### Double orthodontia formulas and Lascoux positivity

Linus Setiabrata (joint with Avery St. Dizier)

University of Chicago

October 30, 2024

arXiv:2410.08038

### Outline

- Schubert polynomials and flagged Weyl modules
- Orthodontia formula for flagged Weyl modules
  - and key positivity of their dual characters
- Orthodontia formula for double Grothendieck polynomials
  - and a curious Lascoux positivity result

Goal: Analogue of flagged Weyl module for Grothendieck polynomials.

## Schubert polynomials

Schubert polynomials  $\mathfrak{S}_w$  are certain lifts of Schubert cycles  $[X_w] \in H^*(\mathcal{F}\ell_n)$ .

э

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

# Schubert polynomials

Schubert polynomials  $\mathfrak{S}_w$  are certain lifts of Schubert cycles  $[X_w] \in H^*(\mathcal{F}\ell_n)$ .

#### Definition

The *i-th divided difference operator* is

$$\partial_i(f) := rac{f-s_i \cdot f}{x_i-x_{i+1}},$$

for 
$$i \in [n-1]$$
.  $(s_i \cdot f := f(x_1, ..., x_{i+1}, x_i, ..., x_n))$ 

#### Definition

For  $w \in S_n$ , recursively define *Schubert polynomials*:

$$\mathfrak{S}_w(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} x_1^{n-1} x_2^{n-2} \dots x_{n-1} & \text{if } w = w_0 \\ \partial_i(\mathfrak{S}_{ws_i}(\mathbf{x})) & \text{if } \ell(w) < \ell(ws_i). \end{cases}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

# Schur polynomials

#### Example

Schur polynomials  $s_{\lambda} := \operatorname{ch}(V_{\lambda})$  are  $\mathfrak{S}_w$  for "Grassmannian w".

(The  $GL_n$ -irreps  $V_\lambda$  are "representation-theoretic avatars" of Grassmannian  $\mathfrak{S}_{w}$ .)

	<u> </u>			
Linuc	50	+++-	hra	<b>t n</b>
LIIIUS	00	ua	Dia	La

э

# Schur polynomials

#### Example

Schur polynomials  $s_{\lambda} := ch(V_{\lambda})$  are  $\mathfrak{S}_w$  for "Grassmannian w".

(The  $GL_n$ -irreps  $V_\lambda$  are "representation-theoretic avatars" of Grassmannian  $\mathfrak{S}_{w}$ .)

$$[X_{u}] \cdot [X_{v}] = \sum_{w} c_{uv}^{w} [X_{w}] \quad \iff \quad V_{\lambda} \otimes V_{\mu} = \bigoplus_{\nu} V_{\nu}^{\oplus c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}}$$
  
intersection nos.  $\iff$  multiplicities of irreps

 $c_{uv}^{w}$ : "Littlewood–Richardson coefficients"

Central problem: Combinatorial formula for  $c_{uv}^w$ ?

く 伺 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

# Rothe diagrams

(Towards representation-theoretic avatars of general  $\mathfrak{S}_w$ )

 $w \rightsquigarrow D(w)$  "Rothe diagram"

12

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト …

# Rothe diagrams

(Towards representation-theoretic avatars of general  $\mathfrak{S}_w$ )

 $w \rightsquigarrow D(w)$  "Rothe diagram"

### Definition

- Draw  $n \times n$  grid with dots in *i*-th row and w(i)-th column
- Draw "death rays" emanating east and south of each dot
- Remaining squares are D(w).



### Flagged Weyl modules

#### $D \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{M}_D$ "flagged Weyl module"

(representation of  $B := \{ upper triangular matrices \} \subseteq GL_n \}$ 

### Flagged Weyl modules

### $D \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{M}_D$ "flagged Weyl module"

(representation of  $B := \{\text{upper triangular matrices}\} \subseteq GL_n$ )

### Theorem (Kraśkiewicz–Pragacz '87)

The dual character  $ch^*(\mathcal{M}_{D(w)})$  is the Schubert polynomial  $\mathfrak{S}_w$ .

(Dual character of V is  $ch^*(V)(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = tr(diag(x_1^{-1}, \ldots, x_n^{-1}): V \to V).)$ 

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

(What does  $\mathcal{M}_D$  buy us?)

#### Question

Assume that  $\mathbf{x}^{\alpha-\beta}$  and  $\mathbf{x}^{\alpha+\beta}$  appear in  $\mathfrak{S}_w$ . Does  $\mathbf{x}^{\alpha}$  appear?



1.1.1		C					
LIN	us	<u>э</u>	eτ	a	Dr	a	τa

э

7/31

(日)

(What does  $\mathcal{M}_D$  buy us?)

