

Non-cancellation for groups with non-abelian torsion

P. J. WITBOOI

University of the Western Cape, Private Bag X17, 7535 Bellville, South Africa
e-mail: pwitbooi@uwc.ac.za

Abstract

For any group G , we denote by $\chi(G)$ the set of all isomorphism classes of groups H such that $H \times \mathbb{Z} \simeq G \times \mathbb{Z}$. If G is finitely generated with finite commutator subgroup, then $\chi(G)$ has a group structure. We develop methods for computing the groups $\chi(G)$ and perform such computations. For a finite normal subgroup F of G , we compare $\chi(G)$ with $\chi(G/F)$.

1. Introduction

In this article¹ we study the non-cancellation set $\chi(G)$ of a group G , where $\chi(G)$ is the set of all isomorphism classes of groups H such that $H \times \mathbb{Z} \simeq G \times \mathbb{Z}$. In particular we deal with the class \mathcal{X}_0 of finitely generated groups having a finite commutator subgroup. The subclass of all infinite nilpotent groups in \mathcal{X}_0 is denoted by \mathcal{N}_0 .

The class \mathcal{N}_0 has been studied with respect to genus and non-cancellation by several authors. The (Mislin) genus $\mathcal{G}(N)$ of a \mathcal{N}_0 -group N is defined to be the set of all isomorphism classes of finitely generated nilpotent groups M such that for every prime p , the groups M and N have isomorphic p -localizations, $M_p \simeq N_p$. A group structure was imposed by Hilton and Mislin [4] on $\mathcal{G}(N)$, and has proved to be quite useful in computing the genus set. Computations of genera can be found in [8], [4] and in [5] for instance. For an \mathcal{N}_0 -group N it is known, [11], that $\mathcal{G}(N) = \chi(N)$. This motivated the article [13] in which we impose a group structure on $\chi(H)$ for an \mathcal{X}_0 -group H , that coincides with the Hilton-Mislin genus group $\mathcal{G}(H)$ if H is a nilpotent group.

In the article [3] there is a study of the relationship between $\mathcal{G}(N)$ and $\mathcal{G}(N/F)$, for a \mathcal{N}_0 -group N and a finite normal subgroup F of N . In [13] it is shown that for a \mathcal{X}_0 -group G and a finite characteristic subgroup F of the torsion radical T_G of G , there is an epimorphism $\chi(G) \rightarrow \chi(G/F)$. In this article we consider a more general situation, with F only considered to be a normal subgroup of G . We also develop

¹2000 AMS Subject Classification: 20F18; 20E36; 20E34

some further methods for computation of non-cancellation sets and genera, and we make some specific computations.

Let \mathcal{X}_1 denote the subclass of \mathcal{X}_0 of groups G for which the canonical epimorphism, $G \rightarrow G/T_G$ onto the torsionfree quotient, admits a section (T_G denoting the torsion radical of G), i.e., G is a semidirect product, $G = T_G \rtimes G/T_G$. The subclass \mathcal{K} of all \mathcal{X}_1 -groups G for which T_G is abelian is studied in [10]. In particular, in [10] an alternate description of the group $\chi(G)$ is given for a \mathcal{K} -group G . We extend the results of [10] to the class \mathcal{X}_1 .

In Section 2 we study determinants, which are crucial in the computation of $\chi(G)$ for a \mathcal{X}_1 -group G . We find a simplified way of computing the non-cancellation groups of \mathcal{X}_1 -groups, generalizing results in [10]. For a \mathcal{X}_0 -group G and a finite normal subgroup F of G , in Section 3 we define a function $\eta : \chi(G) \rightarrow \chi(G/F)$. Section 4 is devoted to a construction based on the regular representation, which yields an isomorphism of non-cancellation sets in certain cases. We calculate $\chi(G)$ for certain \mathcal{X}_1 -groups which are not metabelian, and these are the first complete calculations of non-cancellation groups for groups with non-abelian torsion, even for G nilpotent. In Section 5 we show that the function η of Section 3 is not always a homomorphism.

For a group G , T_G shall always denote the torsion radical. For a nilpotent group N and a prime p , N_p denotes the p -localization. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, by $(\mathbb{Z}/m)^*/\pm 1$ we mean the quotient group of the group $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^*$ of units mod m , obtained by factoring out the subgroup $\langle -\bar{1} \rangle$.

