

# On Seven Conjectures of Kedlaya and Medvedovsky

Noah Taylor\*

January 6, 2020

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\bar{\rho} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(2, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_2)$  be a finite-image two-dimensional mod-2 Galois representation. We say  $\bar{\rho}$  is dihedral if the image of  $\pi \circ \bar{\rho} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}(2, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_2)$  is isomorphic to a finite dihedral group, where  $\pi : \mathrm{GL}(2) \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}(2)$  is the usual projection. We say  $\bar{\rho}$  is modular of level  $N$  if it is the reduction mod 2 of a representation  $\rho_f$  associated to a modular eigenform  $f \in S_2(\Gamma_0(N), \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_2)$ .

We say that a characteristic 0 representation  $\rho : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_2)$  is ordinary at 2 if its restriction to the inertia at 2 is reducible. We also say an eigenform  $f$  with coefficients in  $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_2$  is ordinary if the coefficient  $a(2)$  of  $q^2$  in its  $q$ -expansion is a unit mod 2. The terminology is consistent, because by a theorem of Deligne and Fontaine, if  $\rho = \rho_f$  is modular, then  $\rho_f$  is ordinary if and only if  $f$  is ordinary.

In [KM19], Kedlaya and Medvedovsky prove that a mod 2 representation is dihedral, modular and ordinary of prime level  $N$ , then it must be the induction of a character of the class group  $\mathrm{Cl}(K)$  of a quadratic extension  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\pm N})/\mathbb{Q}$  to  $\mathbb{Q}$  [KM19, Section 5.2]. They then analyze all cases of  $N \bmod 8$  to determine how many distinct mod 2 representations arise from this construction. Finally, they conjecture lower bounds for the number of  $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_2$  eigenforms whose mod 2 representations  $\bar{\rho}_f$  are isomorphic to each of the representations obtained above [KM19, Conjecture 13]. The purpose of the current paper is to prove this conjecture, reproduced below.

We let  $\mathbb{T}_2^{\mathrm{an}}$  denote the anemic Hecke algebra inside  $\mathrm{End}(S_2(\Gamma_0(N), \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_2))$  generated as a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -algebra by the Hecke operators  $T_k$  for  $(k, 2N) = 1$ , and we let  $\mathbb{T}_2$  denote the full Hecke algebra, namely  $\mathbb{T}_2 = \mathbb{T}_2^{\mathrm{an}}[T_2, U_N]$ . Maximal ideals  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $\mathbb{T}_2^{\mathrm{an}}$  correspond to classes of mod 2 eigenforms via  $\mathbb{T}_2^{\mathrm{an}} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_2^{\mathrm{an}}/\mathfrak{m} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_2$ , where the image of  $T_k$  in the quotient is mapped to the coefficient  $a(k)$  of the form. Thus maximal ideals of  $\mathbb{T}_2^{\mathrm{an}}$  correspond to modular representations via the Eichler-Shimura construction. We say that  $\mathfrak{m}$  is  $K$ -dihedral if the representation corresponding to  $\mathfrak{m}$  is dihedral in the above sense, and the quadratic extension from which it is an induction is  $K$ . (Notice that given  $\bar{\rho}$ ,  $K$  is uniquely determined as the quadratic extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  inside the fixed field of the kernel of  $\bar{\rho}$  that is ramified at all primes at which  $\bar{\rho}$  is ramified.) We write  $S_2(N)_{\mathfrak{m}}$  to denote the space of all mod 2 modular forms on which  $\mathfrak{m}$  acts nilpotently.

**Theorem 1.1** ([KM19, Conjecture 13]). *Let  $N$  be an odd prime and  $\mathfrak{m}$  a maximal ideal of  $\mathbb{T}_2^{\mathrm{an}}(N)$ .*

1. *Suppose  $N \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ .*

(a) *If  $\mathfrak{m}$  is  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{N})$ -dihedral, then  $\dim S_2(N)_{\mathfrak{m}} \geq 4$ .*

(b) *If  $\mathfrak{m}$  is  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-N})$ -dihedral, then  $\dim S_2(N)_{\mathfrak{m}} \geq h(-N)^{\mathrm{even}}$ .*

---

\*The author is supported in part by NSF Grant DMS-1701703.

(c) If  $\mathfrak{m}$  is reducible, then  $\dim S_2(N)_{\mathfrak{m}} \geq \frac{h(-N)^{\text{even}} - 2}{2}$ .

2. Suppose  $N \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ .

(a) If  $\mathfrak{m}$  is ordinary  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{N})$ -dihedral, then  $\dim S_2(N)_{\mathfrak{m}} \geq 4$ .

(b) If  $\mathfrak{m}$  is  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-N})$ -dihedral, then  $\dim S_2(N)_{\mathfrak{m}} \geq 2$ .

3. Suppose  $N \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\pm N})$ .

(a) If  $\mathfrak{m}$  is ordinary  $K$ -dihedral, then  $\dim S_2(N)_{\mathfrak{m}} \geq 2$ .

The methods we use in proving this conjecture vary somewhat among the cases listed above. Moreover, though part 3 is listed as a single case, we break up its proof into the cases  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{N})$  and  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-N})$ . Thus we recognize [KM19, Conjecture 13] as 7 separate conjectures, explaining the title of this note.

## 1.1 Eigenspace dimension and modular exponent

There is a relation between our work and the problem of understanding the parity of the modular exponent of a modular abelian variety  $A = A_f$  as studied in [ARS12]. The problems are not exactly the same, however: the dimension of  $S_2(N)_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is greater than 1 if and only if there exists two distinct eigenforms  $f$  and  $f'$  with  $\bar{\rho}_f = \bar{\rho}_{f'} = \bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ . On the other hand, the modular degree is even only when there exists a congruence  $\pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$  between eigenforms which are not  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -conjugate, for some prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  above 2. For example, in the case  $N = 29$ , we know that  $S_2(29)$  is 2 dimensional, spanned by  $f = q + (-1 + \sqrt{2})q^2 + (1 - \sqrt{2})q^3 + \dots$  and  $f' = q + (-1 - \sqrt{2})q^2 + (1 + \sqrt{2})q^3 + \dots$ . These have the same  $\pmod{2}$  representation; in fact, they are even congruent  $\pmod{2}$ . But the corresponding quotient of  $J_0(29)$  is  $J_0(29)$  itself, which is simple, so the modular exponent of these forms is 1.

