

Enriched Model Categories and Diagram Categories

Bertrand Guillou J. Peter May

University of Chicago

2008 Spring AMS Central Section Meeting
Bloomington, IN

- 1 Enriched Category Theory
 - Enriched Categories & Functors
 - Change of Enrichment
 - Enriched Homotopy Theory

- 1 Enriched Category Theory
 - Enriched Categories & Functors
 - Change of Enrichment
 - Enriched Homotopy Theory
- 2 Enriched Categories as Diagram Categories
 - Diagram Categories
 - Creating Diagram Model Structures
 - Recognizing Diagram Model Structures

- 1 Enriched Category Theory
 - Enriched Categories & Functors
 - Change of Enrichment
 - Enriched Homotopy Theory
- 2 Enriched Categories as Diagram Categories
 - Diagram Categories
 - Creating Diagram Model Structures
 - Recognizing Diagram Model Structures
- 3 Equivariant Categories
 - Two Contexts
 - Equivariant Homotopy Theory
 - Equivariant Spectra

- 1 Enriched Category Theory
 - Enriched Categories & Functors
 - Change of Enrichment
 - Enriched Homotopy Theory
- 2 Enriched Categories as Diagram Categories
 - Diagram Categories
 - Creating Diagram Model Structures
 - Recognizing Diagram Model Structures
- 3 Equivariant Categories
 - Two Contexts
 - Equivariant Homotopy Theory
 - Equivariant Spectra

Let $(\mathcal{V}, \otimes, U)$ be a closed symmetric monoidal category.

Definition

A \mathcal{V} -**category** \mathcal{M} consists of

- a set $Ob(\mathcal{M})$
- for each $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$, an object $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}}(X, Y) \in \mathcal{V}$
- unit morphisms $U \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}}(X, X)$ for each X
- (unital, associative) composition morphisms

$$\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}}(Y, Z) \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}}(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}}(X, Z).$$

Let $(\mathcal{V}, \otimes, U)$ be a closed symmetric monoidal category.

Definition

A \mathcal{V} -**category** \mathcal{M} consists of

- a set $Ob(\mathcal{M})$
- for each $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$, an object $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}}(X, Y) \in \mathcal{V}$
- unit morphisms $U \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}}(X, X)$ for each X
- (unital, associative) composition morphisms

$$\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}}(Y, Z) \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}}(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}}(X, Z).$$

The **underlying** category \mathcal{M} is defined by

$$\mathcal{M}(X, Y) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{V}}(U, \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}}(X, Y)).$$

Let $(\mathcal{V}, \otimes, U)$ be a closed symmetric monoidal category.

Definition

A \mathcal{V} -category \mathcal{M} consists of

- a set $Ob(\mathcal{M})$
- for each $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$, an object $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}}(X, Y) \in \mathcal{V}$
- unit morphisms $U \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}}(X, X)$ for each X
- (unital, associative) composition morphisms

$$\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}}(Y, Z) \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}}(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}}(X, Z).$$

The **underlying** (underline) category $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$ is defined by

$$\underline{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{V}}(U, \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}}(X, Y)).$$

Examples

- $\mathcal{V} = Ab$: an Ab -category is a (pre)additive category

Examples

- $\mathcal{V} = Ab$: an Ab -category is a (pre)additive category
- $\mathcal{V} = Ch_R$, R a commutative ring: a Ch_R -category is called a dg-category

Examples

- $\mathcal{V} = Ab$: an Ab -category is a (pre)additive category
- $\mathcal{V} = Ch_R$, R a commutative ring: a Ch_R -category is called a dg-category
- $\mathcal{V} = sSet$: a $sSet$ -category is a simplicial category

Examples

- $\mathcal{V} = Ab$: an Ab -category is a (pre)additive category
- $\mathcal{V} = Ch_R$, R a commutative ring: a Ch_R -category is called a dg-category
- $\mathcal{V} = sSet$: a $sSet$ -category is a simplicial category
- $\mathcal{V} = Top$: a Top -category is a topological category

