

## Announcements

- Canvas/Modules
  - Lecture summary after each class
  - Suggested reading
- HW1 will be posted today: due next Thursday 1/13. 9pm.

Now let's look at examples of  $\sigma$ -algebras

### Example .0.1

We have the following basic  $\sigma$ -algebras

- $\mathcal{A} = P(X)$ , the power  $\sigma$ -algebra
- $\mathcal{A} = \{\emptyset, X\}$ , the trivial  $\sigma$ -algebra
- Let  $B \subseteq X$ ,  $B \neq \emptyset, B \neq X$ . Then

$$\mathcal{A} = \{\emptyset, B, B^c, X\}$$


is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

### Lemma .0.1

Let  $\mathcal{A}_i$  where  $i \in I$  be a family of  $\sigma$ -algebras over a fixed set  $X$ .

Then  $\bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra over  $X$ .

*Proof.* Clearly  $\emptyset \in \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i$  because  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{A}_i$  for all  $i$ . Now if  $E \in \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i$ , then  $E^c \in \mathcal{A}_i$  for each  $i$ , so  $E^c \in \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i$  as desired.

Now if  $E_1, E_2, \dots \in \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i$ , then of course  $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j \in \mathcal{A}_i$  for each  $i$ , so  $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j \in \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i$ . Great! 

### Definition .0.1

For  $\mathcal{E} \subseteq P(X)$ , let  $\langle \mathcal{E} \rangle$  be the intersection of all  $\sigma$ -algebras on  $X$  containing  $\mathcal{E}$ . We call  $\langle \mathcal{E} \rangle$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{E}$ .

### Example .0.2

$$\{\emptyset, B, B^c, X\} = \langle \{B\} \rangle = \langle \{\emptyset, B^c\} \rangle.$$


### Remark .0.1

$\langle \mathcal{E} \rangle$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing  $\mathcal{E}$  (under the subset relation), and this uniquely characterizes  $\mathcal{E}$ .

### Lemma .0.2

We have the following

- Suppose  $\mathcal{E} \subseteq P(X)$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra containing  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\langle \mathcal{E} \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ .
- Suppose  $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{F} \subseteq P(X)$ . Then  $\langle \mathcal{E} \rangle \subseteq \langle \mathcal{F} \rangle$  because  $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \langle \mathcal{F} \rangle$ .

*Proof.* DIY 

**Definition .0.2**

For a topological space  $X$ , the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra,  $\mathcal{B}(X)$ , is the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the collection of open sets in  $X$ .

**Example .0.3**

$\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  contains

- $\mathcal{E}_1 = \{(a, b) \mid a < b, a, b \in \mathbb{R}\}$ .
- $\mathcal{E}_2 = \{[a, b] \mid a < b\}$  because  $[a, b] = ((-\infty, a) \cup (b, \infty))^c$ .
- $\mathcal{E}_3 = \{(a, b] \mid a < b\}$  because  $(a, b] = (a, b) \cup \{b\}$ , and closed sets are in the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra.
- All the open and closed rays.  $(a, \infty), [a, \infty), (-\infty, b), (-\infty, b]$ . Call these collections  $\mathcal{E}_5, \mathcal{E}_6, \mathcal{E}_7$ , and  $\mathcal{E}_8$ .

**Proposition .0.3**

$\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) = \langle \mathcal{E}_i \rangle$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, 8$ .

*Proof.* We know that  $\mathcal{E}_i \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  by the arguments in the example. Thus  $\langle \mathcal{E}_i \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  by Lemma .0.2.

By definition  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) = \langle \mathcal{E} \rangle$ , where  $\mathcal{E}$  is the collection of open sets. It is then enough to show  $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \langle \mathcal{E}_i \rangle$ . (if so  $\langle \mathcal{E}_i \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{E}_i$ ).

**Exercise .0.4**

Every open set in  $\mathbb{R}$  is a countable union of disjoint open intervals (see Prop

Thus  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq \langle I \rangle$ , where  $I$  consists of the open intervals.

It is straightfoward to check open intervals are in  $\langle \mathcal{E}_i \rangle$ .

**.1. Measures****Definition .1.1**

A set  $X$  equipped with a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $X$  is called a measurable space (referred to as  $(X, \mathcal{A})$ ).

If we equip this with a function  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  satisfying

- $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$
- Countable additivity. That is if  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{A}$  are disjoint then

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i).$$

We then call  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  a measure space and  $\mu$  a measure on the space  $(X, \mathcal{A})$ .

We should only insist on countable additivity. Because

$$(0, 1] = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{2^{i+1}}, \frac{1}{2^i} \right]$$

$$1 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{8} + \dots$$

$$(0, 1] = \bigcup_{x \in (0, 1]} \{x\}$$

$$(0, 1] \neq \sum_{x \in (0, 1]} 0.$$

A measure is also necessarily finite additive

**Example .1.1** (a) For any  $(X, \mathcal{A})$ ,  $\mu(A) = \#A$  is called the counting measure.

(b) Let  $x_0 \in X$ . For any  $(X, \mathcal{A})$ , the Dirac measure at  $x_0$  is denoted by  $\delta_{x_0}$  and takes the values

$$\delta_{x_0} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x_0 \in A \\ 0 & \text{if } x_0 \notin A \end{cases}$$

(c) Note that measures are closed under pointwise scalar multiplication and pointwise addition.

Thus for  $(\mathbb{N}, P(\mathbb{N}))$  we know that

$$\mu(A) = \sum_{i \in A} a_i$$

is a measure where  $a_i \in [0, \infty)$  for  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .