

1. FINITELY GENERATED MODULES

1.1. Unless specified otherwise, in this section we will work with an arbitrary (not necessarily commutative) ring A . By an A -module we will mean a left A -module.

An A -module M is called finitely generated (f.g.) if it can be represented as the quotient of a free module A^n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

1.2. **Exercise 1.** Prove that a module M is finitely generated if and only if any increasing sequence of submodules $M_1 \subset M_2 \subset \dots \subset M_i \subset M$ with $\bigcup M_i = M$ stabilizes. I.e., there exists an index i , such that $M_i = M$, and hence $M_j = M_i$ for $j \geq i$.

1.3. **Exercise 2.** Let $0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence of A -modules. This means that M_1 is a submodule of M , and $M_2 \simeq M/M_1$.

(a) Assume that M_1 and M_2 are finitely generated. Show that M is then also finitely generated.

(b) Assume that M is f.g. Show that M_2 is also f.g. Is it true that M_1 is f.g.?

2. NOETHERIAN RINGS

2.1. In this section the algebra A continues to be arbitrary. Recall that a left ideal $I \subset A$ is the same thing as a submodule of A , regarded as a left module over itself.

A ring A is called left Noetherian if every every ideal $I \subset A$ is finitely generated as a left A -module.

2.2. **Exercise 3.** Show that a field, regarded as a ring, is always Noetherian.

Theorem 1. Let A be a Noetherian ring, M is f.g. A -module, and $M' \subset M$ a submodule. Then M' is also f.g.

Proof. let $N := A^n \twoheadrightarrow M$ be a surjection, and N' the preimage of M' in N . Since M' is a quotient of N' , it is enough to show that N' is finitely generated.

Let $N_i = A^i$, $i = 0, \dots, n$ be the standard increasing sequence of A -submodules. Set $N'_i = N' \cap N_i$. Using Exercise 2 and induction, it is enough to show that all N'_i/N'_{i-1} are f.g. A -modules.

However, each N'_i/N'_{i-1} for $i = 1, \dots, n$ is a submodule of N_i/N_{i-1} . But each N_i/N_{i-1} is isomorphic to A , as an A -module. By assumption, every left A -submodule of A is finitely generated. □

2.3. **Exercise 4.** Let $A \rightarrow B$ be a surjection of algebras. Show that if A is Noetherian, then so is B .

2.4. **Exercise 5.** Let $A \rightarrow B$ be a homomorphism of algebras, and assume that B is f.g. as a left A -module. Assume that A is Noetherian. Show that B is then also Noetherian.

2.5. Polynomial algebras over a ring. For a ring A we define another ring $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ as follows. Its elements are finite sums

$$\sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n \leq N} a_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \cdot x_1^{i_1} \cdot \dots \cdot x_n^{i_n},$$

where $a_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \in A$. Addition on this set is obvious. Multiplication is defined also “naturally”:

$$(a \cdot x_1^{i_1} \cdot \dots \cdot x_n^{i_n}) \cdot (b \cdot x_1^{j_1} \cdot \dots \cdot x_n^{j_n}) = (a \cdot b) \cdot x_1^{i_1+j_1} \cdot \dots \cdot x_n^{i_n+j_n}.$$

Note that in this ring $x_i \cdot x_j = x_j \cdot x_i$ and $a \cdot x_i = x_i \cdot a$ for $a \in A$.

2.6. Exercise 6. Let B be another ring. Show that a homomorphism

$$\Phi : A[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow B$$

is the same as a data of $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ and n elements $b_1, \dots, b_n \in B$ with the property that $b_i \cdot b_j = b_j \cdot b_i$, and $\phi(a) \cdot b_i = b_i \cdot \phi(a)$, $a \in A$.

2.7. Exercise 7. Let for a moment A be commutative. Introduce the category $A\text{-alg}$, called the category of A -algebras, whose objects are pairs (B, ϕ) , consisting of a rings B and a homomorphism $\phi : A \rightarrow B$, such that $b \cdot \phi(a) = \phi(a) \cdot b$ for all $a \in A, b \in B$. Morphisms in this category between (B, ϕ) and (B', ϕ') are ring homomorphisms $\psi' : B \rightarrow B'$, such that $\psi' \circ \phi = \phi'$. Consider the functor $F : A\text{-alg} \rightarrow \text{Sets}$, that assigns to (B, ϕ) the set of all n -tuples of elements $b_1, \dots, b_n \in B$ that pairwise commute. Show that this functor is *represented* by the object $A[x_1, \dots, x_n] \in A\text{-alg}$.