Examples

- $\mathcal{V} = Ab$: an *Ab*-category is a (pre)additive category
- $\mathcal{V} = Ch_R$, R a commutative ring: a Ch_R -category is called a dg-category
- $\mathcal{V} = sSet$: a *sSet*-category is a simplicial category
- $\mathcal{V} = Top$: a *Top*-category is a topological category
- $\mathcal{V} = Cat$: a *Cat*-category is a (strict) 2-category

Examples (continued)

A category may have multiple enrichments: Fix a group G , let $X, Y \in G\text{Top}$ (G -spaces). Define

- $\text{Top}_G(X, Y) \in G\text{Top} =$ space of all maps with conjugation action

Examples (continued)

A category may have multiple enrichments: Fix a group G , let $X, Y \in G\text{Top}$ (G -spaces). Define

- $\text{Top}_G(X, Y) \in G\text{Top} =$ space of all maps with conjugation action
- $G\text{Top}(X, Y) \in \text{Top} =$ space of G -maps.

Examples (continued)

A category may have multiple enrichments: Fix a group G , let $X, Y \in G\text{Top}$ (G -spaces). Define

- $\text{Top}_G(X, Y) \in G\text{Top} =$ space of all maps with conjugation action
- $G\text{Top}(X, Y) \in \text{Top} =$ space of G -maps.

Then Top_G is a $G\text{Top}$ -category while $G\text{Top}$ is a Top -category. Moreover, $\underline{\text{Top}}_G = \underline{G\text{Top}}$.

Definition

We say that \mathcal{M} is **tensor**ed and **cotensor**ed (or bitensor)ed over \mathcal{V} if there exist objects $V \odot X$ and X^V for $V \in \mathcal{V}$, $X \in \mathcal{M}$, together with binatural isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}}(V \odot X, Y) \cong \mathcal{V}(V, \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}}(X, Y)) \cong \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}}(X, Y^V).$$

Definition

A \mathcal{V} -**functor** $F : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ between \mathcal{V} -categories is

Definition

A \mathcal{V} -**functor** $F : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ between \mathcal{V} -categories is

- a function $Ob(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow Ob(\mathcal{N})$

Definition

A \mathcal{V} -**functor** $F : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ between \mathcal{V} -categories is

- a function $Ob(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow Ob(\mathcal{N})$
- for each $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$ a morphism

$$\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}}(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{V}}(F(X), F(Y))$$

in \mathcal{V} that is compatible with units and composition.

Change of Enrichment

Let $\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2$ be closed symmetric monoidal categories.

Proposition

Let $\mathbb{T} : \mathcal{V}_1 \rightleftarrows \mathcal{V}_2 : \mathbb{U}$ be adjoint, with \mathbb{T} strong symmetric monoidal. If $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}_2}$ is a bitensored \mathcal{V}_2 -category, one can define a bitensored \mathcal{V}_1 -category by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}_1}(X, Y) &:= \mathbb{U}.\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}_2}(X, Y), & V_1 \odot X &:= \mathbb{T}(V_1) \odot X, \\ \text{and} & & X^{V_1} &:= X^{\mathbb{T}(V_1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Change of Enrichment

Let $\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2$ be closed symmetric monoidal categories.

Proposition

Let $\mathbb{T} : \mathcal{V}_1 \rightleftarrows \mathcal{V}_2 : \mathbb{U}$ be adjoint, with \mathbb{T} strong symmetric monoidal. If $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}_2}$ is a bitensored \mathcal{V}_2 -category, one can define a bitensored \mathcal{V}_1 -category by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}_1}(X, Y) &:= \mathbb{U}.\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}_2}(X, Y), & V_1 \odot X &:= \mathbb{T}(V_1) \odot X, \\ & & \text{and } X^{V_1} &:= X^{\mathbb{T}(V_1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathbb{T}(U_1) \cong U_2$, we have $\underline{M}^{\mathcal{V}_1} = \underline{M}^{\mathcal{V}_2}$.