#### Question

Assume that  $\mathbf{x}^{\alpha-\beta}$  and  $\mathbf{x}^{\alpha+\beta}$  appear in  $\mathfrak{S}_w$ . Does  $\mathbf{x}^{\alpha}$  appear?



Conjecture (Monical–Tokcan–Yong '19)

 $\mathcal{N}(w) := \{ \operatorname{wt}(C) \colon \mathbf{x}^{\operatorname{wt}(C)} \text{ appears in } \mathfrak{S}_w \}$  is saturated.

(Saturated:  $S = \operatorname{conv}(S) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ .)

イロト イヨト イヨト ・

Theorem (Fink–Mészáros–St. Dizier '18)  $\mathcal{N}(D) := \{ \operatorname{wt}(C) : \mathbf{x}^{\operatorname{wt}(C)} \text{ appears in } \operatorname{ch}^*(\mathcal{M}_D) \} \text{ is saturated.}$ 

Idea: use rep theory description of monomials in  $ch^*(\mathcal{M}_D)$ .

イロト 不得 トイラト イラト 一日

Theorem (Fink–Mészáros–St. Dizier '18)  $\mathcal{N}(D) := \{ \operatorname{wt}(C) : \mathbf{x}^{\operatorname{wt}(C)} \text{ appears in } \operatorname{ch}^*(\mathcal{M}_D) \} \text{ is saturated.} \}$ 

Idea: use rep theory description of monomials in ch\*(M<sub>D</sub>).
S(D) := {"diagrams obtained by bubbling boxes of D upwards"}



8/31

Theorem (Fink–Mészáros–St. Dizier '18)  $\mathcal{N}(D) := \{ wt(C) : \mathbf{x}^{wt(C)} \text{ appears in } ch^*(\mathcal{M}_D) \} \text{ is saturated.}$ 

Idea: use rep theory description of monomials in  $ch^*(\mathcal{M}_D)$ .

- $S(D) := \{$  "diagrams obtained by bubbling boxes of D upwards"  $\}$
- Rep theory: monomials appearing in ch<sup>\*</sup>(M<sub>D</sub>) is {x<sup>wt(C)</sup>: C ∈ S(D)} (→ can check "in one go" if x<sup>α</sup> appears.)



8/31

Theorem (Fink–Mészáros–St. Dizier '18)  $\mathcal{N}(D) := \{ wt(C) : \mathbf{x}^{wt(C)} \text{ appears in } ch^*(\mathcal{M}_D) \} \text{ is saturated.}$ 

Idea: use rep theory description of monomials in  $ch^*(\mathcal{M}_D)$ .

- $S(D) := \{$  "diagrams obtained by bubbling boxes of D upwards"  $\}$
- Rep theory: monomials appearing in ch<sup>\*</sup>(M<sub>D</sub>) is {x<sup>wt(C)</sup>: C ∈ S(D)} (→ can check "in one go" if x<sup>α</sup> appears.)



Also in Fink–Mészáros–St. Dizier:  $conv(\mathcal{N}(D))$  is a generalized permutahedron.

イロト 不得 トイラト イラト 一日

# %-avoiding diagrams

(Towards the *orthodontia formula* computing  $ch^*(\mathcal{M}_D)$ )



# %-avoiding diagrams

(Towards the orthodontia formula computing  $ch^*(\mathcal{M}_D)$ )



### Proposition

The Rothe diagram D(w) is %-avoiding for all  $w \in S_n$ .



### Orthodontic sequence

$$D_j := j$$
-th column of a diagram  $D$ 

#### Proposition (Reiner-Shimozono '98)

If D is %-avoiding, it can be reduced to the empty diagram via:

- Remove columns:  $D \mapsto D \setminus D_j$  when  $D_j = [i]$
- Swap rows i and i + 1:  $D \mapsto s_i D$  when  $i \in D_k \Longrightarrow i + 1 \in D_k$  for all k.

- 4 同 ト 4 三 ト - 4 三 ト - -

### Orthodontic sequence

$$D_j := j$$
-th column of a diagram  $D$ 

### Proposition (Reiner-Shimozono '98)

If D is %-avoiding, it can be reduced to the empty diagram via:

- Remove columns:  $D \mapsto D \setminus D_j$  when  $D_j = [i]$
- Swap rows i and i + 1:  $D \mapsto s_i D$  when  $i \in D_k \Longrightarrow i + 1 \in D_k$  for all k.



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

# Orthodontia for flagged Weyl modules $\pi_i(f) := \partial_i(x_i f).$

Theorem (Magyar '98, "orthodontia formula")

Let D be a %-avoiding diagram. Then:

- $\operatorname{ch}^*(\mathcal{M}_D) = x_1 \dots x_i \cdot \operatorname{ch}^*(\mathcal{M}_{D \setminus D_j})$  if  $D_j = [i]$ .
- $ch^*(\mathcal{M}_D) = \pi_i(ch^*(\mathcal{M}_{s_iD}))$  when  $i \in D_k$  implies  $i + 1 \in D_k$  for all k.