2. Determinant

Let B be any (additive) finite abelian group of Prüfer rank $k \geq 1$, i.e., such that the cardinality of a minimal generating subset of B is k . Let t be the greatest common divisor of the orders of the invariant factors of B . Then there exists an epimorphism $\beta : B \rightarrow C$, where $C \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/t)^k$. Note that $\ker \beta = \{tx : x \in B\}$ is a characteristic subgroup of B and therefore for every $f \in \text{Aut} B$, f induces a unique $f_\beta \in \text{Aut} C$ such that $f_\beta \circ \beta = \beta \circ f$. It is easy to see that for any other epimorphism $\gamma : B \rightarrow C$, we have $\det(f_\beta) = \det(f_\gamma)$. This common value will be referred to as the determinant of f and written $\det(f)$.

For B as above, let $E_k(B)$ be the set of all epimorphisms $\mathbb{Z}^k \rightarrow B$. Recall that for $f, g \in E_k(B)$, f is said to be Nielsen equivalent to g ($f \sim g$) if there is an automorphism α of \mathbb{Z}^k for which $g \circ \alpha = f$. The necessary properties of this equivalence relation which we use below, are briefly discussed in [10]. The set of equivalence classes is denoted by $E_k^\sim(B)$, and for $f \in E_k(B)$, the class of f is written $[f]$. We note, in particular, that there is an action of the group $(\mathbb{Z}/t)^*$ on $E_k^\sim(B)$ - in this regard we use the notation ${}^r[f]$ for $r \in (\mathbb{Z}/t)^*$ and $f \in E_k(B)$.

2.1 Notation. Let us consider a group $G = T \rtimes_w \mathbb{Z}^k$, for any finite group T and some homomorphism $w : \mathbb{Z}^k \rightarrow \text{Aut}T$. Let us assume that the subgroup $\text{Im}w$ of $\text{Aut}T$ is of Prüfer rank k , and let n be the greatest common divisor of the orders of invariant factors of $\text{Im}w$. Throughout this section we fix this notation.

Groups of the type $G = T \rtimes_w \mathbb{Z}^k$ was studied in [10], but with the restriction that T is abelian. Assuming T to be abelian, for a group $H = T \rtimes_v \mathbb{Z}^k$ we found that $H \times \mathbb{Z} \simeq G \times \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if the subgroups $\text{Im}v$ and $\text{Im}w$ of $\text{Aut}T$ are conjugate in $\text{Aut}T$. The condition of T being abelian is not necessary as we see in Theorem 2.4 below.

The proof of the following proposition is a routine exercise and we omit it.

Proposition 2.2. *Let G be the group as above. For an action $v : \mathbb{Z}^k \rightarrow \text{Aut}T$, let $H = T \rtimes_v \mathbb{Z}^k$. Then H is isomorphic to G if and only if the following condition holds.*

There exist automorphisms $h_0 : T \rightarrow T$ and $h_1 : \mathbb{Z}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^k$ such that the following identity holds for every $t \in T$ and every $z \in \mathbb{Z}^k$.

$$[h_0 \circ w(z)](t) = [v(h_1 z)](h_0 t) \quad (2.1)$$

Corollary 2.3. *Let G and H be groups as in Proposition 2.2. If $H \simeq G$ then the subgroups $\text{Im}v$ and $\text{Im}w$ of $\text{Aut}T$ are conjugate in $\text{Aut}T$.*

Proof. We use Proposition 2.2. Since h_1 is surjective, we have $\text{Im}(v \circ h_1) = \text{Im}(v)$. Thus the corollary follows from Proposition 2.2. \square

Theorem 2.4. *Let G and H be the groups as in Proposition 2.2 above. Then $H \times \mathbb{Z} \simeq G \times \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if the subgroups $\text{Im}v$ and $\text{Im}w$ of $\text{Aut}T$ are conjugate in $\text{Aut}T$.*

Proof. If $H \times \mathbb{Z} \simeq G \times \mathbb{Z}$, then by Corollary 2.3 it follows that $\text{Im}v$ and $\text{Im}w$ are conjugate in $\text{Aut}T$.