Change of Enrichment - Examples

Some examples:

- Let $U[-] : \mathit{Set} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ be the functor $U[X] = \coprod_X U$. Then have $U[-] : \mathit{Set} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{V} : \mathit{Hom}_{\mathcal{V}}(U, -)$. Changes $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}}$ to $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$.

Change of Enrichment - Examples

Some examples:

- Let $U[-] : \mathit{Set} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ be the functor $U[X] = \coprod_X U$. Then have $U[-] : \mathit{Set} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{V} : \mathit{Hom}_{\mathcal{V}}(U, -)$. Changes $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}}$ to $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$.
- $| - | : \mathit{sSet} \rightleftarrows \mathit{Top} : \mathit{Sing}_*(-)$. Any (bitensored) Top category becomes a (bitensored) sSet -category.

Change of Enrichment - Examples

Some examples:

- Let $U[-] : \mathit{Set} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ be the functor $U[X] = \coprod_X U$. Then have $U[-] : \mathit{Set} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{V} : \mathit{Hom}_{\mathcal{V}}(U, -)$. Changes $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}}$ to $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$.
- $| - | : \mathit{sSet} \rightleftarrows \mathit{Top} : \mathit{Sing}_*(-)$. Any (bitensored) Top category becomes a (bitensored) sSet -category.
- $\mathit{Triv} : \mathit{Top} \rightleftarrows \mathit{GTop} : (-)^G$. This change of enrichment produces GTop from Top_G .

Change of Enrichment - Examples

Some examples:

- Let $U[-] : \mathit{Set} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ be the functor $U[X] = \coprod_X U$. Then have $U[-] : \mathit{Set} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{V} : \mathit{Hom}_{\mathcal{V}}(U, -)$. Changes $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}}$ to $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$.
- $| - | : \mathit{sSet} \rightleftarrows \mathit{Top} : \mathit{Sing}_*(-)$. Any (bitensored) Top category becomes a (bitensored) sSet -category.
- $\mathit{Triv} : \mathit{Top} \rightleftarrows \mathit{GTop} : (-)^G$. This change of enrichment produces GTop from Top_G .
- $\Sigma^\infty : \mathit{Top}_* \rightleftarrows \mathcal{S} : \Omega^\infty$, for \mathcal{S} some good category of spectra. (function spectra versus function spaces).

Change of Enrichment - Examples

Some examples:

- Let $U[-] : \mathit{Set} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ be the functor $U[X] = \coprod_X U$. Then have $U[-] : \mathit{Set} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{V} : \mathit{Hom}_{\mathcal{V}}(U, -)$. Changes $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}}$ to $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$.
- $|-| : s\mathit{Set} \rightleftarrows \mathit{Top} : \mathit{Sing}_*(-)$. Any (bitensored) Top category becomes a (bitensored) $s\mathit{Set}$ -category.
- $\mathit{Triv} : \mathit{Top} \rightleftarrows \mathit{GTop} : (-)^G$. This change of enrichment produces GTop from Top_G .
- $\Sigma^\infty : \mathit{Top}_* \rightleftarrows \mathcal{S} : \Omega^\infty$, for \mathcal{S} some good category of spectra. (function spectra versus function spaces).
- $\mathcal{P} : s\mathit{Set} \rightleftarrows \mathit{Cat} : \mathcal{N}$

Definition

A **monoidal model category** \mathcal{V} is a monoidal category with a model structure on $\underline{\mathcal{V}}$ such that

- (Pushout-product) $V \hookrightarrow W, Y \xrightarrow{(\sim)} Z \Rightarrow$

$$V \otimes Z \coprod_{V \otimes Y} W \otimes Y \xrightarrow{(\sim)} W \otimes Z$$

- (unit) For $\emptyset \hookrightarrow V$ have $QU \otimes V \xrightarrow{\sim} U \otimes V \cong V$.

