

Remark .0.1

If f is as in ?? then f/\mathbb{Q} . Why? Well $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$. Then

$$a_p(f^\sigma) = a_p(f)^\sigma$$

for almost all primes $a_p(f) = a_p(E) \in \mathbb{Z}$ so $a_p(f) = a_p(f^\sigma)$. Strong Multiplicity one would then imply that $f = f^\sigma$

How do we relate the versions of modularity. Well we look for a map

$$X_{\mathbb{Q}}\text{-Mod} \rightarrow a_p\text{-Mod}.$$

We'll recall we had $\dim A'_f = [K_f : \mathbb{Q}]$ but since f/\mathbb{Q} in the situation above, A'_f is an elliptic curve (abelian variety of dimension one).

Have: $X_0(N) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(X_0(N)) \rightarrow A'_f$. Then we can apply ?? to this setup. Then there's a g with $a_p(g) = a_p(A'_f)$ (except at divisions), and you end up with $g = f$ in the proof. Why? Well the idea is the $a_p(f) - a_p(E)$ portion above, and applying strong multiplicity one.

Thus $a_p(f) = a_p(A'_f)$ for almost all p , when f/\mathbb{Q} .

Theorem .0.1 (Carayol)

$a_p(f) = a_p(A'_f)$ for all p .

We then have $A'_f \rightarrow E$. Then it turns out $A'_f \cong E$ and $a_p(f) = a_p(A'_f) = a_p(E)$ for all p .

.1. Some L -function stuff

Recall for a newform f we defined $L(s, f) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(f) n^{-s}$. We were able to show that

$$L(s, f) = \prod_p (1 - a_p(f) p^{-s} + 1_N(p) p^{1-2s})^{-1}$$

where $1_N(p)$ detects if $p \mid N$ where f has level N . We can also define

$$t_{p^e} = p^e + 1 - |\tilde{E}(\mathbb{F}_{p^e})|$$

Then we can define a local zeta function

$$Z_p(X, E) = \prod_{e=1}^{\infty} \exp\left(\frac{t_{p^e}(E)}{e} x^e\right).$$

One can show

$$Z_p(p^{-s}, E) = (1 - a_p(E) p^{-s} + 1_E(p) p^{1-2s})^{-1},$$

where 1_E is 1 if good reduction and 0 if bad reduction. This clearly depends on reduction type, and,

$$Z_p(p^{-s}, E) = \begin{cases} (1 - a_p(E) p^{-s} + p^{1-2s})^{-1} & \text{if good} \\ (1 - p^{-s})^{-1} & \text{if split} \\ (1 + p^{-s})^{-1} & \text{if non-split} \\ 1 & \text{if additive} \end{cases}.$$

Define

$$L(s, E) = \prod_p (1 - a_p(E)p^{-s} + 1_E(p)p^{1-2s}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n(E)}{n^s}.$$

Formally defined, $a_1(E), A_p(E) = p + 1 - |E(\mathbb{F}_p)|$. Furthermore

$$a_{p^e}(f) = a_p(E)a_{p^{e-1}}(E) - 1_E(p)pa_{p^{e-2}}(E).$$

Furthermore if $(m, n) = 1$ then $a_{mn}(E) = a_m(E)a_n(E)$.

Theorem .1.1 (Modularity)

$L(s, f) = L(s, E)$. As a consequence $L(s, E)$ has a functional equation and an analytic continuation.

Conjecture .1.2 (Birch-Swinnerton-Dyer)

$\text{ord}_{s=1} L(s, E) = \text{rank}(E/\mathbb{Q}) = r$ which is determined by $E(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Z}^r \oplus T$.

Then $L(s, E)$ converges when $\text{Re}(s) > 2$. The functional equation determines $\text{Re}(s) < 0$.

Definition .1.1

Let K/\mathbb{Q} be an imaginary quadratic extension. An order $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$, $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{O}) = [K : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$.

In this simple case the orders are $\mathcal{O}_n = \mathbb{Z} + n\mathcal{O}_K$ where $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$.

Definition .1.2 (Heegner Point)

A Heegner point in $X_0(N)$ relative to K is a pair (E, C) such that $E, E/C$ have complex multiplication by the same order \mathcal{O} .