In some cases, such as when the abelian variety is an ordinary elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , the problems coincide, and thus this paper is related to (and generalizes) arguments from [CE09]. If  $A$  is a (modular) ordinary rational elliptic curve, then there is a corresponding homomorphism  $\mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $A$  has even modular degree, then there certainly exist 2-adic congruences between the modular eigenform  $f$  associated to  $A$  and other forms, and hence an eigenform  $f' \neq f$  with  $\bar{\rho}_f = \bar{\rho}_{f'}$ . Conversely, suppose that there exists such an  $f'$ . Because  $f$  has coefficients over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , the form  $f'$  cannot be a Galois conjugate of  $f$ . Thus it suffices to show that the equality  $\bar{\rho}_f = \bar{\rho}_{f'}$  can be upgraded to a congruence between  $f$  and  $f'$ . The only ambiguity arises from the coefficients of  $q^2$  and  $q^N$ . By Theorem 1.5 below, we see that the coefficient of  $q^2$  is determined up to its inverse by the  $\pmod{2}$  representation. Yet, for  $A$ , the coefficient of  $q^2$  is automatically 1 by ordinarity and rationality. We also prove in Lemma 5.1 that  $U_N$  is in the Hecke algebra  $\mathbb{T}_2^{\text{an}}$ , and thus  $f$  must be congruent to  $f'$ .

## 1.2 Reduction

Given a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $\mathbb{T}_2^{\text{an}}$ , we wish to count the dimension of the space  $\Lambda$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -module maps

$$\phi : \mathbb{T}_2 \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{F}}_2 \text{ so that } \mathfrak{m}^k(\phi|_{\mathbb{T}_2^{\text{an}}}) = 0 \text{ for some } k \geq 0$$

as an  $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_2$ -vector space, where  $\mathbb{T}_2^{\text{an}}$  acts on  $\phi$  by  $x\phi(y) = \phi(xy)$ . We know that  $\mathbb{T}_2$  and  $\mathbb{T}_2^{\text{an}}$  are finite and flat over  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ , and thus complete semilocal rings. It then follows that we can write

$$\mathbb{T}_2 = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{a} \text{ maximal}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}},$$

and a similar statement for  $\mathbb{T}_2^{\text{an}}$ . We thus study  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{an}}$  and remove the restriction that  $\mathfrak{m}$  is nilpotent.

**Proposition 1.2.** *The dimension of  $\Lambda$  equals*

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}} [k_{\mathfrak{a}} : \mathbb{F}_2] \dim_{k_{\mathfrak{a}}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}/(2),$$

where the sum runs over all maximal ideals  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $\mathbb{T}_2$  containing  $\mathfrak{m}$ , and  $k_{\mathfrak{a}}$  is the residue field corresponding to  $\mathfrak{a}$ .

*Proof.* The inclusion of  $\mathbb{T}_2^{\text{an}}$  into  $\mathbb{T}_2$  induces an inclusion  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{an}}$  into  $\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ , and so the dimension of  $\Lambda$  is the dimension of the  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_2$ -space of maps  $\phi : \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_2$ . Any such map can be split into separate maps  $\phi_{\mathfrak{a}}$ , and all  $\phi_{\mathfrak{a}}$  factor through  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}/(2)$ . So the dimension of  $\Lambda$  is

$$\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_2} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \left( \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_2 \right) = \sum_{\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}} \dim_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_2} \text{Hom}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_2} (\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}/(2), \overline{\mathbb{F}}_2) = \sum_{\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}} \dim_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_2} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}/(2) = \sum_{\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}} [k_{\mathfrak{a}} : \mathbb{F}_2] \dim_{k_{\mathfrak{a}}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}/(2).$$

□

The trivial lower bound  $\dim_{k_{\mathfrak{a}}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}/(2) \geq 1$  gives a lower bound on the dimension of  $\Lambda$ . In the case that  $\bar{\rho}$  arising from  $\mathfrak{m}$  is totally real and absolutely irreducible, we prove a better bound  $\dim_{k_{\mathfrak{a}}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}/(2) \geq 2$ . This happens when  $\mathfrak{m}$  is  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{N})$ -dihedral for  $N > 0$ . Let  $J_0(N)$  denote the Jacobian of the modular curve  $X_0(N)$ , so that  $\bar{\rho}$  appears as a subrepresentation of the two torsion points  $J_0(N)[2]$ . For some maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  containing  $\mathfrak{m}$ , let  $A = J_0(N)[\mathfrak{a}]$  be the subscheme of points that are killed by  $\mathfrak{a}$ . By the main result of [BLR91],  $A$  is the direct sum of copies of  $\bar{\rho}$ . (This holds only when  $\bar{\rho}$  is absolutely irreducible, but we cover the reducible case in 2.3 without referring to Proposition 1.3.)

**Proposition 1.3.** *If  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a maximal ideal of  $\mathbb{T}_2^{\text{an}}$  for which the corresponding representation  $\bar{\rho}$  is totally real, then for any maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $\mathbb{T}_2$  containing  $\mathfrak{m}$ , we have the inequality*

$$\dim_{k_{\mathfrak{a}}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}/(2) \geq 2 \cdot \text{multiplicity of } \bar{\rho} \text{ inside } A.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\bar{\rho}$  is a representation of the Galois group of a totally real field, we know that the points of  $A$  are all real. Since  $A$  also has a  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ -action with annihilator  $\mathfrak{a}$ ,  $A$  is a  $k_{\mathfrak{a}}$ -vector space, whose dimension is twice the multiplicity of  $\bar{\rho}$ . We prove the inequality below, from which the proposition follows quickly.

**Lemma 1.4.** *If  $W$  denotes the Witt vector functor, then*

$$\dim_{k_{\mathfrak{a}}} (A) \leq \text{rank}_{W(k_{\mathfrak{a}})} (\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}).$$

*Proof.* We follow [CE09, Section 3.2]. A proposition of Merel states that the real variety  $J_0(N)(\mathbb{R})$  is connected if  $N$  is prime [Mer96, Proposition 5]. If  $g$  is the genus of  $X_0(N)$ , then we know that  $J_0(N)(\mathbb{C}) = (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^{2g}$ , and therefore  $J_0(N)(\mathbb{R}) = (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^g$ . And we also know that

$$J_0(N)[2](\mathbb{R}) = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^g.$$

Additionally, as we know that  $\mathbb{T}_2 = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{a}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ , and all  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}$  are free  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -modules, say of rank  $g(\mathfrak{a})$ , we know that

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{a}} g(\mathfrak{a}) = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_2} (\mathbb{T}_2) = g.$$

A lemma of Mazur shows that the  $\mathfrak{a}$ -adic Tate module,  $\varprojlim J_0(N)[\mathfrak{a}^i]$ , is a  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ -module of rank 2 [Maz77, Lemma 7.7], and therefore a free  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -module of rank  $2g(\mathfrak{a})$ , so  $J_0(N)[\mathfrak{a}^\infty](\mathbb{C}) = (\mathbb{Q}_2/\mathbb{Z}_2)^{2g(\mathfrak{a})}$ . We therefore know that the 2-torsion points of this scheme are

$$J_0(N)[\mathfrak{a}^\infty, 2](\mathbb{C}) = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{2g(\mathfrak{a})}.$$