# Orthodontia for flagged Weyl modules $\pi_i(f) := \partial_i(x_i f).$

Theorem (Magyar '98, "orthodontia formula")

Let D be a %-avoiding diagram. Then:

- $\operatorname{ch}^*(\mathcal{M}_D) = x_1 \dots x_i \cdot \operatorname{ch}^*(\mathcal{M}_{D \setminus D_j})$  if  $D_j = [i]$ .
- $ch^*(\mathcal{M}_D) = \pi_i(ch^*(\mathcal{M}_{s_iD}))$  when  $i \in D_k$  implies  $i + 1 \in D_k$  for all k.



Proof involves:  $\mathcal{M}_D \cong \{ \text{sections of a line bundle on a variety} \}.$ 

Uses comb. of chamber sets (Leclerc–Zelevinsky), geom. of Frobenius splitting (Van der Kallen).

Linus Setiabrata

11/31

# Orthodontia for flagged Weyl modules, II

Theorem (Magyar '98, "orthodontia formula") Let D be a %-avoiding diagram. Then: •  $ch^*(\mathcal{M}_D) = x_1 \dots x_i \cdot ch^*(\mathcal{M}_{D \setminus D_j})$  if  $D_j = [i]$ . •  $ch^*(\mathcal{M}_D) = \pi_i(ch^*(\mathcal{M}_{s_iD}))$  when  $i \in D_k$  implies  $i + 1 \in D_k$  for all k.

### Corollary (Magyar '98)

For any %-avoiding diagram D, the dual character  $ch^*(\mathcal{M}_D)$  can be obtained from  $1 \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]$  by applying various  $\cdot x_1 \dots x_i$  and  $\pi_i$ .

12/31

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

# Key polynomials

Key polynomials  $\kappa_{\alpha}$  were first defined as characters of *Demazure modules*.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 >

э

# Key polynomials

Key polynomials  $\kappa_{\alpha}$  were first defined as characters of *Demazure modules*.

#### Definition

For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n}$ , recursively define *key polynomials*:

$$\kappa_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n} & \text{if } \alpha_1 \ge \dots \ge \alpha_n \\ \pi_i(\kappa_{s_i\alpha}(\mathbf{x})) & \text{if } \alpha_i < \alpha_{i+1}. \end{cases}$$

(日)

э

# Key polynomials

Key polynomials  $\kappa_{\alpha}$  were first defined as characters of *Demazure modules*.

#### Definition

For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n}$ , recursively define *key polynomials*:

$$\kappa_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n} & \text{if } \alpha_1 \ge \dots \ge \alpha_n \\ \pi_i(\kappa_{s_i\alpha}(\mathbf{x})) & \text{if } \alpha_i < \alpha_{i+1}. \end{cases}$$

### Lemma (Reiner-Shimozono '98)

For any k and  $\alpha$ , the polynomial  $x_1 \dots x_k \cdot \kappa_{\alpha}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -linear combination of key polynomials.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

#### Proposition

For %-avoiding D, the dual character  $ch^*(\mathcal{M}_D)$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -linear combination of key polynomials.

	~		
Linuc	50	<b>t</b> 12	brata
LIIIUS	20	ua	Diata

< 1 k

э

#### Proposition

Linus S

For %-avoiding D, the dual character  $ch^*(\mathcal{M}_D)$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -linear combination of key polynomials.

Proof.

Orthodontia:  $ch^*(\mathcal{M}_D)$  can be obtained from  $1 \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]$  by applying various  $\pi_i$  and  $\cdot x_1 \dots x_i$ .

etiabrata	Double orthodontia	October 30, 2024	14 / 31
	4		

#### Proposition

For %-avoiding D, the dual character  $ch^*(\mathcal{M}_D)$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -linear combination of key polynomials.

#### Proof.

Orthodontia:  $ch^*(\mathcal{M}_D)$  can be obtained from  $1 \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]$  by applying various  $\pi_i$  and  $\cdot x_1 \dots x_i$ .

Since  $\pi_i(\kappa_\alpha) = \kappa_{\alpha'}$  for some  $\alpha'$ , the operator  $\pi_i$  preserves key positivity.

#### Proposition

For %-avoiding D, the dual character  $ch^*(\mathcal{M}_D)$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -linear combination of key polynomials.

#### Proof.

Orthodontia:  $ch^*(\mathcal{M}_D)$  can be obtained from  $1 \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]$  by applying various  $\pi_i$  and  $\cdot x_1 \dots x_i$ .