Now suppose that there exists $h \in \text{Aut}T$ such that $h(\text{Im}v)h^{-1} = \text{Im}w$. There is an epimorphism $w_1 : \mathbb{Z}^{k+1} \rightarrow \text{Im}w$ such that $G \times \mathbb{Z} = T \rtimes_{w_1} \mathbb{Z}^{k+1}$. Similarly, there is an epimorphism $v_1 : \mathbb{Z}^{k+1} \rightarrow \text{Im}v$ such that $H \times \mathbb{Z} = T \rtimes_{v_1} \mathbb{Z}^{k+1}$. Let $\tau : \text{Im}w \rightarrow \text{Im}v$ be the isomorphism defined by $\tau : \alpha \mapsto h\alpha h^{-1}$. Then the two epimorphisms w_1 and $\tau \circ v_1$ ($\mathbb{Z}^{k+1} \rightarrow \text{Im}v$) are Nielsen equivalent since the rank of $\text{Im}v$ and is less than $k + 1$. Thus there exists $g \in \text{Aut}\mathbb{Z}^{k+1}$ such that by Proposition 2.2 the pair g, h constitutes an isomorphism $G \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow H \times \mathbb{Z}$. \square

Theorem 2.5. *Let H and K be subgroups of G such that the indices $[G : H]$ and $[G : K]$ are finite and relatively prime to n , and $T_H = T = T_K$. Then:*

- (a) $H \times \mathbb{Z} \simeq G \times \mathbb{Z}$
- (b) If $[G : H] \equiv \pm[G : K] \pmod{n}$, then $H \simeq K$,

Proof. The (a)-part follows by Theorem 2.4 and the properties of the Nielsen equivalence relation on $E_{k+1}(\text{Im}w)$.

We now prove the (b)-part. By assumption, $H \simeq T \times_{\zeta} \mathbb{Z}^k$ and $K \simeq T \times_{\xi} \mathbb{Z}^k$ for some $\zeta, \xi \in E_k(\text{Im}w)$, and moreover, ζ and ξ are Nielsen equivalent. Therefore there exists an automorphism f of \mathbb{Z}^k for which the elements ζ and $\xi \circ f$ of $E_k(\text{Im}w)$ coincide, i.e., $\zeta = \xi \circ f$. Therefore by Proposition 2.2 the function

$$T \times_{\zeta} \mathbb{Z}^k \rightarrow T \times_{\xi} \mathbb{Z}^k$$

defined by $(t, z) \mapsto (t, f(z))$ is an isomorphism. \square

Theorem 2.6. *There is an epimorphism $\theta_1 : (\mathbb{Z}/n)^*/\pm 1 \rightarrow \chi(G)$.*

Proof. The description of $\chi(G)$ in [13] is in terms of an integer $n(G)$ which can be seen to be a multiple of n (and we shall write $n(G) = m$). The group structure is described by a certain surjective function $\theta : (\mathbb{Z}/m)^*/\pm 1 \rightarrow \chi(G)$ which ends up being a group homomorphism. The function θ is defined in terms of subgroups of G , very similar to the way we shall define θ_1 below.

Since $n|m$ there is an epimorphism $(\mathbb{Z}/m)^* \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/n)^*$, and consequently an epimorphism $\rho : (\mathbb{Z}/m)^*/\pm 1 \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/n)^*/\pm 1$. Now let z be any integer which is relatively prime to n , and fix any subgroup $G_{(z)}$ of G such that $T < G_{(z)}$ and $[G : G_{(z)}] = z$. Such a subgroup does exist by [13, Theorem 2.5]. By Theorem 2.5 it follows that the rule $z \mapsto [G_{(z)}]$ determines a well-defined function $\theta_1 : (\mathbb{Z}/n)^*/\pm 1 \rightarrow \chi(G)$ such that $\theta_1 \circ \rho = \theta$. Since ρ and θ are group homomorphisms and ρ is a surjective function, from the latter identity it follows that θ_1 is a group homomorphism. Since θ is a surjective, also θ_1 is surjective. \square

This theorem together with the description of the kernel of θ_1 , Theorem 2.7 below, gives us a simplified method for calculating the non-cancellation group, generalizing the work in [10, Section 3].

Theorem 2.7. *Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and suppose that m is relatively prime to n . Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (a) $\bar{m} \in \ker[(\mathbb{Z}/n)^* \rightarrow \chi(G)]$,
- (b) *There exists an automorphism $\alpha \in \text{Aut}T$ such that for the inner automorphism $\tau : v \rightarrow \alpha v \alpha^{-1}$ of G , we have $\tau(\text{Im}w) = \text{Im}w$ and for the automorphism $\sigma : \text{Im}w \rightarrow \text{Im}w$ induced by τ , we have $\det(\sigma) = \pm \bar{m}^{-1} \in (\mathbb{Z}/n)^*$.*