If  $\sigma$  acting on  $J_0(N)(\mathbb{C})$  denotes complex conjugation, then  $(\sigma - 1)^2 = 2 - 2\sigma$  kills all 2-torsion, and  $\sigma - 1$  itself kills all real points. So within the scheme  $J_0(N)[\mathfrak{a}^\infty, 2](\mathbb{C})$ , applying  $\sigma - 1$  once kills all real points and maps all points to real points, and so

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} J_0(N)[\mathfrak{a}^\infty, 2](\mathbb{R}) \geq \frac{1}{2} \dim_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} J_0(N)[\mathfrak{a}^\infty, 2](\mathbb{C}) = g(\mathfrak{a}).$$

But  $J_0(N)[2](\mathbb{R})$  breaks up into its  $\mathfrak{a}^\infty$  pieces,  $J_0(N)[2](\mathbb{R}) = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{a}} J_0(N)[\mathfrak{a}^\infty, 2](\mathbb{R})$ . Taking dimensions on both sides gives

$$g = \sum_{\mathfrak{a}} \dim_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} J_0(N)[\mathfrak{a}^\infty, 2](\mathbb{R}) \geq \sum_{\mathfrak{a}} g(\mathfrak{a}) = g,$$

so equality must hold everywhere.

Since all points of  $A = J_0(N)[\mathfrak{a}]$  are real, we find that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} A \leq \dim_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} J_0(N)[\mathfrak{a}^\infty, 2](\mathbb{R}) = g(\mathfrak{a}) = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}).$$

Dividing both sides by  $[k_{\mathfrak{a}} : \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}] = \text{rank}(W(k_{\mathfrak{a}})/\mathbb{Z}_2)$ , we have the result.  $\square$

Returning to the proof of Proposition 1.3, we therefore know that

$$\dim_{k_{\mathfrak{a}}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}/(2) = \dim_{W(k_{\mathfrak{a}})} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}} \geq 2 \cdot \text{multiplicity of } \bar{\rho}.$$

$\square$

For reference, we recall a theorem of Deligne that describes the characteristic 0 representation  $\rho$  restricted to the decomposition group at 2:

**Theorem 1.5** ([Edi92, Theorem 2.5]). *If  $\rho_f$  is an ordinary 2-adic representation corresponding to a weight 2 level  $\Gamma_0(N)$  form  $f$ , then  $\rho_f|_{D_2}$ , the restriction of  $\rho_f$  to the decomposition group at a prime above 2, is of the shape*

$$\rho|_{D_2} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \chi\lambda^{-1} & * \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

for  $\lambda$  the unramified character  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^\times$  taking  $\text{Frob}_2$  to the unit root of  $X^2 - a_2X + 2$ , and  $\chi$  is the 2-adic cyclotomic character.

## 2 $N \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$

### 2.1 $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{N})$

**Theorem 2.1.** *If  $N \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ , and  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a maximal ideal of  $\mathbb{T}_2^{\text{an}}(N)$  that is  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{N})$ -dihedral, then  $\dim S_2(N)_{\mathfrak{m}} \geq 4$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{N})$  and denote the fixed field of the kernel of  $\bar{\rho}$  as  $L$ . In this  $K$ , the prime (2) factors as  $\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{q}$  for distinct  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $\mathfrak{q}$ , and  $\bar{\rho}$  must be unramified at 2 so  $\text{Frob}_2$ , as a conjugacy class containing  $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and  $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ , must lie in  $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ . Moreover,  $\bar{\rho}$  must be semisimple at 2, because if  $\bar{\rho} = \text{Ind}_K^{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\chi}$  for  $\bar{\chi}$  a character of the unramified extension  $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ , then  $\bar{\rho}|_{\text{Gal}(L/K)} = \bar{\chi} \oplus \bar{\chi}^g$  where  $g \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) \setminus \text{Gal}(L/K)$ .

Theorem 1.5 and this semisimplicity statement tell us that the decomposition group at 2 in the mod 2 representation looks like  $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$ , because the cyclotomic character is always 1 mod 2. So we find that the polynomial  $\det(x \text{Id}_2 - \bar{\rho})$  has coefficients that are unramified at 2, and  $T_2$  is a root of  $P(x) := \det(x \text{Id}_2 - \bar{\rho}(\text{Frob}_2))$ . There are thus three cases: either  $P$  has no roots already in  $k := \mathbb{T}^{\text{an}}/\mathfrak{m}$ , or it has distinct roots lying in  $k$ , or it has a repeated root.

If  $P$  has no roots in  $k$ , then  $[k_{\mathfrak{a}} : k] \geq 2$  for  $\mathfrak{a}$  the extension of  $\mathfrak{m}$ , so Propositions 1.2 and 1.3 say that the dimension of the space is at least

$$[k_{\mathfrak{a}} : \mathbb{F}_2] \dim_{k_{\mathfrak{a}}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}/(2) \geq [k_{\mathfrak{a}} : k] \dim_{k_{\mathfrak{a}}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}/(2) \geq 2 \cdot 2 = 4.$$

If  $P$  has distinct roots in  $k$ , then there are at least 2 extensions of  $\mathfrak{m}$  to  $\mathbb{T}_2$ . Namely, if  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are lifts of the roots of  $P$  to  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{an}}$ , the two ideals  $\mathfrak{a}_1 = (\mathfrak{m}, T_2 - x_1)$  and  $\mathfrak{a}_2 = (\mathfrak{m}, T_2 - x_2)$  are two maximal ideals. So in this case the dimension is at least

$$[k_{\mathfrak{a}_1} : \mathbb{F}_2] \dim_{k_{\mathfrak{a}_1}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}_1}/(2) + [k_{\mathfrak{a}_2} : \mathbb{F}_2] \dim_{k_{\mathfrak{a}_2}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}_2}/(2) \geq \dim_{k_{\mathfrak{a}_1}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}_1}/(2) + \dim_{k_{\mathfrak{a}_2}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}_2}/(2) \geq 2 + 2 = 4.$$

Finally, suppose  $P$  has a double root. There is at least one maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $\mathbb{T}_2$  above  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Because we know that  $\bar{\rho}|_{D_2}$  is semisimple with determinant 1, the double root must be 1 and  $\bar{\rho}|_{D_2}$  is trivial. Then Wiese proves that since all dihedral representations arise from Katz weight 1 modular forms (as Wiese proves in [Wie04]), the multiplicity of  $\bar{\rho}$  in  $A$  is 2 [Wie07, Corollary 4.5]. In this case the dimension is at least

$$[k_{\mathfrak{a}} : \mathbb{F}_2] \dim_{k_{\mathfrak{a}}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}/(2) \geq \dim_{k_{\mathfrak{a}_1}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}_1}/(2) \geq 2 \cdot \text{multiplicity of } \bar{\rho} \geq 4.$$