Since  $\pi_i(\kappa_\alpha) = \kappa_{\alpha'}$  for some  $\alpha'$ , the operator  $\pi_i$  preserves key positivity.

Since  $x_1 \ldots x_i \cdot \kappa_{\alpha}$  is key positive, the operator  $\cdot x_1 \ldots x_i$  preserves key positivity.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

### Double Grothendieck polynomials

Double Grothendieck polynomials  $\mathfrak{G}_w(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{y})$  are lifts of structure sheaves of Schubert varieties  $[\mathcal{O}_{X_w}] \in K_T^*(\mathcal{F}\ell_n)$ .

### Double Grothendieck polynomials

Double Grothendieck polynomials  $\mathfrak{G}_w(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{y})$  are lifts of structure sheaves of Schubert varieties  $[\mathcal{O}_{X_w}] \in K_T^*(\mathcal{F}\ell_n)$ .

#### Definition

For  $w \in S_n$ , recursively define *double Grothendieck polynomials*:

$$\mathfrak{G}_w(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y}) = \begin{cases} \prod_{i+j \leq n} (x_i + y_j - x_i y_j) & \text{if } w = w_0 \\ \overline{\partial}_i (\mathfrak{G}_{ws_i}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y})) & \text{if } \ell(w) < \ell(ws_i), \end{cases}$$

where  $\overline{\partial}_i(f) := \partial_i((1 - x_{i+1})f)$ .

Lowest degree part of  $\mathfrak{G}_w(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{0})$  is  $\mathfrak{S}_w$ .

Combinatorics of  $\mathfrak{S}_w$  often extends to  $\mathfrak{G}_w(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{y})$ .

Linus Setiabrata

Double orthodontia

3

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 >

Combinatorics of  $\mathfrak{S}_w$  often extends to  $\mathfrak{G}_w(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{y})$ .

### Goal

What is the analogue of  $\mathcal{M}_D$  for  $\mathfrak{G}_w$ ?

Linus	Setia	brata

< A > < E

э

Combinatorics of  $\mathfrak{S}_w$  often extends to  $\mathfrak{G}_w(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{y})$ .

#### Goal

What is the analogue of  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{D}}$  for  $\mathfrak{G}_{w}$ ?

• Want {monomials in  $\mathfrak{G}_w$ }:









Pechenik-Speyer-Weigandt '24:

- $\deg(\mathfrak{G}_w) = \operatorname{raj}(w)$
- $\mathfrak{G}_{W}^{\mathrm{top}}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y}) = f(\mathbf{x})g(\mathbf{y})$

э

Combinatorics of  $\mathfrak{S}_w$  often extends to  $\mathfrak{G}_w(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{y})$ .

### Goal

What is the analogue of  $\mathcal{M}_D$  for  $\mathfrak{G}_w$ ?

Want {monomials in 𝔅<sub>w</sub>}:
 𝔅<sup>top</sup><sub>w</sub>: Pechenik-Speyer-Weigandt '24





Hafner–Mészáros–S.–St. Dizier '24: {monomials in vexillary  $\mathfrak{G}_w(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{0})$ }.



(What is the rep-theoretic meaning of this?)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Combinatorics of  $\mathfrak{S}_w$  often extends to  $\mathfrak{G}_w(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{y})$ .

#### Goal

What is the analogue of  $\mathcal{M}_D$  for  $\mathfrak{G}_w$ ?

Want {monomials in 𝔅<sub>W</sub>}:
 𝔅<sup>top</sup><sub>w</sub>: Pechenik–Speyer–Weigandt '24
 Vexillary 𝔅<sub>W</sub>(x; 0): HMSS '24









- Want to "access"  $\mathfrak{G}_D$  for %-avoiding D:
  - To use for induction purposes

- 4 回 ト 4 三 ト 4 三 ト

Combinatorics of  $\mathfrak{S}_w$  often extends to  $\mathfrak{G}_w(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{y})$ .

#### Goal

What is the analogue of  $\mathcal{M}_D$  for  $\mathfrak{G}_w$ ?

 Want {monomials in 𝔅<sub>W</sub>}:
 𝔅<sup>top</sup><sub>w</sub>: Pechenik–Speyer–Weigandt '24 Vexillary 𝔅<sub>W</sub>(x; 0): HMSS '24







 $x_1 x_2$ 

- Want to "access"  $\mathfrak{G}_D$  for %-avoiding D:
  - To use for induction purposes
  - To collect certain  $\mathfrak{G}_D$  together into generating functions

$$\sum_{\mathbf{m}} \mathfrak{G}_{D(\mathbf{m})} \cdot \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{m}}$$

cf. generating function  $\sum_\lambda s_\lambda({f x}){f t}^\lambda = {
m ch}({\Bbb C}[{\it G}/{\it U}])$ 

## Orthodontia for double Grothendieck polynomials

Schubert story:

Theorem (Magyar '98, "orthodontia formula")

Let D be a %-avoiding diagram. Then:

- $\operatorname{ch}^*(\mathcal{M}_D) = x_1 \dots x_i \cdot \operatorname{ch}^*(\mathcal{M}_{D \setminus D_j})$  if  $D_j = [i]$ .
- $ch^*(\mathcal{M}_D) = \pi_i(ch^*(\mathcal{M}_{s_iD}))$  when  $i \in D_k$  implies  $i + 1 \in D_k$  for all k.