Proof. Let us assume condition (a). Then G has a subgroup G_0 of index m which is isomorphic to G . Let $h : G_0 \rightarrow G$ be an isomorphism and let $i : G_0 \rightarrow G$ be the inclusion. Then $G_0 = T \rtimes_{w \circ j} \mathbb{Z}^k$ where $j : \mathbb{Z}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^k$ is an embedding induced by i . Since $[G : \text{Im}i] = m$, in $E_k^{\sim}(\text{Im}w)$ we have $[w \circ j] = \bar{m}[w]$. Let $\alpha : T \rightarrow T$ and $h_1 : G_0/T \rightarrow G/T$ be the isomorphisms induced by h . Then the inner automorphism of $\text{Aut}T$ defined by $\beta \mapsto \alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}$ induces an automorphism τ of $\text{Im}w$. Now we have, for each $z \in \mathbb{Z}^k$,

$$w \circ h_1(z) = \alpha(w \circ j(z))\alpha^{-1} = \tau \circ w \circ j(z),$$

i.e., $[w] = [\tau \circ w \circ j]$. This means that $[w \circ j] = \bar{m}[\tau \circ w \circ j]$. Consequently $\det(\tau) = \pm \bar{m}^{-1}$, and the statement (b) follows. Thus we have proved that (a) implies (b). The argument is reversible, and so the theorem follows. \square

3. Finite normal subgroup

For any \mathcal{X}_0 -group G we have a group structure [13] on $\chi(G)$, which we briefly recall. Associated with G we have an integer $n(G)$. We can assume that G is infinite, since for a finite group H , $\chi(H)$ is a one-point set anyway. Let

$$X = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : k \text{ is relatively prime to } n(G)\}.$$

Let us denote the isomorphism class of a group H by $[H]$. Given any $k \in X$, fix any subgroup $G_{(k)}$ of G such that $[G : G_{(k)}] = k$. Such groups do exist if G is infinite.

Then the rule $k \mapsto G_{(k)}$ defines a function $\mu : X \rightarrow \chi(G)$. This function μ factorizes through the reduction mod n function, i.e., there exist functions

$$\xi : X \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/n)^\times \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma : (\mathbb{Z}/n)^\times \rightarrow \chi(G)$$

such that $\mu = \sigma \circ \xi$. The function σ is surjective and is such as to induce a group structure on $\chi(G)$ (for which σ becomes a surjective homomorphism of groups). Now consider any $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$, and let

$$X_1 = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : k \text{ is relatively prime to } nn_1\}.$$

Then the restriction $\mu_1 = \mu|_{X_1}$ of μ factorizes through the reduction mod nn_1 function, and the corresponding function $\sigma_1 : (\mathbb{Z}/nn_1)^\times \rightarrow \chi(G)$ induces the same group structure on $\chi(G)$ as σ . In particular we note the following.

Remark 3.1. Given any two \mathcal{X}_0 -groups G and K , let $m = n(G) \cdot n(K)$, then we have obvious epimorphisms

$$\theta_1 : (\mathbb{Z}/m)^\times \rightarrow \chi(G) \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_2 : (\mathbb{Z}/m)^\times \rightarrow \chi(K).$$

Now we fix any \mathcal{X}_0 -group G and a finite normal subgroup F of G . Let $m = n(G)n(G/F)$. We set out to define a function $\eta : \chi(G) \rightarrow \chi(G/F)$. Consider any group H such that $H \times \mathbb{Z} \simeq G \times \mathbb{Z}$. Let

$$Y = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : k \text{ is relatively prime to } m\}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$S_H = \{k \in Y : \text{for some embedding } \alpha : H \rightarrow G, [G : \alpha(H)] = k\}.$$

Then from [13, Theorem 4.2] it follows that S_H is nonempty. Thus S_H has a least member, k_H . Now suppose that $\alpha : H \rightarrow G$ and $\beta : H \rightarrow G$ are embeddings such that $[G : \alpha(H)] = k_H = [G : \beta(H)]$. Then $[G/F : \alpha(H)/F] = k_H = [G/F : \beta(H)/F]$, and thus by [13, Theorem 4.3], $\alpha(H)/F \simeq \beta(H)/F$.