□

## 2.2 $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-N})$

**Theorem 2.2.** *If  $N \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ , and  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a maximal ideal of  $\mathbb{T}_2^{\text{an}}(N)$  that is  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-N})$ -dihedral, then  $\dim S_2(N)_{\mathfrak{m}} \geq 2^e$  where  $2^e = |\text{Cl}(K)[2^{\infty}]|$ .*

*Proof.* We first recall a well-known proposition of genus theory:

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$  be an imaginary quadratic field with  $d > 0$  squarefree.*

- (a) *The  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -dimension of the 2-torsion of the class group of  $K$  is one less than the number of primes dividing the discriminant  $\Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}$ .*
- (b) *If  $d \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$  is a prime, then the 2-part of the class group of  $K$  is cyclic of order 2.*
- (c) *If  $d \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  is a prime, then the 2-part of the class group of  $K$  is cyclic of order at least 4.*

A proof of the final two parts can be found as [CE05, Proposition 4.1].

We return to the case  $N \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ . Proposition 2.3 tells us that the 2-part of the class group is cyclic so there is an unramified  $\mathbb{Z}/(2^e)$ -extension  $L'/K$ , say  $\text{Gal}(L'/K) = \langle g \rangle$  with  $g^{2^e} = \text{Id}$ . If we as before denote by  $L$  the fixed field of the kernel of  $\bar{\rho}$ , and we let  $M = L \cdot L'$ , the character  $\bar{\chi}$  of

$\text{Gal}(L/K)$  whose induction equals  $\bar{\rho}$  can be extended to a character  $\bar{\chi}' : \text{Gal}(M/K) \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{F}}_2[x]/(x^{2^e}-1)$  given by mapping  $g$  to  $x$ . This can be done because  $L \cap L' = K$ , because  $[L : K]$  is odd, say  $[L : K] = n$ , and  $[L' : K]$  is a power of 2. Then the induction of  $\bar{\chi}$  to  $\bar{\rho}$  also extends from  $\bar{\chi}'$  to  $\bar{\rho}' : \text{Gal}(M/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_2[x]/(x^{2^e}-1))$ . We will prove this representation is modular by describing a  $q$ -expansion with coefficients in  $\bar{\mathbb{Z}}_2[x]/(x^{2^e}-1)$  whose reduction mod 2 gives the desired Frobenius traces as coefficients, and proving that the expansion is modular via the embeddings of this coefficient ring into  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then by the  $q$ -expansion principle we will have the result.

Let us suppose we have chosen a  $2^e$ th root of unity  $\eta := \zeta_{2^e}$  inside  $\bar{\mathbb{Z}}_2$ . We may lift  $\bar{\chi}$  to a character  $\chi : \text{Gal}(L/K) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^{\text{ur}}$ . We may therefore also lift  $\bar{\chi}'$  to a character  $\chi' : \text{Gal}(M/K) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^{\text{ur}}[x]/(x^{2^e}-1)$ . We may tensor with  $\mathbb{Q}_2$ , and identifying  $\mathbb{Q}_2^{\text{ur}}[x]/(x^{2^e}-1)$  with  $\bigoplus_{i=0}^e \mathbb{Q}_2^{\text{ur}}(\zeta_{2^i})$  by sending  $x$  to  $\eta^{2^{e-i}}$  gives us  $e+1$  representations

$$\chi_i : \text{Gal}(M/K) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_2^{\text{ur}}(\zeta_{2^i})^\times \text{ and } \rho_i : \text{Gal}(M/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_2^{\text{ur}}(\zeta_{2^i})).$$

These are all finite image odd representations whose coefficients are algebraic and therefore may be compatibly embedded in  $\mathbb{C}$ . All twists of  $\rho_i$  are dihedral or nontrivial cyclic, and therefore all have analytic  $L$ -functions. So by the converse theorem of Weil and Langlands (see [Ser77, Theorem 1], for instance), each  $\rho_i$  corresponds to a weight 1 eigenform  $f_i$  with modulus equal to the conductor of the representation and nebentypus equal to its determinant. Here, the conductor is  $4N$  and the nebentypus is the nontrivial character of  $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ . This nebentypus, because  $K$  has discriminant  $4N$ , is the character  $\lambda_{4N} := \lambda_4 \lambda_N$  where  $\lambda_4$  and  $\lambda_N$  are the nontrivial order 2 characters of  $(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^\times$  and  $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$ ;  $\lambda_{4N}(p) = 1$  if and only if  $\text{Frob}_p$  is the identity in  $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$  if and only if  $p$  splits in  $K$ .

Each  $f_i$  is a simultaneous eigenvector for the entirety of the weight 1 Hecke algebra  $\mathbb{T}(4N)$ , with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}_2^{\text{ur}}(\zeta_{2^i})$ , so by returning to  $\mathbb{Q}_2^{\text{ur}}[x]/(x^{2^e}-1)$  we obtain a weight 1 form  $f$  with coefficients in this ring, which is therefore an eigenform by multiplicity 1 results. We can easily check that the traces of the representation  $\rho' = \text{Ind}_K^{\mathbb{Q}} \chi' : \text{Gal}(M/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_2^{\text{ur}}[x]/(x^{2^e}-1))$  correspond to the coefficients of  $f$ , and so since  $\chi'$  and therefore  $\rho$  are defined over  $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\text{ur}}[x]/(x^{2^e}-1)$ ,  $f$  also has coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\text{ur}}[x]/(x^{2^e}-1)$ .

Now we take the characteristic 0 form  $f$  and multiply by a modular form of weight 1, level  $\Gamma_1(4N)$  and nebentypus  $\chi_{4N}$  whose  $q$ -expansion is congruent to 1 mod 2. That will give us a weight 2 level  $\Gamma_0(4N)$  form whose mod 2 reduction is equal to the  $q$ -expansion of a form coming from  $\bar{\rho}'$ . We find such a form:

**Lemma 2.4.** *The  $q$ -expansion  $\sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{m^2 + Nn^2}$  describes a modular form  $g$  in  $S_1(4N, \mathbb{Z}_2, \chi_{4N})$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from properties of the Jacobi theta function  $\vartheta(\tau) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{k^2}$ , but we give a

different proof. Let  $\delta$  range over all characters of the class group  $H$  of  $K$ , or equivalently over all unramified characters of  $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/K)$ . By Weil-Langlands,  $\text{Ind}_K^{\mathbb{Q}} \delta$  as a representation of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  gives us a weight 1 modular form. The determinant of this induction is always equal to  $\chi_{K/\mathbb{Q}}$ , and the conductor is always equal to  $4N$ . For two of the characters,  $\delta$  trivial and  $\delta$  the nontrivial character of  $\text{Gal}(K(i)/K)$ ,  $\text{Ind}_K^{\mathbb{Q}} \delta$  is reducible and the weight 1 modular forms are the Eisenstein series

$$E^{\chi_{4N}, 1}(q) = L(\chi_{4N}, 0)/2 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} q^m \sum_{d \text{ odd}, d|m} (-1)^{(d-1)/2} \left( \frac{d}{N} \right)$$

and

$$E^{\chi_N, \chi_4}(q) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} q^m \sum_{d \text{ odd}, de=m} (-1)^{(d-1)/2} \left( \frac{e}{N} \right)$$

respectively. The constant term of the former is, by the class number formula, equal to  $h(-N)/2$ . Otherwise, the forms are cusp forms  $f_\delta$  with no constant term.