### Theorem (Kraśkiewicz–Pragacz '87)

The dual character  $ch^*(\mathcal{M}_{D(w)})$  is the Schubert polynomial  $\mathfrak{S}_w$ .

- 本間下 本臣下 本臣下 三臣

## Orthodontia for double Grothendieck polynomials

### Schubert story:

Theorem (Magyar '98, "orthodontia formula")

Let D be a %-avoiding diagram. Then:

- $\operatorname{ch}^*(\mathcal{M}_D) = x_1 \dots x_i \cdot \operatorname{ch}^*(\mathcal{M}_{D \setminus D_j})$  if  $D_j = [i]$ .
- $ch^*(\mathcal{M}_D) = \pi_i(ch^*(\mathcal{M}_{s_iD}))$  when  $i \in D_k$  implies  $i + 1 \in D_k$  for all k.

### Theorem (Kraśkiewicz–Pragacz '87)

The dual character  $ch^*(\mathcal{M}_{D(w)})$  is the Schubert polynomial  $\mathfrak{S}_w$ .

#### Goal

For %-avoiding D, define 
$$\mathscr{G}_D \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]$$
 so that  $\mathscr{G}_{D(w)} = \mathfrak{G}_w(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{y})$ .

Easier goal: Define  $\mathcal{G}_D \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]$  so that  $\mathcal{G}_{D(w)} = \mathfrak{G}_w(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{0})$ .

17/31

# Orthodontia algorithm

#### Definition

Let *C* be the leftmost nonempty, non-up-aligned column of *D*. The *first missing tooth* is the minimal *i* so that  $i \notin C$  and  $i + 1 \in C$ .



э

18/31

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

# Orthodontia algorithm

### Definition

Let *C* be the leftmost nonempty, non-up-aligned column of *D*. The *first missing tooth* is the minimal *i* so that  $i \notin C$  and  $i + 1 \in C$ .



Algorithm (Magyar '98, "orthodontia algorithm")

- Remove any columns  $D_j = [i]$
- **2** Swap rows i and i + 1, for i := first missing tooth
- Repeat steps 1 & 2 until empty

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

э

# Orthodontia for ordinary Grothendieck polynomials

Algorithm (Magyar '98, "orthodontia algorithm")

- Remove any columns  $D_j = [i]$
- **2** Swap rows i and i + 1, for i := first missing tooth
- Repeat steps 1 & 2 until empty

# Orthodontia for ordinary Grothendieck polynomials

Algorithm (Magyar '98, "orthodontia algorithm")

**1** Remove any columns 
$$D_j = [i]$$

2 Swap rows i and i + 1, for i := first missing tooth

Repeat steps 1 & 2 until empty

$$\overline{\pi}_i := \pi_i ((1 - x_{i+1})f)$$

#### Definition (Mészáros–S.–St. Dizier '22)

For %-avoiding D, define  $\mathcal{G}_D \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]$  recursively:

- $\mathcal{G}_D = x_1 \dots x_i \cdot \mathcal{G}_{D \setminus D_i}$  if some  $D_j = [i]$ ,
- $\mathcal{G}_D = \overline{\pi}_i(\mathcal{G}_{s_iD})$  otherwise, where i = first missing tooth.

Theorem (Mészáros–S.–St. Dizier '22) When D = D(w) is a Rothe diagram,  $\mathcal{G}_D = \mathfrak{G}_w(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{0})$ .

19/31

### Orthodontia for ordinary Grothendieck polynomials

Theorem (Mészáros–S.–St. Dizier '22) When D = D(w) is a Rothe diagram,  $\mathcal{G}_D = \mathfrak{G}_w(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{0})$ .