Definition

A **monoidal model category** \mathcal{V} is a monoidal category with a model structure on $\underline{\mathcal{V}}$ such that

- (Pushout-product) $V \hookrightarrow W, Y \xrightarrow{(\sim)} Z \Rightarrow$

$$V \otimes Z \coprod_{V \otimes Y} W \otimes Y \xrightarrow{(\sim)} W \otimes Z$$

- (unit) For $\emptyset \hookrightarrow V$ have $QU \otimes V \xrightarrow{\sim} U \otimes V \cong V$.

The homotopy category $Ho(\mathcal{V})$ is monoidal.

Let \mathcal{V} be a closed symmetric monoidal model category.

Definition

A **\mathcal{V} -model category** \mathcal{M} is a (bitensored) \mathcal{V} -category \mathcal{M} with a model structure on $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$ such that

Let \mathcal{V} be a closed symmetric monoidal model category.

Definition

A \mathcal{V} -**model category** \mathcal{M} is a (bitensored) \mathcal{V} -category \mathcal{M} with a model structure on $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$ such that

- (SM7) Given $A \xrightarrow{(\sim)} B$ and $X \xrightarrow{(\sim)} Y$ in $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$, have

$$\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}}(B, X) \xrightarrow{(\sim)} \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}}(A, X) \times_{\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}}(A, Y)} \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}}(B, Y) \quad \text{in } \underline{\mathcal{V}}.$$

Let \mathcal{V} be a closed symmetric monoidal model category.

Definition

A \mathcal{V} -**model category** \mathcal{M} is a (bitensored) \mathcal{V} -category \mathcal{M} with a model structure on $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$ such that

- (SM7) Given $A \xrightarrow{(\sim)} B$ and $X \xrightarrow{(\sim)} Y$ in $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$, have

$$\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}}(B, X) \xrightarrow{(\sim)} \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}}(A, X) \times_{\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}}(A, Y)} \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}}(B, Y) \quad \text{in } \underline{\mathcal{V}}.$$

- (Unit) Given $\emptyset \hookrightarrow X$ in $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$, have

$$QU \odot X \xrightarrow{\sim} U \odot X \cong X \quad \text{in } \underline{\mathcal{M}}$$

Change of Enrichment Revisited

Let \mathcal{V}_1 and \mathcal{V}_2 be monoidal model categories.

Proposition

Let $\mathbb{T} : \mathcal{V}_1 \rightleftarrows \mathcal{V}_2 : \mathbb{U}$ be a Quillen pair such that \mathbb{T} is strong symmetric monoidal and such that $\mathbb{T}(QU_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}(U_1)$ is a weak equivalence. Then $L\mathbb{T} : Ho(\mathcal{V}_1) \rightleftarrows Ho(\mathcal{V}_2) : \mathbb{R}\mathbb{U}$ is strong symmetric monoidal.

Change of Enrichment Revisited

Let \mathcal{V}_1 and \mathcal{V}_2 be monoidal model categories.

Proposition

Let $\mathbb{T} : \mathcal{V}_1 \rightleftarrows \mathcal{V}_2 : \mathbb{U}$ be a Quillen pair such that \mathbb{T} is strong symmetric monoidal and such that $\mathbb{T}(QU_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}(U_1)$ is a weak equivalence. Then $L\mathbb{T} : Ho(\mathcal{V}_1) \rightleftarrows Ho(\mathcal{V}_2) : \mathbb{R}\mathbb{U}$ is strong symmetric monoidal.

Proposition

Let (\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{U}) be as above, and let \mathcal{M} be a \mathcal{V}_2 -model category. Then the \mathcal{V}_1 -model category $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}_1}$ is a \mathcal{V}_1 -model category.