**Lemma 2.5.** *The  $q$ -expansion of  $f_\delta$  is given by  $f_\delta = \sum_{m \geq 1} q^m \sum_{I \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K: N(I)=m} \delta(I)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $p$  is a prime inert in  $K$ , then there is no  $I$  with  $N(I) = p$ . In the representation  $\text{Ind}_K^{\mathbb{Q}} \delta$ ,  $\text{Frob}_p$  is antidiagonal, so it has trace 0, which is therefore the Hecke eigenvalue. So for  $p$  inert in  $K$ , the coefficient is correct. If  $p = \mathfrak{p}_1 \mathfrak{p}_2$ , then  $\sum_{I \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K: N(I)=p} \delta(I) = \delta(\mathfrak{p}_1) + \delta(\mathfrak{p}_2)$ , and the trace of  $\text{Frob}_p$  in the representation is also  $\delta(\mathfrak{p}_1) + \delta(\mathfrak{p}_2)$  because the restriction of  $\text{Ind}_K^{\mathbb{Q}} \delta$  to  $G_K$  is diagonal with characters  $\delta$  and  $\delta^g$  for  $g$  a lift of the nontrivial element of  $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$  and  $\delta^g(h)$  meaning  $\delta(ghg^{-1})$ . Since all primes over  $p$  are conjugate,  $\delta^g(\mathfrak{p}_1) = \delta(\mathfrak{p}_2)$  and so the trace of  $\text{Frob}_p$  is  $\delta(\mathfrak{p}_1) + \delta(\mathfrak{p}_2)$  as we needed.

If  $p = N$ , the ideal over  $N$  is principal, and so splits completely in  $M/K$ ; on inertia invariants, therefore, its Frobenius is trivial and the coefficient of  $q^N$  is 1, as is necessary since  $\delta((\sqrt{-N})) = 1$  because  $\delta$  is a character of the class group. And if  $p = 2$ , the ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  over 2 has order 2 in the class group. The inertia subgroup for some prime over 2 in  $M$  is generated by some lift of the nontrivial element of  $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ , and the decomposition group is the product of this group with the subgroup of  $\text{Gal}(M/K)$  corresponding to the class of  $\mathfrak{p}$ . And so on inertia invariants, the eigenvalue of the decomposition group is the eigenvalue of  $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , which is  $\delta(\mathfrak{p})$ . So the coefficient for  $q^2$  is correct as well.

Finally, we can check using multiplicativity of both Hecke operators and the norm map, as well as the formula for the Hecke operators  $T_{p^k}$ , that the coefficients of  $q^m$  for composite  $m$  are as described also.  $\square$

We consider  $\sum_{\delta} f_\delta$ , cusp forms with their multiplicity (stemming from  $\delta$  and  $\delta^{-1}$  giving the same form) and the Eisenstein series once. By independence of characters, for each ideal  $I$  where  $\delta(I) = 1$  for all  $\delta$ , that is  $I$  is in the identity of the class group, the corresponding term in the sum is  $h(-N)$ , and for each other nonzero ideal  $I$ , the term vanishes in the sum. The sum is thus

$$\begin{aligned} L(\chi_{4N}, 0)/2 + h(-N) \sum_{0 \neq I=(\alpha)} q^{N(I)} &= h(-N)/2 + \frac{h(-N)}{|\mathcal{O}_K^\times|} \sum_{0 \neq \alpha = a + b\sqrt{-N} \in \mathcal{O}_K} q^{N(\alpha)} \\ &= \frac{h(-N)}{2} \left( 1 + \sum_{(0,0) \neq (a,b) \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{a^2 + Nb^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Dividing by  $h(-N)/2$  gives the required form, which we call  $g$ .  $\square$

It turns out that there is a form (not an eigenform) of level  $\Gamma_1(N)$  which lifts the Hasse invariant. It is a linear combination of the Eisenstein series  $E^{\epsilon, 1}(q)$  for  $\epsilon$  ranging over all  $2^{v_2(N)}$ -order characters of  $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}^\times$ , and has the correct nebentypus when reduced because all 2-power roots of unity are 1 mod the maximal ideal over 2 in  $\mathbb{Z}[\eta]$ . This form is described by MathOverflow user Electric Penguin in [hp].

So we take  $fg$  and reduce the coefficients mod the maximal ideal over 2 and get a form  $h \in S_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_2[x]/(x^{2^e} - 1), \Gamma_0(4N))$ . We know that  $h$  remains an eigenform because for odd primes,  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  so increasing the weight doesn't change the Hecke action on the coefficients, and for 2 increasing the weight does not change the action of  $U_2$  on  $q$ -expansions. Because  $h$  is an eigenform, if  $\mathbb{T}(4N)$  now represents the Hecke algebra acting on weight 2 forms of level  $\Gamma_0(4N)$ , we get a ring homomorphism  $\bar{\gamma}: \mathbb{T}(4N) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_2[x]/(x^{2^e} - 1)$ . The image of this map tensored with  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_2$  is the

entirety of  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_2[x]/(x^{2^e} - 1)$ : we have prime ideals of  $K$  in all elements of the class group, so if  $\mu$  is some nonzero element in the image of  $\overline{\chi}$  not equal to 1, then both  $\mu x + \mu^{-1}x^{-1}$  and  $\mu x^{-1} + \mu^{-1}x$  are in the image of  $\overline{\gamma}$ , so that

$$\mu^{-1}(\mu x^{-1} + \mu^{-1}x) + \mu(\mu x + \mu^{-1}x^{-1}) = (\mu^2 + \mu^{-2})x$$

is in the  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_2$  vector space generated by the image of  $\overline{\gamma}$ , and hence  $x$  is also. And since  $\overline{\gamma}$  is a ring homomorphism, all powers of  $x$  lie in the filled out image.

