

Let \mathcal{C} be a small category. Similarly as for monoids, we can generalize the Bar construction (aka the nerve). We have a simplicial set BC_\bullet where

$$BC_n = \{\text{composable } n\text{-tuples}\}$$

$$BC_0 = \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$$

The faces are compose γ_i, γ_{i+1} , and the degeneracies insert a unit.

Theorem .0.1

If \mathcal{C} is a small symmetric monoidal category then BC is an E_∞ -space.

Proof Sketch. The Street Construction: \mathcal{C} is equivalent to a “permutative category”

Definition .0.1

A permutative category has an operation \otimes which is strictly unital and associative. We have $\sigma : X \otimes Y \rightarrow Y \otimes X$ such that $\sigma^2 = \text{Id}$ and also

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \otimes Y \otimes Z & \xrightarrow{X \otimes \sigma} & X \otimes Z \otimes Y \\ & \searrow \sigma \quad \swarrow \sigma \otimes Y & \\ & Z \otimes X \otimes Y & \end{array}$$

Then the operad \mathcal{M} defined by $\mathcal{M}(n) = \Sigma_n$ acts on \mathcal{C} in the sense that we have

$$\mathcal{M}(n) \times \mathcal{C} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

and this is given by

$$g, X_1, \dots, X_n \mapsto g^{-1} X_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes X_n g$$

EM_\bullet acts on BC_\bullet . We then take simplicial realization and EM acts on BC .



Comments:

- (1) If a category \mathcal{C} has an initial object (or terminal object) in particular, or if it has zero, then $BC \simeq *$ (same proof as $EX \simeq *$).

Often, what gives interesting examples is to take the subcategory of isomorphisms in some category.

- (2) Let R be a commutative ring. Take $\text{Ob } \mathcal{C} = \mathbb{N}_0$, and $\text{Mor}(m, n) = 0$ for $m \neq n$ and $\text{Mor}(m, m) = \text{GL}_m(R)$.

The symmetric monoidal structure is just the block sum of matrices. This is a permutative category, and it's fairly clear that

$$BC = \coprod_{m \geq 0} B \text{GL}_m R.$$

This is an E_∞ -space. Then $\pi_0 BC = \mathbb{N}_0$. Thus we must apply the group completion $\overline{BC} = \Omega B(BC)$ by viewing BC as a topological monoid.

This is an infinite loop space Z_m giving a cohomology theory $KR = Z_0 \times K_0 R$ (the algebraic K -theory, $K_0 R$ is discrete).

Theorem .0.2 (Quillen)

The group completion $\Omega B \left(\coprod_{m \geq 0} B \mathrm{GL}_m R \right) \simeq B \mathrm{GL}_\infty R^+ \times \mathbb{Z}$, where $+$ denotes the Quillen plus construction.

Proof Sketch. First construct a map

$$B \mathrm{GL}_\infty R^+ \rightarrow X := \left(\Omega B \left(\coprod_{m \geq 0} B \mathrm{GL}_m R \right) \right)_0$$

using the fact that X is an E_∞ -space, thus a topological monoid, and so $\pi_1 X$ is abelian. Also $H_* X = H_* B \mathrm{GL}_\infty R$ because

$$H_* X = [\pi_0] X^{-1} \left(H_* \coprod_{m \geq 0} B \mathrm{GL}_m R \right) = H_* B \mathrm{GL}_\infty [t, t^{-1}]$$

where $t = (1)$. Thus by the universal property of the plus construction there is the desired map. This map is both an isomorphism in π_1 and an isomorphism in homology.

To finish the proof, one needs to show that $B \mathrm{GL}_\infty R^+$ is a simple space (to get weak equivalence). That is we need to show that π_1 acts trivially on π_n for $n > 1$ (we already know π_1 is abelian). A key step of this is in homework.

$G = \mathrm{GL}_\infty R$, $E = [\mathrm{GL}_\infty R, \mathrm{GL}_\infty R]$ and $[E, E] = E$.

The universal coer of BG^+ is BE^+ by construction. Why does $\pi_1 BG^+ = G/E$ act trivially on $\pi_n BE^+$. It is not true that the action of $g \in G$ on E by $h \in E \mapsto ghg^{-1}$ is by conjugation of an element of E .

However, for any m elements h_1, \dots, h_m we can find an element $q \in E$ such that

$$gh_i g^{-1} = qh_i q^{-1}.$$

An element of $\pi_m BE$ only meets finitely many simplices, and therefore finitely many h_i .

The whitehead lemma says that $\begin{bmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & g^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \in E$.

**Homework #12**

- (1) Let G be a (discrete) group, $g \in G$. Then g acts on G by conjugation. Therefore g acts on BG by conjugation. Prove that the map $\gamma_g : BG \rightarrow BG$ is homotopic to the identity.

Better to think of $BG \cong EG/G$, where EG is the Čech resolution on which G acts on the left.

Then find a G -equivariant map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} EG & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & EG \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ BG & \xrightarrow{\gamma_g} & BG \end{array}$$

You may use the fact that EG is a free G -CW-complex (non-degenerate simplices are the cells). Then prove that any two self-maps of a contractible free G -CW-complex are G -equivariantly homotopic.

Recall that a G -CW-complex has the cells as G -sets and the attaching maps are G -equivariant. A free G -set is the same as a disjoint union of copies of G .