- 1 Enriched Category Theory
 - Enriched Categories & Functors
 - Change of Enrichment
 - Enriched Homotopy Theory
- 2 Enriched Categories as Diagram Categories
 - Diagram Categories
 - Creating Diagram Model Structures
 - Recognizing Diagram Model Structures
- 3 Equivariant Categories
 - Two Contexts
 - Equivariant Homotopy Theory
 - Equivariant Spectra

Given a small \mathcal{V} -category \mathcal{D} , let $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{V})$ be the \mathcal{V} -category of contravariant \mathcal{V} -functors $\mathcal{D}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$.

Construction

Let $\mathbb{I} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be a \mathcal{V} -functor. Define a \mathcal{V} -functor $\mathbb{I}^* : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{V})$ by

$$\mathbb{I}^*(M)(d) = \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{I}(d), M).$$

Define $\mathbb{I}_! : \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ by $\mathbb{I}_!(X) = X \odot_{\mathcal{D}} \mathbb{I}$. Then

$$\mathbb{I}_! : \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{V}) \rightleftarrows \mathcal{M} : \mathbb{I}^*$$

defines an adjoint pair.

Projective Model Structure

Definition

We say that \mathcal{D} is \mathcal{V} -good if each $\mathcal{D}^{\mathcal{V}}(d, d') \otimes - : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ preserves acyclic cofibrations.

Theorem (Kan, Schwede-Shiely, Shulman)

If \mathcal{D} is \mathcal{V} -good, then $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{V})$ is a (cofib. gen.) \mathcal{V} -model category, with weak equivalences and fibrations given objectwise.

Question

Given a \mathcal{V} -functor $\mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{I}} \mathcal{M}$, can one define a \mathcal{V} -model structure on \mathcal{M} so that

$$\mathbb{I}_! : \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{V}) \rightleftarrows \mathcal{M} : \mathbb{I}^*$$

becomes a Quillen equivalence?

Creating Diagram Model Structures

Definition

A map $f : M \rightarrow N$ in \mathcal{M} is said to be a \mathcal{D} -equivalence (\mathcal{D} -fibration) if $\mathbb{I}^*(f)$ is a weak equivalence (fibration).

Theorem (Dwyer-Kan, GM)

Let \mathcal{D} be \mathcal{M} -good. Then \mathcal{M} has a \mathcal{V} -model structure, where the weak equivalences are the \mathcal{D} -equivalences and the fibrations are the \mathcal{D} -fibrations. The adjoint pair

$$\mathbb{I}_! : \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{V}) \rightleftarrows \mathcal{M} : \mathbb{I}^*$$

is a Quillen adjoint pair. It is a Quillen equivalence if and only if for each cofibrant $X \in \mathcal{P}$, the unit $X \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathbb{I}^\mathbb{I}_!(X)$ is a weak equivalence.*

Example: Modeling G -Spaces

Let $G =$ topological group, consider $G\text{Top}$.

- Say $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a weak equivalence (fibration) if $f^H : X^H \rightarrow Y^H$ is a weak homotopy equivalence (fibration) for each closed $H \leq G$.
Note that $X^H = G\text{Top}(G/H, X)$.
- Define Top -category \mathcal{O}_G with objects G/H for $H \leq G$ closed and with $\mathcal{O}_G(G/H, G/K) = G\text{Top}(G/H, G/K)$. Have $\mathbb{I} : \mathcal{O}_G \rightarrow G\text{Top}$.

Example: Modeling G -Spaces

Let $G =$ topological group, consider $G\text{Top}$.

- Say $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a weak equivalence (fibration) if $f^H : X^H \rightarrow Y^H$ is a weak homotopy equivalence (fibration) for each closed $H \leq G$.
Note that $X^H = G\text{Top}(G/H, X)$.
- Define Top -category \mathcal{O}_G with objects G/H for $H \leq G$ closed and with $\mathcal{O}_G(G/H, G/K) = G\text{Top}(G/H, G/K)$. Have $\mathbb{I} : \mathcal{O}_G \rightarrow G\text{Top}$.