Theorem 3.2. *We obtain a well-defined function $\eta : \chi(G) \rightarrow \chi(G/F)$ by picking for H as above, any embedding $\alpha : H \rightarrow G$ with $[G : \alpha(H)] = k_H$ and taking $\eta([H])$ to be the isomorphism class $[\alpha(H)/F]$ of $\alpha(H)/F$. \square*

Remark 3.3. For a \mathcal{X}_0 -group G and for a finite characteristic subgroup F of the torsion subgroup of G , the function $\eta : \chi(G) \rightarrow \chi(G/F)$ defined above, coincides with the epimorphism η of [13, Section 6]. We also wish to note that [13, Theorem 6.4] must be reformulated. Theorem 3.4 (below) is what is actually proved under the statement of [13, Theorem 6.4]. In Section 5 we shall see that η is in general not necessarily a surjective group homomorphism.

Theorem 3.4. *Let F be a characteristic subgroup of the torsion radical of an infinite \mathcal{X}_0 -group G . Then the function $\eta : \chi(G) \rightarrow \chi(G/F)$ is a surjective group homomorphism.*

4. A wreath product-like construction

Let T be any finite nilpotent group. Let p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k be the distinct prime divisors of T in increasing order. Then T splits as the direct product of its Sylow subgroups,

$$T = T_{p_1} \times T_{p_2} \times \dots \times T_{p_k}.$$

For each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ let R_{p_i} be the regular wreath product, $R_{p_i} = \mathbb{Z}/p_i \wr T_{p_i}$, and let $R = R_{p_1} \times R_{p_2} \times \dots \times R_{p_k}$. Then for each i , R_{p_i} is the Sylow p_i -subgroup of R . To stress the dependence on T , we can write $R = R_T$. Let S be the kernel of the natural epimorphism $R \rightarrow T$.

Proposition 4.1. *Suppose that the group T is abelian and does not have $\mathbb{Z}/2$ as a Sylow subgroup. Then S is a characteristic subgroup of R .*

Proof. Under the given conditions it is easy to prove that S is the centralizer of the commutator subgroup K of R . Since K is a characteristic subgroup of R , it follows that also S is a characteristic subgroup of R . \square

4.2 Notation. We find it convenient to use some notation that was introduced in [12]: For a pair of relatively prime natural numbers n and u , the symbol $G(n; u)$ will be used to denote the group $\mathbb{Z}/n \rtimes_h \mathbb{Z}$, where $h : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/n)$ is the homomorphism obtained by taking $h(1)$ to be the automorphism $t \mapsto ut$ of \mathbb{Z}/n .

4.3 A particular group $N = G(n; u)$. We now fix a relatively prime pair of natural numbers n, u , and we require that the group $N = G(n; u)$ is nilpotent. Let d be the multiplicative order of u modulo n . Let $T = T_N$ be the torsion subgroup of N . Let $\zeta(1)$ be an automorphism of R such that the order of $\zeta(1)$ in $\text{Aut}R$ is d , and for each $t \in T < R$, $\zeta(1) : t \mapsto ut$ (T regarded to be an additive group). We shall prove (Proposition 5.5) that such an automorphism does exist. Then the rule $(j) \mapsto [\zeta(1)]^j$ defines a homomorphism $\zeta : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Aut}R$. We can thus form a group

$$W_\zeta(N) = R \rtimes_\zeta \mathbb{Z}.$$

For brevity we shall write $W_\zeta(N) = W$. An important connection between W and N becomes clear in Proposition 4.5.

Proposition 4.4. *The group W is an \mathcal{N}_0 -group.*

Proof. The homomorphism ζ induces a homomorphism $\zeta' : \mathbb{Z}/d \rightarrow \text{Aut}R$. Let d_i be the multiplicative order of u modulo n_i , n_i being the biggest power of p_i dividing n . Then d_i is also the order of the automorphism of R_{p_i} induced by $\zeta(1)$. Since $G(n; u)$ is nilpotent, it follows that for each i , d_i is a power of p_i . Therefore the group

$$Q = R \rtimes_{\zeta'} \mathbb{Z}/d$$

splits as the direct product of its Sylow subgroups, and consequently is nilpotent. Furthermore, W has a torsion-free central subgroup F such that $W/F \simeq Q$. Thus W is nilpotent. The rest is clear. \square

Proposition 4.5. $\mathcal{G}(W) \simeq \mathcal{G}(N) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/d)^*/\pm 1$.

Proof. By [1] we have $\mathcal{G}(N) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/d)^*/\pm 1$. By Theorem 2.6, there is an epimorphism $(\mathbb{Z}/d)^*/\pm 1 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(W)$.

In order to be able to apply Proposition 4.1 we first prove the proposition in a special case, with the condition that either n is odd or $4|n$.