Then these will look like

$$x_n := (E = \mathbb{C}/\mathcal{O}_n, E' = E/C = \mathbb{C}/\mathcal{N}_n^{-1})$$

$$\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K, \mathcal{N}_n = \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{O}_n.$$

with $\mathcal{O}_K/N \cong \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$, where inverse is taken with respect to the notion of fractional ideal.

The Heegner Hypothesis is that each $p \mid N$ splits in K , which implies there exist Heegner points in $X_0(N)$ for all \mathcal{O}_N . It turns out $x_n \in X_0(N)(H_n)$ where H_n is a ring class field of \mathcal{O}_n .

This is a generalization of the Hilbert class field, with Galois group $(\mathcal{O}_n/n\mathcal{O}_K)^\times / (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$.

Consider E/\mathbb{Q} by modularity $X_0(N) \xrightarrow{\alpha} E$. Then we can consider the image this Heegner point $x_n \mapsto y_n \in E(H_n)$. We can then consider

$$\text{tr}_n : E(H_{np}) \rightarrow E(H_n)$$

$$z \mapsto \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(H_{np}/H_n)} \sigma(z).$$

Theorem .1.3

$$\text{tr}_n(y_{np}) = a_p(E)y_n.$$

Proof. We'll use Eichler-Shimura. We'll need the version where the composition

$$\text{Pic}^0(X_0(N)) \xrightarrow{T_p - a_p(E)} \text{Pic}^0(X_0(N)) \xrightarrow{\alpha} E$$

is zero. We might as well work in the picard group then! So we can look at

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{tr}_n(y_{np}) &= \mathrm{tr}(\alpha(x_{np})) = \alpha(\mathrm{tr}(x_{np})) \\ &= \alpha(T_p(x_n)) = a_p(E)\alpha(x_n) = a_p(E)y_n.\end{aligned}$$



Exercise .1.1

Why is $\mathrm{tr}(x_{np}) = T_p(x_n)$? Idea: look at what we did for Hecke operators and Galois actions in the $X_1(N)$ moduli problem, and adapt a similar formula for $X_0(N)$.

Also probably understand H_n better than I do (can't wait to learn class field theory one day).

Define

$$y_K := \mathrm{tr}_{H_1/K}(y_1) \in E(K).$$

We need to say something about its height.

Definition .1.3

If $p \in E(K)$, we define the naive height as

$$h(p) := \frac{1}{[K : \mathbb{Q}]} \sum_{v \in M_K} [K_v, \mathbb{Q}_v] \cdot \log \max(|x|_v, |y|_v, |z|_v),$$

where M_K is all the places (absolute values in K)

We can also define the Neron-Tate Height

$$\hat{h}_n(p) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h([2^n]p)}{4^n}.$$

This allows us to define a height pairing

$$\begin{aligned}\langle, \rangle : E(K) \times E(K) &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ \langle P, Q \rangle &:= \frac{1}{2} \hat{h}(P + Q) - \hat{h}(P) - \hat{h}(Q).\end{aligned}$$

It turns out that $\langle P, P \rangle = 0$ if and only if P is torsion.

Theorem .1.4 (Gross-Zagier)

If E/\mathbb{Q} is an elliptic curve, K is an imaginary quadratic field satisfying the Heegner Hypothesis.

Then

$$L'(1, E_K) = c_{E,K} \cdot \langle y_K, y_K \rangle,$$

for some special number $c_{E,K}$ which is not terrible to write down.

Now write the analytic rank as $\mathrm{rk}_{an} = \mathrm{ord}_{s=1} L(s, E)$. The algebraic rank as $\mathrm{rk}_{alg} = \mathrm{rk}(E)$.

Corollary .1.5

$\mathrm{rk}_{an}(E_K) = 1$ then $\mathrm{rk}_{alg}(E_K) \geq 1$.

Theorem .1.6 (Kolyvagin)

If $\text{ord}(y_k) = \infty$, then $\text{rk}_{alg}(E_K) = 1$.

This actually tells us that if $\text{rk}_{an}(E_K) = 1$ implies $\text{rk}_{alg}(E_K) = 1$.