Theorem (Elmendorf, Dwyer-Kan)

The above induces a Quillen equivalence

$$\mathbb{I}_! : \mathcal{P}_{\text{Top}}(\mathcal{O}_G, \text{Top}) \rightleftarrows G\text{Top} : \mathbb{I}^*$$

Question

Given a \mathcal{V} -model category \mathcal{M} , when can one find a small \mathcal{V} -category \mathcal{D} and a \mathcal{V} -functor $\mathbb{I} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$, such that the adjunction

$$\mathbb{I}_! : \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{V}) \rightleftarrows \mathcal{M} : \mathbb{I}^*$$

is a Quillen equivalence?

Question

Given a \mathcal{V} -model category \mathcal{M} , when can one find a small \mathcal{V} -category \mathcal{D} and a \mathcal{V} -functor $\mathbb{I} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$, such that the adjunction

$$\mathbb{I}_! : \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{V}) \rightleftarrows \mathcal{M} : \mathbb{I}^*$$

is a Quillen equivalence?

Question'

Given a \mathcal{V} -model category \mathcal{M} , when can one find a small, full \mathcal{V} -subcategory $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ such that the adjunction induced by the inclusion $\mathbb{I} : \mathcal{D} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}$

$$\mathbb{I}_! : \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{V}) \rightleftarrows \mathcal{M} : \mathbb{I}^*$$

is a Quillen equivalence?

Definition

\mathcal{D} is called a

- **reflecting set** if $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$ between fibrant objects is a weak equivalence if $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{I}(d), X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{I}(d), Y)$ for all $d \in \mathcal{D}$
- **creating set** if $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$ is a weak equivalence if and only if $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{I}(d), X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{I}(d), Y)$ for all $d \in \mathcal{D}$

Definition

\mathcal{D} is called a

- **reflecting set** if $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$ between fibrant objects is a weak equivalence if $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{I}(d), X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{I}(d), Y)$ for all $d \in \mathcal{D}$
- **creating set** if $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$ is a weak equivalence if and only if $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{I}(d), X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{I}(d), Y)$ for all $d \in \mathcal{D}$

Assumption

- objects of \mathcal{D} are bifibrant (in \mathcal{M})
- \mathcal{D} is \mathcal{V} -good

Theorem

The adjunction

$$\mathbb{I}_! : \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{V}) \rightleftarrows \mathcal{M} : \mathbb{I}^*$$

is a Quillen pair. It is a Quillen equivalence if and only if \mathcal{D} is a reflecting set and

$$X \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathbb{I}^* \mathbb{I}_!(X) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{I}^*(r)} \mathbb{I}^*(R\mathbb{I}_!(X))$$

is a weak equivalence for cofibrant X .

If \mathcal{D} is a creating set, fibrant replacement is not needed.

- 1 Enriched Category Theory
 - Enriched Categories & Functors
 - Change of Enrichment
 - Enriched Homotopy Theory
- 2 Enriched Categories as Diagram Categories
 - Diagram Categories
 - Creating Diagram Model Structures
 - Recognizing Diagram Model Structures
- 3 Equivariant Categories
 - Two Contexts
 - Equivariant Homotopy Theory
 - Equivariant Spectra

Equivariant Contexts

Interested in G -equivariant homotopy theory, where G is

- a discrete (usually finite) group, or
- a group object in a cartesian monoidal category \mathcal{V}

Equivariant Contexts

Interested in G -equivariant homotopy theory, where G is

- a discrete (usually finite) group, or
- a group object in a cartesian monoidal category \mathcal{V}

Definition

Let

- (discrete) $U[G] = \coprod_G U \in \mathcal{V}$
- (cart. mon.) $U[G] = G \in \mathcal{V}$

Let $*_G$ be one-object \mathcal{V} -category with $\text{End}(\star) = U[G]$.

Then $\underline{G}\mathcal{M} = \text{category of } \mathcal{V}\text{-functors } *_G \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$.