As described in [CE09, Section 3.3], we may find a representation

$$G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_2[x]/(x^{2^e} - 1)),$$

in the following way: we let  $\mathfrak{a}'$  denote the kernel of  $\mathbb{T}(4N) \xrightarrow{\overline{\gamma}} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_2[x]/(x^{2^e} - 1) \xrightarrow{x \mapsto 1} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_2$ , and we let  $\mathbb{T}(4N)_{\mathfrak{a}'}$  denote the completion of  $\mathbb{T}(4N)$  with respect to that ideal. The Galois action on  $J_0(4N)[\mathfrak{a}']$  breaks into isomorphic 2-dimensional representations  $G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{T}(4N)/\mathfrak{a}')$ , and Carayol constructs a lift  $G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{T}(4N)_{\mathfrak{a}'})$  [Car94, Theorem 3]. We pushforward this map along  $\mathbb{T}(4N)_{\mathfrak{a}'} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_2[x]/(x^{2^e} - 1)$  which also has full image to get a representation  $G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_2[x]/(x^{2^e} - 1)$ . It's clear that this representation is isomorphic to  $\overline{\rho}' = \mathrm{Ind}_K^{\mathbb{Q}} \overline{\chi}'$  by looking at traces. So  $\overline{\rho}'$  is modular of level  $\Gamma_0(4N)$ .

We know that  $h$  is an eigenform for  $U_2$ , and the operator  $U_2$  lowers the level from  $4N$  to  $2N$ . So  $h = U_2 h$  is an eigenform of level  $\Gamma_0(2N)$ . We recall the level lowering theorem of Calegari and Emerton; here  $A$  is an Artinian local ring of residue field  $k$  of characteristic 2.

**Theorem 2.6** ([CE09, Theorem 3.14]). *If  $\rho : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(A)$  is a modular Galois representation of level  $\Gamma_0(2N)$ , such that*

1.  $\overline{\rho}$  is (absolutely) irreducible,
2.  $\overline{\rho}$  is ordinary and ramified at 2, and
3.  $\rho$  is finite flat at 2,

*then  $\rho$  arises from an  $A$ -valued Hecke eigenform of level  $N$ .*

Our  $\overline{\rho}'$ , pushed forward through the map  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_2[x]/(x^{2^e} - 1) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_2$  and restricting to its true image, is irreducible, ordinary and ramified. All that remains in order to apply the theorem is to check that  $\overline{\rho}'$  is finite flat at 2. It's enough to show this after restricting to  $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_2/\mathbb{Q}_2^{\mathrm{ur}})$ . But the representation has only degree two ramification, so the image of  $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_2/\mathbb{Q}_2^{\mathrm{ur}})$  is order 2. And furthermore, it's easy to see that it arises as the generic fiber of  $D^{\oplus 2^e}$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\mathrm{ur}}$ , where  $D$  is the nontrivial extension of  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  by  $\mu_2$  discussed in [Maz77, Proposition 4.2], represented for example by  $\mathbb{Z}_2[x, y]/(x^2 - x, y^2 + 2x - 1)$  with comultiplication

$$x \rightarrow x_1 + x_2 - 2x_1x_2 \text{ and } y \rightarrow y_1y_2 - 2x_1x_2y_1y_2.$$

So we may apply Theorem 2.6, and deduce that our modular form  $h$  is a modular form of level  $N$ .

We have therefore constructed a surjective map  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_2 \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_2[x]/(x^{2^e} - 1)$ , so the  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_2$ -dimension of  $S_2(\Gamma_0(N), \overline{\mathbb{F}}_2)_{\mathfrak{m}}$  must be at least  $2^e$ . Note that Proposition 2.3 shows that this dimension is at least 4.  $\square$

## 2.3 $\mathfrak{m}$ is reducible

**Theorem 2.7.** *If  $N \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ , and  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a maximal ideal of  $\mathbb{T}_2^{\text{an}}(N)$  for which  $\bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is reducible, then  $\dim S_2(N)_{\mathfrak{m}} \geq \frac{h(-N)^{\text{even}} - 2}{2}$ .*

*Proof.* We know that  $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \mathbb{T}^{\text{an}}$  is generated by  $T_\ell$  and 2 for all primes  $\ell \nmid 2N$ . In [CE05, Corollary 4.9] and the discussion after Proposition 4.11, Calegari and Emerton prove that  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{an}}/(2)$  must be isomorphic to  $\mathbb{F}_2[x]/(x^{2^{e-1}})$ , where  $2^e = h(-N)^{\text{even}}$ . They accomplish this by setting up a deformation problem, namely deformations of  $(\bar{V}, \bar{L}, \bar{\rho})$  where  $\bar{\rho}$  is the mod-2 representation  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \phi \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\phi$  is the additive character  $G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$  that arises as the nontrivial character of  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(i)/\mathbb{Q})$ , and  $\bar{L}$  is a line in  $\bar{V}$  not fixed by  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . With the conditions set on the deformation, they find that it is representable by some  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -algebra  $R$ .

Next, they prove an  $R = \mathbb{T}$ -type theorem, namely that  $R = \mathbb{T}$  where  $\mathbb{T}$  is the completion at the Eisenstein ideal of the Hecke algebra acting on all modular forms of level  $\Gamma_0(N)$ , including the Eisenstein series. Finally they study  $R/2$  which represents the deformation functor to characteristic 2 rings, and show that if  $\rho^{\text{univ}}$  is the universal deformation, then  $\rho^{\text{univ}}$  factors through the largest unramified 2-extension of  $K$ . This combined with their fact that a map  $R \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2[x]/(x^n)$  can be surjective if and only if  $n \leq 2^{e-1}$  proves that  $R/2 = \mathbb{F}_2[x]/(x^{2^{e-1}})$ .

Therefore, the same holds for the Eisenstein Hecke algebra  $\mathbb{T}/2$ . So we know that  $\mathbb{T}$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -module of rank  $\frac{h(-N)^{\text{even}}}{2}$ . But we may split off a one-dimensional subspace corresponding to the Eisenstein series, so that the cuspidal Hecke algebra  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{an}}$  has rank one less, and therefore has rank  $\frac{h(-N)^{\text{even}}}{2} - 1$ . (In fact, the full Hecke algebra is determined also, because in any reducible mod 2 representation,  $T_2$  and  $U_N$  must both map to 1, as  $U_N$  is unipotent and  $T_2$  maps to the image of Frobenius under a mod 2 character unramified at every prime not equal to 2. But there are no nontrivial such characters.) And therefore the dimension of the space  $S_2(N)_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is the dimension of the space  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{an}}, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_2)$ , which is dimension  $\frac{h(-N)^{\text{even}}}{2} - 1$ , as desired.  $\square$

[KM19] partially prove this theorem using [CE05], doing the case of  $N \equiv 9 \pmod{16}$ . As we see, the method works equally well for  $N \equiv 1 \pmod{16}$ . The only difference between the two cases is that [CE05] prove that for  $N \equiv 9 \pmod{16}$ , the Hecke algebra  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{an}}$  is a discrete valuation ring, and therefore a domain, but that plays no role here.