(日)

3

# Orthodontia algorithm, II

#### Definition

Let  $D_k$  be the leftmost nonempty column of D. Let i be the first missing tooth and  $j := k - \#\{a \le i : a \notin D_k\}$ . The first missing double-tooth is (i, j).



linus	50	tia	hra	it a
Linus	20	uu	010	

3

### Double orthodontic polynomials

Goal

For %-avoiding D, define  $\mathscr{G}_D \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]$  so that  $\mathscr{G}_{D(w)} = \mathfrak{G}_w(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{y})$ .

$$egin{aligned} \overline{\omega}_i^{\{j\}} &\coloneqq \prod_{k=1}^i (x_k+y_j-x_ky_j) \ \overline{\pi}_{i,j} &\coloneqq \overline{\partial}_i ((x_i+y_j-x_iy_j)f) \end{aligned}$$

	<u> </u>	
Innie	<b>S</b> 61	brata
LIIIUS	00	 Diala

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# Double orthodontic polynomials

#### Goal

For %-avoiding D, define  $\mathscr{G}_D \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]$  so that  $\mathscr{G}_{D(w)} = \mathfrak{G}_w(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{y})$ .

$$egin{aligned} \overline{\omega}_i^{\{j\}} &\coloneqq \prod_{k=1}^i (x_k+y_j-x_ky_j) \ \overline{\pi}_{i,j} &\coloneqq \overline{\partial}_i ((x_i+y_j-x_iy_j)f) \end{aligned}$$

### Definition (S.–St. Dizier)

For %-avoiding D, define  $\mathscr{G}_D \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]$  recursively:

• 
$$\mathscr{G}_D = \overline{\omega}_i^{\{j\}} \cdot \mathscr{G}_{D \setminus D_i}$$
 if some  $D_j = [i]$ ,

•  $\mathscr{G}_D = \overline{\pi}_{i,j}(\mathscr{G}_{s_iD})$  otherwise, where (i,j) = first missing double-tooth

#### Theorem (S.–St. Dizier)

When D = D(w) is a Rothe diagram,  $\mathscr{G}_D = \mathfrak{G}_w(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{y})$ .

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

э

### Orthodontia for double Grothendieck polynomials

Theorem (S.–St. Dizier) When D = D(w) is a Rothe diagram,  $\mathscr{G}_D = \mathfrak{G}_w(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{y})$ .



23/31

### Orthodontia for double Grothendieck polynomials, II

#### Theorem (S.–St. Dizier)

When D = D(w) is a Rothe diagram,  $\mathscr{G}_D = \mathfrak{G}_w(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{y})$ .

 $ch^*(\mathcal{M}_D)$  is invariant under reordering columns, but  $\mathscr{G}_D$  is not.

Linus Setiabrata		~		
Linus Seciabiaca	inuc	50	<b>t</b> 10	hrata
	LIIIUS	26	ua	Diata

Orthodontia for double Grothendieck polynomials, II

Theorem (S.–St. Dizier)

When D = D(w) is a Rothe diagram,  $\mathscr{G}_D = \mathfrak{G}_w(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{y})$ .

 $ch^*(\mathcal{M}_D)$  is invariant under reordering columns, but  $\mathscr{G}_D$  is not.

Example

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{S}_{2413}(\mathbf{x}) &= x_1 x_2 \mathfrak{S}_{132}(\mathbf{x}) \\ \mathfrak{G}_{2413}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{0}) &= x_1 x_2 \mathfrak{G}_{132}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{0}) \\ \mathfrak{G}_{2413}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{y}) &\neq g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \cdot \mathfrak{G}_{132}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{y}) \quad \text{for any } g \end{split}$$



linus	Setu	abrata
2	0000	abraca

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

## Orthodontia for double Grothendieck polynomials, III

### Theorem (S.-St. Dizier)

When D = D(w) is a Rothe diagram,  $\mathscr{G}_D = \mathfrak{G}_w(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{y})$ .

Proof idea: "Find almost-Rothe-diagrams in reduction sequence for D(w)"











A 回 > A 回 > A 回 >

## Orthodontia for double Grothendieck polynomials, III

### Theorem (S.-St. Dizier)

When D = D(w) is a Rothe diagram,  $\mathscr{G}_D = \mathfrak{G}_w(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{y})$ .

Proof idea: "Find almost-Rothe-diagrams in reduction sequence for D(w)"



(what's the geometric meaning of this?)

inus	Se	tia	brata
	00		Diata

### Lascoux polynomials

Lascoux polynomials are "K-theoretic analogues" of key polynomials:



#### Definition

For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n}$ , recursively define *Lascoux polynomials*:

$$\mathfrak{L}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n} & \text{ if } \alpha_1 \ge \dots \ge \alpha_n \\ \overline{\pi}_i(\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{s}_i\alpha}(\mathbf{x})) & \text{ if } \alpha_i < \alpha_{i+1}, \end{cases}$$

where  $\overline{\pi}_i(f) := \pi_i((1 - x_{i+1})f)$ .

э

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

### Double Lascoux polynomials ...?

$$\alpha \rightsquigarrow D(\alpha)$$
 "skyline diagram"



Observation (Mészáros–S.–St. Dizier, '22)

When  $D = D(\alpha)$  is a skyline diagram,  $\mathcal{G}_D = \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})$ .