For the characteristic subgroup S of R we have $W/S \simeq N$, and thus by Theorem 3.4 there is an epimorphism $\mathcal{G}(W) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(N)$. This proves the theorem in the special case.

We now consider the remaining case: suppose that $n = 2m$ and m is odd. Then the Sylow 2-subgroup V of R is such that the action of $\zeta(1)$ on V is trivial. Thus $W \simeq V \times W/V$ and $N = U \times N/U$ where U is the Sylow 2-subgroup of N . Since finite abelian groups are cancellable (see [9]) it follows that $\mathcal{G}(W) \simeq \mathcal{G}(W/V)$ and $\mathcal{G}(N) \simeq \mathcal{G}(N/U)$. Now by the special case we have $\mathcal{G}(W/V) \simeq \mathcal{G}(N/U)$, and this completes the proof. \square

Theorem 4.6. *For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{G}(W^m) \simeq \mathcal{G}(N^m)$.*

Proof. In order to be able to apply Proposition 4.1, initially we assume that either n is odd or $4|n$, so that by Proposition 4.1 it follows that S^m is a characteristic subgroup of R^m . We note that $W^m/S^m \simeq N^m$. Therefore by Theorem 3.4 there is an epimorphism $\mathcal{G}(W^m) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(N^m)$. Note that for any $m > 1$, we have $\mathcal{G}(N^m) \simeq \mathcal{G}(N^2)$. Furthermore there is an epimorphism $\mathcal{G}(W^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(W^m)$. Thus in order to complete the proof (with the special condition on n), it suffices to prove the case $m = 2$.

Now consider any $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n = n_1 n_2$ and $(n_1, n_2) = 1$. Let d_1 and d_2 be, respectively, the multiplicative orders of $u \bmod n_1$ and n_2 . Since N is nilpotent it follows that d_1 and d_2 are relatively prime.

The group R splits into a direct product $R = U \times V$ such that $(|U|, n_2) = 1$ and $(|V|, n_1) = 1$. Let α be the automorphism of $(U \times V) \times (U \times V)$ defined by:

$$\alpha : (a, b, x, y) \mapsto (a, y, x, b).$$

There is an obvious choice of a homomorphism $w : \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(R^2)$ such that $W^2 \simeq R^2 \rtimes_w \mathbb{Z}^2$. Let us denote $w(1, 0)$ by w_1 and $w(0, 1)$ by w_2 . The homomorphism w is such that w_1 fixes the subgroup $(1 \times R)$ and w_2 fixes the subgroup $(R \times 1)$. Let $J = \text{Im}w = \langle w_1, w_2 \rangle < \text{Aut}(R \times R)$. Note that $\zeta(1)$ induces automorphisms ϵ and δ (respectively) of U and V such that the automorphism $\epsilon \times \delta$ of $U \times V$ coincides with $\zeta(1)$ and ϵ and δ are of orders d_1 and d_2 respectively. Thus, α normalizes the subgroup J of $\text{Aut}(R \times R)$. Let τ be the automorphism of J defined by

$$\tau : \lambda \rightarrow \alpha \lambda \alpha^{-1},$$

and let $c \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that the determinant in $(\mathbb{Z}/d)^*$ of τ is \bar{c} . Then $c \equiv 1 \pmod{d_1}$ and $c \equiv -1 \pmod{d_2}$. Thus from [6] it follows that $\mathcal{G}(W^2) \simeq \mathcal{G}(N^2)$. This proves the special case.

The remaining case of $n = 2m$ for some odd m follows similarly as in the proof of Proposition 4.5. \square

5. The genus of $W \times N$

Let N and W be as in paragraph 4.3, and let us recall that the torsion subgroups of N and W are T and R respectively, and we recall that R is a semidirect product $R = S \rtimes T$ for some action of T on S , and $W = R \rtimes_{\zeta} \mathbb{Z}$. In what follows we calculate the group $\mathcal{G}(W \times N)$.