2.8. Hilbert's basis theorem.

Theorem 2. *Let A be a Noetherian ring, and let $B = A[x]$ be the ring of polynomials over A in 1 variable. Then B is also Noetherian.*

Proof. Let $J \subset B$ be an ideal. We define a subset $I \subset A$ by letting $a \in I$ if there exists an element $\sum a_i \cdot x^i \in J$, whose leading coefficient is a . Clearly, I is a left ideal in A . Let $i^1, \dots, i^n \in I$ be a finite subset that generates I ; it exists by the Noetherianness assumption. For each k Let $f^k \in B$ be an element, such that i^k appears as the leading coefficient of f^k . Let d be the maximum of the degrees of f^k .

Set M be the A -submodule of $B = A[x]$ equal to the intersection of J and the submodule (isomorphic to A^d) of polynomials of degrees $< d$. By Theorem 1, M is finitely generated over A . Let j^1, \dots, j^m be a finite set of generators. We claim that these elements together with the f^k 's generate J as a left B -module.

Suppose the contrary. Let $g \in J$ be an element of the minimal degree, which is not in the ideal generated by the j^l 's and f^k 's. By assumption, the degree of g is $\geq d$. Let a be the leading coefficient of g . By assumption, a can be written as $\sum_k a_k \cdot i^k$. Consider the element

$$g' = g - \sum_k x^{\deg(g) - \deg(f^k)} \cdot a_k \cdot f^k.$$

It also belongs to J , and it belongs to the ideal (f^k, j^l) if and only if g does.

However, $\deg(g') < \deg(g)$, by construction. This is a contradiction. \square

Corollary 1. *Let k be a field. Then the ring (k -algebra) $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is Noetherian.*

2.9. **Exercise 8.** Show that the algebra $k[x_1, x_2, \dots]$ (the polynomial algebra on infinitely many variables) is not Noetherian.

2.10. **Exercise 9*.** Modify the proof of Hilbert's basis theorem to show that if A is a Noetherian ring, then the ring of formal power series $A[[x]]$ (consisting of infinite expressions $\sum a_n \cdot x^n$) is also Noetherian.

3. INTEGRAL DEPENDENCE

3.1. In this section all algebras will be commutative. Let $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ be a homomorphism of rings. (In this case we say that B is an A -algebra.)

Let $x \in B$ be an element, and let $A[x]_B \subset B$ be the subring of B generated by A and x , i.e., $A[x]_B$ is the image of the homomorphism $A[x] \rightarrow B$ (see Exercise 6).

Proposition 1. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) *There exists an integer n , such that $x^n = \sum_{k < n} \phi(a_k) \cdot x^k$.*
- (b) *The ring $A[x]_B$ is finitely generated as an A -module.*

Proof. If (a) holds, then x^k , $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ generate $A[x]_B$ as an A -module.

If (b) holds, consider the increasing sequence M_i of A -submodules of $A[x]_B$, where M_i is generated by x^k , $1 \leq k \leq i$. By Exercise 1, this sequence stabilizes. Hence, for some n , $M_n = M_{n-1}$. Hence, $x^n \in M_{n-1}$. □

If the conditions of the above proposition hold, we say that x is integral over A .

Proposition 2. *If x is integral over A and $x' \in A[x]_B$, then x' is also integral over A .*

We'll need this assertion only under the assumption that A is Noetherian. The proof in the general case is a little tricky.

3.2. **Exercise 10.** Prove the proposition for A Noetherian.

In the exercises below you may use the above proposition, although we didn't give a proof in the general case. Also, feel free to assume that A is Noetherian.

3.3. **Exercise 11.** Let the homomorphism $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ factor as $A \rightarrow A' \xrightarrow{\phi'} B$, and assume that A' is finitely generated as an A -module. Let $x \in B$ be integral over A' . Show that then x is integral over A as well.

3.4. We say that the ring B is integral over A if every $x \in B$ is integral over A .

3.5. **Exercise 12.** Show that if $x_1, \dots, x_n \in B$ are integral over A , then the ring $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]_B$ is integral over A .

3.6. **Exercise 13.** Show that the subset of elements in B , which are integral over A forms a subring of B .

3.7. We say that B is finitely generated as an A -algebra, if there exist elements $x_1, \dots, x_n \in B$, such that the resulting homomorphism $A[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow B$ (see Exercise 6) is surjective.

In this case we say that x_1, \dots, x_n generate B as an A -algebra. This condition is equivalent, of course, to the fact that the subalgebra $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]_B$ equals the entire B .

3.8. **Exercise 14.** Let A be Noetherian, and let B be a f.g. A -algebra. Show that B is also Noetherian.

3.9. **Exercise 15.** Let B be an A -algebra. Show that B is finitely generated as an A -module if and only if it is finitely generated as an A -algebra **and** is integral.

3.10. **Exercise 16*.** Assume that $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ is injective and that both A and B have no zero-divisors. Assume that B is integral over A . Show that A is a field if and only if B is.