Who is  $\mathscr{G}_{D(\alpha)}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{y})$ ? And what about reordered-column  $D(\alpha)$ 's?

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト ・ヨ

 $\mathscr{G}_D^{\text{bot}} := \text{lowest degree part of } \mathscr{G}_D.$ 

 $(\mathscr{G}_{D(w)}^{\text{bot}}(\mathbf{x}; -\mathbf{y})$  is the double Schubert polynomial.)

3

く 目 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

 $\mathscr{G}_{D}^{\text{bot}} := \text{lowest degree part of } \mathscr{G}_{D}.$ 

 $(\mathscr{G}_{D(w)}^{\text{bot}}(\mathbf{x}; -\mathbf{y})$  is the double Schubert polynomial.)

### Conjecture (S.–St. Dizier)

If D is %-avoiding,  $x_1^n \dots x_n^n \mathscr{G}_D^{\text{bot}}(x_n^{-1}, \dots, x_1^{-1}; -1, \dots, -1)$  is a graded nonnegative sum of Lascoux polynomials.

#### Example

The polynomial  $x_1^4 x_2^4 x_3^4 x_4^4 \mathscr{G}_{D(2143)}^{\text{bot}}(x_4^{-1}, x_3^{-1}, x_2^{-2}, x_1^{-1}; -1, -1, -1, -1)$  is

 $x_{1}^{4}x_{2}^{3}x_{2}^{4}x_{4}^{3} + x_{1}^{4}x_{2}^{4}x_{2}^{2}x_{4}^{2} + x_{1}^{4}x_{2}^{3}x_{3}^{3} - x_{1}^{4}x_{2}^{3}x_{3}^{4} - x_{1}^{4}x_{2}^{3}x_{3}^{4} - 4x_{1}^{4}x_{2}^{4}x_{3}^{4}x_{4}^{4} + 3x_{1}^{4}x_{2}^{4}x_{3}^{4} + 3x_{1}^{4}x_{2}^{4} + 3x_{1}^{4} + 3x_{1}$ 

which is

$$(\mathfrak{L}_{(4,3,4,3)} + \mathfrak{L}_{(4,4,4,2)}) - (\mathfrak{L}_{(4,3,4,4)} + 2\mathfrak{L}_{(4,4,4,3)}) + \mathfrak{L}_{(4,4,4,4)}$$

### Conjecture (S.-St. Dizier)

If D is %-avoiding,  $x_1^n \dots x_n^n \mathscr{G}_D^{\text{bot}}(x_n^{-1}, \dots, x_1^{-1}; -1, \dots, -1)$  is a graded nonnegative sum of Lascoux polynomials.

Proof??

Linus Setiabra	
Linus Jeliabia	ιа

### Conjecture (S.-St. Dizier)

If D is %-avoiding,  $x_1^n \dots x_n^n \mathscr{G}_D^{\text{bot}}(x_n^{-1}, \dots, x_1^{-1}; -1, \dots, -1)$  is a graded nonnegative sum of Lascoux polynomials.

#### Proof??

Orthodontia:  $x_1^n \dots x_n^n \mathscr{G}_D^{bot}(x_n^{-1}, \dots, x_1^{-1}; -1, \dots, -1)$  is obtained from the polynomial 1 by applying

• 
$$f \mapsto \overline{\pi}_i(f)$$
,  
•  $f \mapsto x_1 \dots x_i(1 - x_{i+1}) \dots (1 - x_n)f$ 

### Conjecture (S.-St. Dizier)

If D is %-avoiding,  $x_1^n \dots x_n^n \mathscr{G}_D^{bot}(x_n^{-1}, \dots, x_1^{-1}; -1, \dots, -1)$  is a graded nonnegative sum of Lascoux polynomials.

#### Proof??

Orthodontia:  $x_1^n \dots x_n^n \mathscr{G}_D^{bot}(x_n^{-1}, \dots, x_1^{-1}; -1, \dots, -1)$  is obtained from the polynomial 1 by applying

• 
$$f \mapsto \overline{\pi}_i(f)$$
,  
•  $f \mapsto x_1 \dots x_i(1 - x_{i+1}) \dots (1 - x_n)f$ .

Since  $\overline{\pi}_i(\mathfrak{L}_{\alpha}) = \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha'}$ ,  $\overline{\pi}_i$  preserves graded Lascoux positivity.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ののの

### Conjecture (S.-St. Dizier)

If D is %-avoiding,  $x_1^n \dots x_n^n \mathscr{G}_D^{bot}(x_n^{-1}, \dots, x_1^{-1}; -1, \dots, -1)$  is a graded nonnegative sum of Lascoux polynomials.

