

I. Galois Representations

We skip 9.1, and check there fore definitions

Definition I.0.1

Let ℓ be a prime. The ring of ℓ -adic integers is

$$\mathbb{Z}_\ell := \varprojlim \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n \mathbb{Z}$$

along $\mathbb{Z}/\ell^m \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n \mathbb{Z}$.

Explicitly, $a \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ si a sequence $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots)$ with $a_n \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n \mathbb{Z}$ and $a_{n+1} \equiv a_n \pmod{\ell^n}$.

Note \mathbb{Z}_ℓ is an integral domain and the natural map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Z} &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_\ell \\ a &\mapsto (a + \ell\mathbb{Z}, a + \ell^2\mathbb{Z}, \dots) \end{aligned}$$

is injective. This inclusion induces

$$\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}_\ell / \ell^n \mathbb{Z}_\ell$$

for every n . Then \mathbb{Z}_ℓ is profinite because $\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n \mathbb{Z}$ is finite for all n .

The group of units \mathbb{Z}_ℓ^\times is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times &= \{(a_1, a_2, \dots) \mid a_i \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell^i \mathbb{Z})^\times\} \\ &= (a_1, a_2, \dots) \mid a_1 \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Also \mathbb{Z}_ℓ has a unique maximal ideal $\ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell$. Furthermore, it comes equipped with a topology with basis given by the sets

$$U_x(n) := x + \ell^n \mathbb{Z}_\ell,$$

where $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Definition I.0.2

The field \mathbb{Q}_ℓ is the fraction field of \mathbb{Z}_ℓ .

\mathbb{Q}_ℓ has a topology given in the same way. The basis is

$$U_x(n) = x + \ell^n \mathbb{Z}_\ell$$

for $x \in \mathbb{Q}_\ell, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. For any $d > 0$, \mathbb{Q}_ℓ^d is a topological \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -vector space with the product topology. The group $\mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ inherits the subspace topology from $\mathbb{Q}_\ell^{d^2}$. Under this topology, matrix multiplication and inversion are continuous (i.e. $\mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is a topological group).

Now let K be a number field ($K \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}, [K : \mathbb{Q}] < \infty$) with ring of integers \mathcal{O}_K . If λ is a prime in \mathcal{O}_K over ℓ , then we can play the same game:

$$\mathcal{O}_{K,\lambda} = \varprojlim_n \mathcal{O}_K / \lambda^n \mathcal{O}_K,$$

and similarly define $K_\lambda = \text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}_{k,\lambda})$. Then we have

$$\mathbb{Q}_\ell \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_\ell \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{K,\lambda}, K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell \cong \prod_{\lambda|\ell} K_\lambda,$$

with the proof in the book.

Galois Representations:

- Let $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ be the algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q} .
- Define $G_{\mathbb{Q}} = \text{Aut}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$.
- We want to study representations of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ on \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -vector spaces.
- Recall that

$$\overline{\mathbb{Q}} = \bigcup_{\substack{K/\mathbb{Q} \\ [K:\mathbb{Q}] < \infty \\ K \text{ Galois}}} K.$$

Then for any $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and any K/\mathbb{Q} Galois of finite degree, we have $\sigma|_K \in \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$. This defines a compatible system of surjections

$$G_{\mathbb{Q}} \twoheadrightarrow \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}),$$

compatible in the sense that if $K' \subseteq K$ we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_{\mathbb{Q}} & \twoheadrightarrow & \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & \text{Gal}(K'/\mathbb{Q}) \end{array}$$

So really we have that

$$G_{\mathbb{Q}} = \varprojlim_{\substack{K/\mathbb{Q} \\ \text{fin. Galois}}} \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}).$$

This has a natural topology

Definition I.0.3

The Krull topology on $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ has basis sets

$$U_\sigma(K) = \{\sigma\tau \mid \tau|_K = \text{Id}_K\}.$$

Let's discuss some important elements of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Fix a prime p , $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ lying over p .

Definition I.0.4

The decomposition group of \mathfrak{p} is

$$D_{\mathfrak{p}} = \{\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid \mathfrak{p}^\sigma = \mathfrak{p}\}.$$

We then have a surjective map $D_{\mathfrak{p}} \twoheadrightarrow \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}/\mathbb{F}_p)$ given by

$$\sigma \mapsto (x + \mathfrak{p} \mapsto x^\sigma + \mathfrak{p}).$$

Definition I.0.5

An absolute Frobenius over p is any preimage $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}} \in D_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of the Frobenius map $\sigma_p \in G_{\mathbb{F}_p}$, where $\sigma_p(x) = x^p$.

This is well-defined up to $I_{\mathfrak{p}} := \ker(D_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{F}_p})$, which we call the inertia group of \mathfrak{p} .

Explicitly,

$$I_{\mathfrak{p}} := \{\sigma \in D_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid x^{\sigma} \equiv x \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}, \text{ for all } x \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}}\}.$$

Theorem I.0.1

Fix a finite set of primes $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$. For each prime \mathfrak{p} lying over $p \notin S$, choose an absolute Frobenius $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then the set

$$\{\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid p \notin S\}$$

is dense for the Krull topology.

Proof. We use Tchebotarov Density Theorem (stated below) to prove this theorem.

take $U_{\sigma}(K)$ for some $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and K some number field. We want to show $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}} \in U_{\sigma}(K)$.

