebra over \mathbb{R} ;

Proposition 11. The K-algebra

$$\binom{a,b}{K} := \{K + Ki + Kj + Kij | i^2 = a, j^2 = b, ij = -ji\}$$

is a quaternion algebra, and every quaternion algebra over K can be expressed in this form.

Example 12. Many common quaternion algebras can be expressed in this form.

• $M_2(K) = \binom{1,1}{K}$. To see this, take

$$i := \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, j := \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

• $\mathbb{H} = \begin{pmatrix} -1, -1 \\ \mathbb{R} \end{pmatrix}$.

Definition 13. The reduced norm of an element $x = x_0 + x_1i + x_2j + x_3ij \in {a,b \choose \mathbb{Q}}$ is defined as

$$Nm(x) := (x_0 + x_1i + x_2j + x_3ij)(x_0 - x_1i - x_2j - x_3ij) = x_0^2 + ax_1^2 + bx_2^2 - abx_3^2.$$

Proposition 14. The reduced norm is multiplicative.

Example 15. Here are some norms.

- The norm on $M_2(K)$ is the determinant.
- The norm on \mathbb{H} is the usual norm on quaternions: $Nm(x_0+x_1i+x_2j+x_3k) = x_0^2+x_1^2+x_2^2+x_3^2$.

Proposition 16. Let K be a field.

- 1. If K is algebraically closed, the only quaternion algebra over K is $M_2(K)$.
- 2. If K is a local field, there are two quaternion algebras over K: $M_2(K)$, and a division algebra.

Let B/\mathbb{Q} be a quaternion algebra over the rationals (or any number field. Proposition 16 tells us that for any place v of \mathbb{Q} , $B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_v$ is either $M_2(\mathbb{Q}_v)$ or the unique division algebra above \mathbb{Q}_v . **Definition 17.** We say that B is split at a place v if $B \otimes \mathbb{Q}_v \cong M_2(\mathbb{Q}_v)$, and ramified if $B \otimes \mathbb{Q}_v$ is a division algebra.

Proposition 18. Let B/\mathbb{Q} be a quaternion algebra.

- 1. The set S of places at which B/\mathbb{Q} is ramified is finite;
- 2. The cardinality of S is even;
- 3. The set S determines B up to isomorphism.

Definition 19. The product of the primes at which B/\mathbb{Q} ramifies is called the **discriminant** of *B*.

Definition 20. A quaternion algebra is **definite** if it is ramified at infinity and **indefinite** otherwise.

Remark. The theory of quaternion algebras over \mathbb{Q} parallels the theory of quadratic fields over \mathbb{Q} . The fact that the cardinality of S is even is more or less equivalent to quadratic reciprocity! Definite quaternion algebras are analogous to imaginary quadratic fields, and indefinite quaternion algebras to real quadratic fields.

Proposition 21. Let B/\mathbb{Q} be a quaternion algebra. Then, B^{\times} is an inner form for $GL_2\mathbb{Q}$.

Proof. This follows from the first part of Proposition 16.

The converse is actually also true, but I won't discuss it during this talk.

By Proposition 21, if we believe functoriality, then cuspidal automorphic forms for B^{\times} ought to relate somehow to cuspidal automorphic forms for $\operatorname{GL}_2\mathbb{Q}$ (i.e. cusp newforms). Before we can state our theorem, we need a few more definitions.

Definition 22. An order of B/\mathbb{Q} is a \mathbb{Z} -lattice of B which is also a ring. A maximal order of B is a maximal order.

Remark. It's not obvious that orders exist, because in this regime, the set of integral elements don't form a ring!

Proposition 23. Maximal orders in B/\mathbb{Q}_p are conjugate to $M_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$.

If B is split at a place, then $B \hookrightarrow B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_v \cong M_2(\mathbb{Q}_v)$. If \mathcal{O}_0 is a maximal order, then $\mathcal{O}_0 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_v$. The latter is still an order: it's clearly a ring, and it's a lattice because as a \mathbb{Z} -module $\mathcal{O}_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Proposition 24. Fix a positive integer N and quaternion algebra B/\mathbb{Q} . For any maximal order $\mathcal{O}_0(1)$, there is an injection

$$\iota_N \colon \mathcal{O}_0(1) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_0(1) \otimes \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{Z}_N \cong M_2(\mathbb{Z}_N)$$

In what follows, we fix p and write $\mathcal{O} := \mathcal{O}_0(N)$.

Definition 25. A right fractional ideal I of \mathcal{O} is **invertible** if there exists a left fractional ideal J such that $JI = \mathcal{O}$. In this case, we write $I^{-1} := J$.

Definition 26. Two right ideals I and J are in the same ideal class if there exists $x \in B^{\times}$ such that I = xJ.

The ideals I and J are in the same ideal class if and only if they are isomorphic as right \mathcal{O} -modules, so this is an equivalence relation.

Definition 27. The right class set of \mathcal{O} is the set of ideal classes of invertible right ideals. We denote it by $\operatorname{Cl}\mathcal{O}$

This set turns out to be finite and independent of the choice of Eichler order (it depends only on the level).

2.1 Modular forms over a definite quaternion algebra

I've just subjected you to 20 minutes of noncommutative algebra. All of that was for what's coming up now.

Definition 28. Let B/\mathbb{Q} be a quaternion algebra. A quaternionic modular form of weight 2 and level N over a definite quaternion algebra is a function

$$f: \operatorname{Cl} \mathcal{O}_0(N) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

A quaternionic cusp form is a quaternionic modular form which is orthogonal (with respect to the usual Hermitian inner product on \mathbb{C}^n) to space of constant functions.

We write $M_2^B(N)$ for the space of modular forms and $S_2^B(N)$ for the space of cusp forms.

Theorem 29 (Eichler-Shimizu-Jacquet-Langlands, very special case). Let B be a quaternion algebra over \mathbb{Q} with discriminant p. There is an isomorphism of Hecke modules

$$S_2^B(1) \cong S_2(p),$$

where $S_2(p) := S_2(\Gamma_0(p))$.