Thus have morphism $U[G] \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}}(X, X)$ in \mathcal{V}

Equivariant Contexts

Interested in G -equivariant homotopy theory, where G is

- a discrete (usually finite) group, or
- a group object in a cartesian monoidal category \mathcal{V}

Definition

Let

- (discrete) $U[G] = \coprod_G U \in \mathcal{V}$
- (cart. mon.) $U[G] = G \in \mathcal{V}$

Let $*_G$ be one-object \mathcal{V} -category with $\text{End}(\star) = U[G]$.

Then $\underline{G}\mathcal{M} = \text{category of } \mathcal{V}\text{-functors } *_G \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$.

Thus have morphism $U[G] \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{V}}(X, X)$ in \mathcal{V}

Note when $\mathcal{V} = \text{Mod}_k$, $k[G] = k[G]$.

Orbits, Fixed Points

Let $X : *_G \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{GM}$. Define

$$X/G := \operatorname{colim} X = \operatorname{coeq} (X(\star) \odot U[G] \rightrightarrows X(\star) \odot U),$$

$$X^G := \operatorname{lim} X = \operatorname{eq} (X(\star)^U \rightrightarrows X(\star)^{U[G]}).$$

Gives

$$(-)/G : \mathbf{GM} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{M} : \operatorname{Triv}, \quad \operatorname{Triv} : \mathcal{M} \rightleftarrows \mathbf{GM} : (-)^G.$$

Let $X : *_G \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \in G\mathcal{M}$. Define

$$X/G := \operatorname{colim} X = \operatorname{coeq} (X(\star) \odot U[G] \rightrightarrows X(\star) \odot U),$$

$$X^G := \operatorname{lim} X = \operatorname{eq} (X(\star)^U \rightrightarrows X(\star)^{U[G]}).$$

Gives

$$(-)/G : G\mathcal{M} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{M} : \operatorname{Triv}, \quad \operatorname{Triv} : \mathcal{M} \rightleftarrows G\mathcal{M} : (-)^G.$$

Definition

Have $G\mathcal{V}$ -category \mathcal{M}_G and its G -fixed \mathcal{V} -category $G\mathcal{M}$, changing enrichment along $\operatorname{Triv} : \mathcal{V} \rightleftarrows G\mathcal{V} : (-)^G$.

Equivariant Homotopy Theory

Since $G\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{P}_V(*_G^{op}, \mathcal{M})$, have projective model str. on $G\mathcal{M}$.
Correct model str. accounts for subgroups.

- Restriction $G\mathcal{M} \rightarrow H\mathcal{M}$ for $H \leq G$ allows to define $(-)/H$ and $(-)^H$.
- Say $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in $G\mathcal{M}$ is a weak equivalence (fibration) if $f^H : X^H \rightarrow Y^H$ is a weak equivalence (fibration).

Equivariant Homotopy Theory

Since $G\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(*_G^{op}, \mathcal{M})$, have projective model str. on $G\mathcal{M}$.
Correct model str. accounts for subgroups.

- Restriction $G\mathcal{M} \rightarrow H\mathcal{M}$ for $H \leq G$ allows to define $(-)/H$ and $(-)^H$.
- Say $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in $G\mathcal{M}$ is a weak equivalence (fibration) if $f^H : X^H \rightarrow Y^H$ is a weak equivalence (fibration).

Theorem

If the functors $(-)^H$ are good, then the above determines a \mathcal{V} -model structure on $G\mathcal{M}$.

$G\mathcal{M}$ as \mathcal{O}_G -diagrams

Would like to model $G\mathcal{M}$ as \mathcal{O}_G for some \mathcal{O}_G .

Take \mathcal{O}_G to be full \mathcal{V} -subcategory of $G\mathcal{V}$ on $U[G/H]$.

Have adjunction $\mathbb{J}^* : \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{O}_G, \mathcal{M}) \rightleftarrows G\mathcal{M} : \mathbb{J}_*$, with $\mathbb{J} : *_G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_G$ picks out $U[G/e]$.