Consider $\sigma|_L \in \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$. By Tchebotarov, σ is a Frobenius for some \mathfrak{p}_K . Lift \mathfrak{p}_K to $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Then $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}} \in U_{\sigma}(K)$.

**Theorem I.0.2** (Tchebotarov Density Theorem 9.1.2 in [DS05])

Let K be a Galois number field. Then every element of $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ is a Frobenius for \mathfrak{p} for infinitely many maximal ideals \mathfrak{p} of \mathcal{O}_K .

Here we mean $x^{\sigma} \equiv x \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{O}_K$.

Definition I.0.6

Let $d > 0$. A d -dimensional Galois representation is a continuous homomorphism

$$\rho : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_d(L)$$

for L a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_{ℓ} .

Remark I.0.1

$L = K_{\lambda}$ for some λ , K works. If ρ, ρ' are two Galois representations then we say $\rho \sim \rho'$ if there exists some $g \in \text{GL}_d(L)$ so that

$$\rho'(\sigma) = g^{-1} \rho(\sigma) g$$

for all $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$. One can think of this as a commutative diagram.

Example I.0.1

Fix $n > 0$, let μ_{ℓ^n} be a primitive ℓ^n -th root of unity (say $e^{2\pi i/\ell^n}$). Then $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{\ell^n})$ is a Galois number field of degree $\phi(\ell^n)$ over \mathbb{Q} , and we have a canonical isomorphism

$$\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{\ell^n})/\mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$$

$$(\mu_{\ell^n} \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mu_{\ell^n}^a) \mapsto a \pmod{\ell^n}.$$

If we define

$$\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{\ell^\infty}) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Q}(\mu_{\ell^n})$$

then

$$G_{\mathbb{Q},\ell} := \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{\ell^\infty})) \xrightarrow{s} \varprojlim (\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z})^\times = \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times.$$

The inclusion $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{\ell^\infty}) \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ induces $G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{Q},\ell}$ by restriction.

Then we have a representaiton

$$G_{\mathbb{Q}} \twoheadrightarrow G_{\mathbb{Q},\ell} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\ell^\times = \text{GL}_1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell).$$

This is a Galois representation (check continuity). This is called the ℓ -adic cyclotomic character χ_ℓ .

Claim

χ_ℓ is continuous.

Proof. Since χ_ℓ is a group homomorphism, it suffices to show that $\chi_\ell^{-1}(U_1(n))$ is open (aka look at neighborhoods of identity). Explicitly we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_\ell^{-1}(U_1(n)) &= \{\sigma \mid \chi_\ell(\sigma) \in 1 + \ell^n \mathbb{Z}_\ell\} \\ &= \{\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid \sigma|_{\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{\ell^n})} = \text{Id}\}. \end{aligned}$$

But this is simply $U_{\text{Id}}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{\ell^n}))$ which is open.



Exercise I.0.2

Compute that $\chi_\ell(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}) = p$. In [DS05] This is 9.3.6.

We want to think more generally about $\rho(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})$

Problem: $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is only well-defined up to inertia.

Definition I.0.7

Let ρ be a Galois representaton and p a prime. Then ρ is unramified at p if $I_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq \ker \rho$ for any $\rho \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Z}}$ lying over p .

Example I.0.3

χ_ℓ is unramified at p since p is unramified in $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{\ell^n})$, so $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ acts trivially on $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{\ell^n})$.

We can give an equivalent definition of Galois representation

Definition I.0.8

Let $d > 0$. A d -dimensional Galois representation is a d -dimensional topological vector space V over L , where $[L : \mathbb{Q}_\ell] < \infty$ that is also a $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -module such that the map

$$\begin{aligned} V \times G_{\mathbb{Q}} &\rightarrow V \\ (v, \sigma) &\mapsto v^\sigma \end{aligned}$$

is continuous.

Remark I.0.2

We say $V \sim V'$ if there exists a continuous $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -module isomorphism $V \rightarrow V'$ of L -vector spaces.

We can realize χ_{ℓ} in this way. Define

$$C = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}[x, y]/(xy - 1))$$

This is a curve, and for any \mathbb{Q} -algebra R , the R -points of C are $C(R) = \{(a, b) \in R^2 \mid ab = 1\}$. This is isomorphic to R^{\times} .

Thus C has the structure of a “ \mathbb{Q} -group scheme.” For $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, define

$$C[\ell^n] = \{a \in C(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \mid a^{\ell^n} - 1 = 0\} \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times}.$$

Then we have an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} C[\ell^n] &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z} \\ \mu_{\ell^n}^a &\mapsto a. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore $\operatorname{Aut}(C[\ell^n]) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ in the natural way.

Definition I.0.9

The ℓ -adic Tate module of C is

$$T_{\ell}(C) = \varprojlim_n C[\ell^n].$$

We have an induced isomorphism ψ from $T_{\ell}(C)$ to \mathbb{Z}_{ℓ} . $T_{\ell}(C)$ carries an action of $G_{\mathbb{Q}, \ell}$ because $\operatorname{Aut}(C[\ell^n]) = \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{\ell^n})/\mathbb{Q})$ as $C[\ell^n] = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_{\ell^n})$.

We can also define

$$V_{\ell}(C) := T_{\ell}(C) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}.$$

We get

$$V_{\ell}(C) \times G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow V_{\ell}(C)$$

which is compatible with our previous construction.