## 3 $N \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$

### 3.1 $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{N})$

**Theorem 3.1.** *If  $N \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ , and  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a maximal ideal of  $\mathbb{T}_2^{\text{an}}(N)$  that is  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{N})$ -dihedral, then  $\dim S_2(N)_{\mathfrak{m}} \geq 4$ .*

*Proof.* Because 2 is inert in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{N})$ , we know that  $\bar{\rho}|_{D_2}$  is of size 2. Then the image of  $\bar{\rho}$  is a subgroup of a 2-Sylow subgroup of  $\text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_2)$ , and therefore is isomorphic to an upper-triangular idempotent representation  $\bar{\rho}|_{D_2} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . If we compare to Theorem 1.5, we find that in an eigenform for all  $T_p$  including  $T_2$  that corresponds to this representation,  $a_2 = 1$ . So the three methods of section 2.1 do not work.

Recall Proposition 1.3 that says if the representation  $\bar{\rho}$  was totally real, then  $\dim_{k_{\mathfrak{a}}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}/(2) \geq 2 \cdot$  multiplicity of  $\bar{\rho}$ , so if this multiplicity is at least 2, we're done. So we assume that  $\bar{\rho}$  occurs once in  $J_0(N)[\mathfrak{a}]$ . However, we know by [Wie07, Theorem 4.4] that since  $\bar{\rho}$  comes from a Katz modular form of weight 1 and level  $N$ , and the multiplicity of  $\bar{\rho}$  on  $J_0(N)[\mathfrak{a}]$  is 1, that the multiplicity of

$\bar{\rho}$  in  $J_0(N)[\mathfrak{m}]$  is 2. So by the proof as in Proposition 1.3, we know the dimension of  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}/(2)$  has dimension at least twice 2, or dimension 4, and so  $\dim S_2(N)_{\mathfrak{m}} \geq 4$  as required.  $\square$

### 3.2 $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-N})$

**Theorem 3.2.** *If  $N \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ , and  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a maximal ideal of  $\mathbb{T}_2^{\text{an}}(N)$  that is  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-N})$ -dihedral, then  $\dim S_2(N)_{\mathfrak{m}} \geq 2$ .*

This follows in a similar way to Theorem 2.2. Proposition 2.3 proves that the 2 part of the class group of  $K$  is order 2, so applying the results of section 2.2 proves the theorem in this case. The only difficulties are in verifying the conditions of Theorem 2.6; that is,  $\bar{\rho}$  is absolutely irreducible, ordinary, and ramified, and  $\rho$  itself is finite flat at 2. It's clear that the first three conditions hold, and the final condition holds because  $\mathbb{Q}_2^{\text{ur}}(\sqrt{-N}) = \mathbb{Q}_2^{\text{ur}}(i)$  even though  $N \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ . So the group scheme in this case is the same as the group scheme in section 2.2, and we have verified all necessary conditions.

## 4 $N \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$

### 4.1 $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{N})$

**Theorem 4.1.** *If  $N \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , and  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a maximal ideal of  $\mathbb{T}_2^{\text{an}}(N)$  that is  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{N})$ -dihedral, then  $\dim S_2(N)_{\mathfrak{m}} \geq 2$ .*

*Proof.* We let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be a prime of  $\mathbb{T}_2$  containing  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Then again recalling Proposition 1.3, since  $K$  and therefore  $\bar{\rho}$  are totally real, we calculate that the dimension is at least

$$\dim_{k_{\mathfrak{a}}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}/(2) \geq 2 \cdot \text{multiplicity of } \bar{\rho} \geq 2$$

as required.  $\square$

### 4.2 $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-N})$

**Theorem 4.2.** *If  $N \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , and  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a maximal ideal of  $\mathbb{T}_2^{\text{an}}(N)$  that is  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-N})$ -dihedral, then  $\dim S_2(N)_{\mathfrak{m}} \geq 4$ .*

*Proof.* This was shown in [KM19, Proposition 14] using essentially the same method as we use in sections 2.2 and 3.2. The only differences are that  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  is unramified at 2 so the Artin conductor of  $\bar{\rho}'$  is  $N$ , not  $4N$ , so no level-lowering is required; and that we obtain a second eigenspace from our modular form  $f$  coming from the reduction of  $f^2$ .  $\square$

## 5 The effect of $U_N$

In none of our proofs did we ever exploit the fact that  $U_N$  is not defined to be in  $\mathbb{T}_2^{\text{an}}$  as we did with  $T_2$ , and the following gives an explanation why.

**Lemma 5.1.** *There is an inclusion  $U_N \in \mathbb{T}_2^{\text{an}}$ , so  $\mathbb{T}_2 = \mathbb{T}_2^{\text{an}}[T_2]$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathbb{T}_2^{\text{an}} = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{an}}$ , it suffices to prove that  $U_N \in \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{an}}$  for each maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Let

$$\bar{\rho} = \bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{an}}/\mathfrak{m}) \subseteq \text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_2)$$

denote the residual representation associated to  $\mathfrak{m}$ . If  $\bar{\rho}$  is not irreducible, then it is Eisenstein. The Eisenstein ideal  $\mathfrak{J} \subseteq \mathbb{T}_2$  is generated by  $1 + \ell - T_\ell$  for  $\ell \neq N$  and by  $U_N - 1$ . Let  $\mathfrak{a} = (2, \mathfrak{J})$  denote the corresponding maximal ideal of  $\mathbb{T}_2$ . By [Maz77, Proposition 17.1], the ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  is actually generated by  $\eta_\ell := 1 + \ell - T_\ell$  for a suitable good prime  $\ell \neq 2, N$ . But this implies that  $\mathbb{T}_m^{\text{an}} = \mathbb{T}_a$  and that  $U_N$  (and  $T_2$ ) lie in  $\mathbb{T}_m^{\text{an}}$ . Hence we assume that  $\bar{\rho}$  is irreducible.

If  $\bar{\rho}$  is irreducible but not absolutely irreducible, then its image would have to be cyclic of degree prime to 2. Since the image of inertia at  $N$  is unipotent it has order dividing 2. Thus this would force  $\bar{\rho}$  to be unramified at  $N$ . There are no nontrivial odd cyclic extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}$  ramified only at 2, and thus this does not occur, and we may assume that  $\bar{\rho}$  is absolutely irreducible.