Would like to model $G\mathcal{M}$ as \mathcal{O}_G for some \mathcal{O}_G .

Take \mathcal{O}_G to be full \mathcal{V} -subcategory of $G\mathcal{V}$ on $U[G/H]$.

Have adjunction $\mathbb{J}^* : \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{O}_G, \mathcal{M}) \rightleftarrows G\mathcal{M} : \mathbb{J}_*$, with $\mathbb{J} : *_G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_G$ picks out $U[G/e]$.

Theorem

The adjunction $(\mathbb{J}^, \mathbb{J}_*)$ is a Quillen adjunction. It is a Quillen equivalence if the functors $(-)^H$ are cellular.*

Equivariant Spectra

Take $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{V} = \mathcal{S}$, some good category of spectra (eg. orthogonal). For G compact Lie, define $G\mathcal{S}$ as above. These are **naive G -spectra**.

Theorem

$G\mathcal{S}$ has an \mathcal{S} -model category structure, with weak equivalences and fibrations created by $(-)^H$, $H \leq G$ closed. There is a Quillen equiv. of \mathcal{S} -model categories

$$\mathbb{J}^* : \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{O}_G, \mathcal{S}) \rightleftarrows G\mathcal{S} : \mathbb{J}_*.$$

Objects of \mathcal{O}_G are $\Sigma^\infty(G/H)_+$.

Equivariant Spectra

Take $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{V} = \mathcal{S}$, some good category of spectra (eg. orthogonal). For G compact Lie, define $G\mathcal{S}$ as above. These are **naive G -spectra**.

Theorem

$G\mathcal{S}$ has an \mathcal{S} -model category structure, with weak equivalences and fibrations created by $(-)^H$, $H \leq G$ closed. There is a Quillen equiv. of \mathcal{S} -model categories

$$\mathbb{J}^* : \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{O}_G, \mathcal{S}) \rightleftarrows G\mathcal{S} : \mathbb{J}_*.$$

Objects of \mathcal{O}_G are $\Sigma^\infty(G/H)_+$.

But, $G\mathcal{S}$ is wrong category of equivariant spectra.

Genuine Equivariant Spectra

Fix $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{OS}$ (orthogonal spectra).

Naive category $G\mathcal{S} = G\mathcal{S}_{UG}$, (only trivial representations).

True category is $G\mathcal{S}_U$, U some complete G -universe (contains all representations).

Definition

For $X \in G\mathcal{S}_U$, $H \leq G$ and $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, define

$$\pi_q^H(X) := \operatorname{colim}_V \pi_q((\Omega^V X(V))^H).$$

Theorem (Mandell-May)

$G\mathcal{S}_U$ is monoidal model cat (we = π_* -iso). There is “change of universe” Quillen pair

$$i_* : G\mathcal{S}_{UG} \rightleftarrows G\mathcal{S}_U : i^*$$

with i_* strong symmetric monoidal.

Since $Triv : \mathcal{S} \rightleftarrows G\mathcal{S}_{UG} : (-)^G$ is also Quillen pair with $Triv$ strong symmetric monoidal, can change enrichment to get \mathcal{S} -category $G\mathcal{S}_U$.

Let $G\mathcal{B} \subseteq G\mathcal{S}_U$ be full \mathcal{S} -subcat on $\Sigma^\infty(G/H)_+$.

Theorem

Have Quillen equiv of \mathcal{S} -categories

$$\mathbb{I}_! : \mathcal{P}(G\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{S}) \rightleftarrows G\mathcal{S}_U : \mathbb{I}^*.$$

Next?

Now take G finite.

Hope

There is (discrete) category GB with Quillen equivalence of \mathcal{S} -categories

$$\mathcal{P}(GB, \mathcal{S}) \rightleftarrows G\mathcal{S}_U.$$

Thanks!