The torsion subgroup of $W \times N$ is the group $R \times T$, and $W \times N = (R \times T) \rtimes_{\xi} \mathbb{Z}^2$, where $\xi : \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(R \times T)$ is such that $\xi(1, 0)$ is the automorphism $(h, t) \mapsto (\zeta(h), t)$, and $\xi(0, 1)$ is the automorphism $(h, t) \mapsto (h, ut)$. We write $\xi(1, 0) = v$ and $\xi(0, 1) = w$. We must compute the kernel of the epimorphism $\theta : (\mathbb{Z}/d)^* / \pm 1 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(W \times N)$. Let J be the image of v in $\text{Aut}(R \times T)$, i.e., J is the subgroup generated by the subset $\{v, w\}$. For any $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(R \times T)$, let τ_{α} be the inner automorphism of $\text{Aut}(R \times T)$, $\tau_{\alpha} : \beta \rightarrow \alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha$. We are particularly interested in the subgroup J_* of $\text{Aut}(R \times T)$ of all automorphisms α for which $\tau_{\alpha}(J) = J$, i.e., J_* is the normalizer of J in $\text{Aut}(R \times T)$.

In our main calculations of this section we shall use the following notation and impose the following condition on the group N .

Condition 5.1. We shall assume that for the group N of paragraph 4.3, the torsion is such that if p is a prime factor of n then also $p^2|n$.

Notation: The elements of $R \times T$ can be written as triples (b, x, y) , with $b \in S$ and $x, y \in T$, and then (b, x) denotes a typical element of R .

Proposition 5.2. *Let us assume Condition 5.1. Given any $\alpha \in J_*$, then the automorphism f of J induced by τ_{α} is exactly the identity automorphism of J .*

Proof. There exist $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f(v) = v^r w^s$. We prove that $s \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$ and $r \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$. In this calculation it is important to note that the subgroup $C = \{(a, 0, y) : a \in S, y \in T\}$ is a characteristic subgroup of $R \times T$. This is so because C is the centralizer of the commutator subgroup of $R \times T$.

Now pick any generator y of T . Then $\alpha(0, 0, y) = (b, 0, y_1)$ for some $b \in S$ and some $y_1 \in T$. Thus $v\alpha(0, 0, y) = (b', 0, y_1)$ for some $b' \in S$. But then,

$$\begin{aligned} (b', 0, y_1) &= v\alpha(0, 0, y) \\ &= \alpha v^r w^s(0, 0, y) \\ &= \alpha v^r(0, 0, u^s y) \\ &= \alpha(0, 0, u^s y) \\ &= u^s \alpha(0, 0, y) \\ &= u^s(b, 0, y_1) \end{aligned}$$

$$= (u^s b, 0, u^s y_1).$$

In particular then, $u^s y_1 = y_1$. Now y_1 must be of the same order as y (which is n) due to Condition 5.1 and since α is an isomorphism. So it follows that

$$u^s \equiv 1 \pmod{n}, \text{ and so } s \equiv 0 \pmod{d}.$$

Now pick any generator x of T and let $\alpha(0, x, 0) = (a, x_1, z)$. If $tx_1 = 0$ for some $t \in \mathbb{N}$, then $t\alpha(0, x, 0)$ belongs to the centralizer C of the commutator subgroup of $R \times T$. Since α is an automorphism and C is a characteristic subgroup of $R \times T$, it follows that $(0, tx, 0) \in C$. But this implies that $tx = 0$. Thus x and x_1 have the same order.

Now $v\alpha(0, x, 0) = (a', ux_1, z)$ for some $a' \in S$. Since $v\alpha = \alpha v^r w^s$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (a', ux_1, z) &= \alpha v^r w^s(0, x, 0) \\ &= \alpha v^r(0, x, 0) \\ &= \alpha(0, u^r x, 0) \\ &= u^r \alpha(0, x, 0) \\ &= u^r(a', x_1, z). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $(u^r - u)x = 0$, i.e., $r \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$. We have shown that $f(v) = v$.

Next we show that $f(w) = w$. Now let $r, s \in N$ be such that $f(w) = v^r w^s$. Starting with an element $(0, x, 0)$, where x is some generator of T , one can show that $r \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$. It can also be shown, calculating images of $(0, 0, z)$ for some generator z of T , that $s \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$. Thus, in fact, f is the identity map of J . \square

Theorem 5.3. $\mathcal{G}(W \times N) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/d)^*/\pm 1$.

Proof. This follows by Theorem 2.7 and Proposition 5.2. \square

The following example shows that the function η of Section 3 is not always a homomorphism.

Example 5.4. We use the notation of paragraph 4.3, and choose a particular group N . Let $N = G(1225; 36)$. Note that $n = 5^2 7^2$ and $u = 5.7 + 1$, and therefore by [2, Lemma 1.1] it follows that N is nilpotent. Also, N satisfies Condition 5.1. Again let $W = W(N)$. Then, factoring out the finite normal subgroup $(0, S)$ of $W \times W$, we consider the function $\eta : \mathcal{G}(W^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(W \times N)$ defined in Section 3.