4. A STEP TOWARD NULLSTELLENSATZ

4.1. Let k be a (commutative) field. In this section all rings will be commutative k -algebras.

Consider the polynomial algebra $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ in n variables. Let $f^1, \dots, f^k \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a bunch of elements. We can look for the set of their common zeroes in k^n :

$$V(f_1, \dots, f_k) = \{(c_1, \dots, c_n) \in k^n \mid f^i(c_1, \dots, c_n) = 0, \forall i = 1, \dots, k\}.$$

Let f be any element in the ideal (f^1, \dots, f^k) , generated by the f^i 's. Then, evidently, $f(c_1, \dots, c_n) = 0$ for any $(c_1, \dots, c_n) \in V(f_1, \dots, f_k)$.

Moreover, since any ideal $I \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ has the form (f^1, \dots, f^k) for a finite collection of elements $f^1, \dots, f^k \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ (due to Hilbert's basis theorem), we can speak of the set of zeroes $V(I)$ of an ideal I .

4.2. Now, we'd like to ask the inverse question. Can we reconstruct the ideal I , knowing $V(I)$? Naively, one might guess that if $f(c_1, \dots, c_n) = 0$ for any $(c_1, \dots, c_n) \in V(I)$, then $f \in I$. But this is completely false. E.g., unless k is algebraically closed, $V(I)$ might be empty.

So, we assume that k is algebraically closed. But the naive guess is still false:

4.3. **Exercise 17.** Show that if $f^m \in I$ for some power $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then $f(c_1, \dots, c_n) = 0$ for any $(c_1, \dots, c_n) \in V(I)$.

Hilbert's Nullstellensatz asserts that the above exercise describes the range of failure of the naive guess:

Theorem 3. *Assume that k is algebraically closed. If $f(c_1, \dots, c_n) = 0$ for any $(c_1, \dots, c_n) \in V(I)$, then $f^m \in I$ for some power $m \in \mathbb{N}$.*

4.4. **Weak Nullstellensatz.** Today we will perform a step in the direction of this theorem. We will prove the following:

Theorem 4. *Let k be an algebraically closed field. Then every maximal ideal of $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is the kernel of a homomorphism $k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow k$.*

Before giving the proof, note any homomorphism $\phi : k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow k$ is an evaluation map at some point of k^n . Indeed, the i -coordinate of the point is recovered as $\phi(x_i)$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{m} \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a maximal ideal, and let E be the quotient. By assumption, E is a field, and is finitely generated as a k -algebra. Hence, Weak Nullstellensatz results from the following assertion:

Proposition 3. *Let k be a field and let E be a finitely generated k -algebra, which is a field. Then E is a finite field extension of k .*

Indeed, k being algebraically closed means that any finite field extension $E \supset k$ must coincide with k itself. Thus, it remains to prove the above proposition. \square

4.5. Exercise 18. Assuming the above proposition, describe all maximal ideals of the algebra $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ for k not necessarily algebraically closed.

We will deduce the proposition from the following lemma:

Lemma 1. *Let $A \subset B \subset C$ be algebras. Assume that C is finitely generated as an A -algebra, and that C is finitely generated as a B -module. Assume that A is Noetherian. Then B is also finitely generated as an A -algebra.*

4.6. Proof of the lemma. Let x_1, \dots, x_m generate C as an A -algebra, and let y_1, \dots, y_n generate C as a B -module. Then

$$(1) \quad x_i = \sum_j b_{ij} \cdot y_j$$

$$(2) \quad y_k \cdot y_l = \sum_j b_{klj} y_j$$

for some $b_{ij}, b_{klj} \in B$.

Let B_0 be the A -subalgebra of B , generated by all the b_{ij}, b_{klj} . By the Hilbert Basis Theorem, B_0 is Noetherian, because A is.

Using (1) and (2) we obtain that the y_j 's generate C as a B_0 -module. Since $B \subset C$, by Noetherianness, we obtain that B is also finitely generated as a B_0 -module.

In particular, B is finitely generated as a B_0 -algebra, and hence as an A -algebra.

4.7. Proof of the proposition. Let E be generated as an algebra over k by elements x_1, \dots, x_n . We can assume that for some $0 \leq r \leq n$, the elements x_i , $i \leq r$ are algebraically independent, and each of the elements x_j , $j > r$ is algebraic (=integral) over $F = k(x_1, \dots, x_r)$. We claim that $r = 0$, which is exactly the assertion of the proposition.

By construction and Exercise 12, E is f.g. as an F -module. Applying the lemma, we obtain that F is finitely generated as k -algebra. If $r > 0$, we obtain that the field $F = k'(x_n) := k(x_1, \dots, x_{r-1})(x_r)$ is finitely generated as an algebra over the field $k' := k(x_1, \dots, x_{r-1})$. But this is a contradiction:

4.8. Exercise 19. Show that for a field k' , the field of rational functions $k'(x)$ is never finitely generated as a k' -algebra.