Tate proved in [Tat94] the following theorem:

**Theorem 5.2** (Tate). *Let  $G$  be the Galois group of a finite extension  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  which is unramified at every odd prime. Suppose there is an embedding  $\rho : G \hookrightarrow \text{SL}_2(k)$ , where  $k$  is a finite field of characteristic 2. Then  $K \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{2})$  and  $\text{Tr } \rho(\sigma) = 0$  for each  $\sigma \in G$ .*

If  $\bar{\rho}$  is unramified at  $N$ , then  $\det \bar{\rho}$  is a character of odd order unramified outside 2, which by Kronecker-Weber must be trivial, so  $\bar{\rho}$  maps to  $\text{SL}_2(k)$ . We may apply Theorem 5.2 to determine that  $\bar{\rho}$  has unipotent image, which therefore is not absolutely irreducible. Hence we may assume that  $\bar{\rho}$  is ramified at  $N$ . By local-global compatibility at  $N$ , the image of inertia at  $N$  of  $\bar{\rho}$  is unipotent. Because it is nontrivial, it thus has image of order exactly 2.

Let  $\{f_i\}$  denote the collection of  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_2$ -eigenforms such that  $\bar{\rho}_{f_i} = \bar{\rho}$ . Associated to each  $f_i$  is a field  $E_i$  generated by the eigenvalues  $T_l$  for  $l \neq 2, N$ . There exists a corresponding Galois representation:

$$\rho : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{T}_m^{\text{an}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}) = \prod \text{GL}_2(E_i).$$

The traces of  $\rho$  at Frobenius elements land inside  $\mathbb{T}_m^{\text{an}}$ , and hence the traces of all elements land inside  $\mathbb{T}_m^{\text{an}}$ . By a result of Carayol, there exists a choice of basis so that  $\rho$  is valued inside  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{T}_m^{\text{an}})$ ; that is, there exists a free  $\mathbb{T}_m^{\text{an}}$ -module of rank 2 with a Galois action giving rise to  $\rho$ . Each representation  $\rho_{f_i}$  has the property that, locally at  $N$ , the image of inertia is unipotent. In particular,  $\rho|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_N}}$  is tamely ramified. Let  $\langle \sigma, \tau \rangle$  denote the Galois group of the maximal tamely ramified extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_N$ , where  $\sigma$  is a lift of Frobenius and  $\tau$  a pro-generator of tame inertia, so  $\sigma\tau\sigma^{-1} = \tau^N$ . We claim that there exists a basis of  $(\mathbb{T}_m^{\text{an}})^2$  such that

$$\bar{\rho}|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_N}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note, first of all, that it is true modulo  $\mathfrak{m}$  by assumption (because  $\bar{\rho}$  is ramified). Choose a lift  $e_2 \in (\mathbb{T}_m^{\text{an}})^2$  of a vector which is not fixed by  $\bar{\rho}(\tau)$ , and then let  $e_1 = (\rho(\tau) - 1)e_2$ . Since the reduction of  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  generate  $(\mathbb{T}_m^{\text{an}}/\mathfrak{m})^2$ , by Nakayama's lemma they generate  $(\mathbb{T}_m^{\text{an}})^2$ . Finally we have  $(\rho(\tau) - 1)^2 = 0$  since  $(\rho_{f_i}(\tau) - 1)^2 = 0$  for each  $i$ .

Now consider the image of  $\sigma$ . Writing

$$\rho(\sigma) = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{T}_m^{\text{an}}),$$

the condition that  $\rho(\sigma)\rho(\tau) = \rho(\tau)^N\rho(\sigma)$  forces  $c = 0$ . But then if

$$\rho(\sigma) = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{T}_m^{\text{an}}),$$

then for every specialization  $\rho_{f_i}$ , the action of Frobenius on the unramified quotient is  $x$ . But for each  $\rho_{f_i}$ , the action of Frobenius on the unramified quotient is the image  $U_N(f_i)$  of  $U_N$ . Hence this implies that  $x = U_N$ , and thus that  $U_N \in \mathbb{T}_m^{\text{an}}$ .  $\square$

## 6 Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Frank Calegari for the many useful conversations leading toward the proofs in sections 1 through 4, and from whom the proof of Lemma 5.1 was communicated.

## References

- [ARS12] Amod Agashe, Kenneth A. Ribet, and William A. Stein. The modular degree, congruence primes, and multiplicity one. In *Number theory, analysis and geometry*, pages 19–49. Springer, New York, 2012.
- [BLR91] Nigel Boston, Hendrik W. Lenstra, Jr., and Kenneth A. Ribet. Quotients of group rings arising from two-dimensional representations. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 312(4):323–328, 1991.
- [Car94] Henri Carayol. Formes modulaires et représentations galoisiennes à valeurs dans un anneau local complet. In *p-adic monodromy and the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture (Boston, MA, 1991)*, volume 165 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 213–237. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.
- [CE05] Frank Calegari and Matthew Emerton. On the ramification of Hecke algebras at Eisenstein primes. *Invent. Math.*, 160(1):97–144, 2005.
- [CE09] Frank Calegari and Matthew Emerton. Elliptic curves of odd modular degree. *Israel J. Math.*, 169:417–444, 2009.
- [Edi92] Bas Edixhoven. The weight in Serre’s conjectures on modular forms. *Invent. Math.*, 109(3):563–594, 1992.
- [hp] Electric Penguin ([https://mathoverflow.net/users/85372/electric penguin](https://mathoverflow.net/users/85372/electric-penguin)). Lifting the hasse invariant mod 2. MathOverflow. URL:<https://mathoverflow.net/q/228596> (version: 2017-02-13).
- [KM19] Kiran Kedlaya and Anna Medvedovsky. Mod-2 dihedral galois representations of prime conductor. *The Open Book Series*, 2(1):325–342, jan 2019.
- [Maz77] B. Mazur. Modular curves and the Eisenstein ideal. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (47):33–186 (1978), 1977.
- [Mer96] Loïc Merel. L’accouplement de Weil entre le sous-groupe de Shimura et le sous-groupe cuspidal de  $J_0(p)$ . *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 477:71–115, 1996.
- [Rib90] K. A. Ribet. On modular representations of  $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q})$  arising from modular forms. *Invent. Math.*, 100(2):431–476, 1990.
- [Ser77] J.-P. Serre. Modular forms of weight one and Galois representations. In *Algebraic number fields: L-functions and Galois properties (Proc. Sympos., Univ. Durham, Durham, 1975)*, pages 193–268, 1977.
- [Tat94] John Tate. The non-existence of certain Galois extensions of  $\mathbf{Q}$  unramified outside 2. In *Arithmetic geometry (Tempe, AZ, 1993)*, volume 174 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 153–156. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.

- [Wie04] Gabor Wiese. Dihedral Galois representations and Katz modular forms. *Doc. Math.*, 9:123–133, 2004.
- [Wie07] Gabor Wiese. Multiplicities of Galois representations of weight one. *Algebra Number Theory*, 1(1):67–85, 2007. With an appendix by Niko Naumann.