By [1] we have $\mathcal{G}(N) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/35)^*/\pm 1$. We can identify the group $\mathcal{G}(N)$ with the subgroup $\langle \bar{2} \rangle < (\mathbb{Z}/35)^*$, and so $\mathcal{G}(N)$ is cyclic and of order 12. By [6] the group $\mathcal{G}(N^2)$ is isomorphic to the quotient group $\langle \bar{2} \rangle / \langle \bar{2}^6 \rangle$, and by Theorem 4.6, $\mathcal{G}(N^2) \simeq \mathcal{G}(W^2)$. By Theorem 5.3 we have $\mathcal{G}(W \times N) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/35)^*/\pm 1$. Let H denote a group that embeds in W^2 with index 2 and such that H contains all the torsion

elements of W^2 . Let $[H]$ denote the element of $\mathcal{G}(W^2)$ represented by H . Then in the group $\mathcal{G}(W^2)$ we have $6[H] = 0$ whereas in the group $\mathcal{G}(W \times N)$, $6\eta[H]$ is non-zero. Therefore η is not a homomorphism. This matter is pursued in [7]. \square

We have one outstanding matter to clarify. Let F be a finite group acting regularly on a set X . Let $\rho : F \rightarrow \Sigma_X$ be the permutation representation. For any group H , let $H \wr F$ be the regular wreath product. Then for any automorphism α_0 of F , the action $\rho \circ \alpha_0$ of F on X is regular and so there exists a permutation π of X , giving rise to an automorphism α_1 of H^X such that for each $z \in F$, in $\text{Aut}(H^X)$ we have

$$\alpha_1[\rho(z)] = [\rho \circ \alpha_0(z)]\alpha_1,$$

and then the pair of automorphisms α_0, α_1 determines an automorphism α of $H \wr F$. Since the centralizer of $\text{Im}\rho$ in Σ_X is trivial, π is uniquely determined by α_0 , and there is a standard way of converting π into an automorphism of H^X . In this way α_0 determines α in such a way that α and α_0 have the same order. From this, and using the fact that a finite nilpotent group splits as the direct product of its Sylow subgroups, we deduce the following proposition.

Proposition 5.5. *For any finite nilpotent group T and any automorphism α_0 of T , there exists an automorphism α of R (R is as in the first paragraph of Section 4) such that α and α_0 have the same order. \square*

References

- [1] C. CASACUBERTA AND P. HILTON, Calculating the Mislin genus for a certain family of nilpotent groups, *Comm. Algebra* 19(7) (1991) 2051-2069.
- [2] P. HILTON, Non-cancellation properties for certain finitely presented groups, *Quaestiones Math.* 9 (1986) 281-292.
- [3] P. HILTON, On induced morphisms of Mislin genera, *Publ. Mat.* 38 (1994) 299-314.
- [4] P. HILTON AND G. MISLIN, On the genus of a nilpotent group with finite commutator subgroup, *Math. Z.* 146 (1976) 201-211.
- [5] P. HILTON AND D. SCEVENELS, Calculating the genus of a direct product of certain nilpotent groups, *Publ. Mat.* 39 (1995) 241-261.
- [6] P. HILTON AND C. SCHUCK, Calculating the Mislin genus of nilpotent groups, *Bull. Mex. Math. Soc.* 37 (1992) 263-269.

- [7] P. HILTON AND P. WITBOOI, Morphisms of Mislin genera induced by finite normal subgroups, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences* (to appear).
- [8] G. MISLIN, Nilpotent groups with finite commutator subgroups, in: P. HILTON (ED), *Localization in Group Theory and Homotopy Theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 418, Springer-Verlag Berlin 1974, 103-120.
- [9] F. OGER, The direct decompositions of a group G with G/G' finitely generated, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 347 No. 6 (1995), 1997-2010.
- [10] D. SCEVENELS AND P. WITBOOI, Non-cancellation and Mislin genus of certain groups and H_0 -spaces, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 170 (2-3) (2002) 309-320.
- [11] R. WARFIELD, Genus and cancellation for groups with finite commutator subgroup, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 6 (1975) 125-132.
- [12] P. WITBOOI, Non-cancellation for certain classes of groups, *Comm. Algebra* 27(8) (1999) 3639-3646.
- [13] P. WITBOOI, Generalizing the Hilton-Mislin genus group, *J. Algebra* 239(1) (2001) 327-339.