#### Proof??

Orthodontia:  $x_1^n \dots x_n^n \mathscr{G}_D^{bot}(x_n^{-1}, \dots, x_1^{-1}; -1, \dots, -1)$  is obtained from the polynomial 1 by applying

• 
$$f \mapsto \overline{\pi}_i(f)$$
,  
•  $f \mapsto x_1 \dots x_i(1-x_{i+1}) \dots (1-x_n)f$ 

Since  $\overline{\pi}_i(\mathfrak{L}_\alpha) = \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha'}$ ,  $\overline{\pi}_i$  preserves graded Lascoux positivity.

Conjecture: The product  $\mathfrak{L}_{\alpha} \cdot x_1 \dots x_i (1 - x_{i+1}) \dots (1 - x_n)$  is graded Lascoux positive. (cf. key positivity of  $\kappa_{\alpha} \cdot x_1 \dots x_i$ .)

### Corollary (S.-St. Dizier)

When the columns of D can be ordered by inclusion, the polynomial  $x_1^n \dots x_n^n \mathscr{G}_D^{\text{bot}}(x_n^{-1}, \dots, x_1^{-1}; -1, \dots, -1)$  is a graded nonnegative sum of Lascoux polynomials.

 $(D(w) \text{ ordered by inclusion } \iff w \text{ vexillary.})$ 

### Corollary (S.-St. Dizier)

When the columns of D can be ordered by inclusion, the polynomial  $x_1^n \dots x_n^n \mathscr{G}_D^{\text{bot}}(x_n^{-1}, \dots, x_1^{-1}; -1, \dots, -1)$  is a graded nonnegative sum of Lascoux polynomials.

 $(D(w) \text{ ordered by inclusion } \iff w \text{ vexillary.})$ 

### Sketch.

In this case,  $x_1^n \dots x_n^n \mathscr{G}_D^{\text{bot}}(x_n^{-1}, \dots, x_1^{-1}; -1, \dots, -1)$  can be obtained from  $f \mapsto x_1 \dots x_i(1 - x_{i+1}) \dots (1 - x_n)f$ , followed by  $f \mapsto \overline{\pi}_i(f)$ .

### Corollary (S.-St. Dizier)

When the columns of D can be ordered by inclusion, the polynomial  $x_1^n \dots x_n^n \mathscr{G}_D^{\text{bot}}(x_n^{-1}, \dots, x_1^{-1}; -1, \dots, -1)$  is a graded nonnegative sum of Lascoux polynomials.

 $(D(w) \text{ ordered by inclusion } \iff w \text{ vexillary.})$ 

### Sketch.

In this case,  $x_1^n \dots x_n^n \mathscr{G}_D^{\text{bot}}(x_n^{-1}, \dots, x_1^{-1}; -1, \dots, -1)$  can be obtained from  $f \mapsto x_1 \dots x_i(1 - x_{i+1}) \dots (1 - x_n)f$ , followed by  $f \mapsto \overline{\pi}_i(f)$ .

 $\rightsquigarrow$  Suffices to show products of  $x_1 \dots x_i(1 - x_{i+1}) \dots (1 - x_n)$  are graded Lascoux positive.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ののの

### Corollary (S.-St. Dizier)

When the columns of D can be ordered by inclusion, the polynomial  $x_1^n \dots x_n^n \mathscr{G}_D^{\text{bot}}(x_n^{-1}, \dots, x_1^{-1}; -1, \dots, -1)$  is a graded nonnegative sum of Lascoux polynomials.

 $(D(w) \text{ ordered by inclusion } \iff w \text{ vexillary.})$ 

### Sketch.

In this case,  $x_1^n \dots x_n^n \mathscr{G}_D^{\text{bot}}(x_n^{-1}, \dots, x_1^{-1}; -1, \dots, -1)$  can be obtained from  $f \mapsto x_1 \dots x_i(1 - x_{i+1}) \dots (1 - x_n)f$ , followed by  $f \mapsto \overline{\pi}_i(f)$ .

 $\rightsquigarrow$  Suffices to show products of  $x_1 \dots x_i(1 - x_{i+1}) \dots (1 - x_n)$  are graded Lascoux positive.

Follows from Orelowitz–Yu '23:  $G_w \cdot \mathfrak{L}_\alpha$  is graded Lascoux positive. ( $G_w := stable Grothendieck$ )

# Thank you!

#### Goal

Find analogue of  $\mathcal{M}_D$  for Grothendieck polynomials.



#### Theorem (S.–St. Dizier)

When D = D(w) is a Rothe diagram,  $\mathscr{G}_D = \mathfrak{G}_w(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{y})$ .



Linus Setiabrata			-					
	l ini	IIC.	5	at i		hr	2	-
		us	5		a		a	LC

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

э