

Final Stuff:

- Extra Office Hours: Next Monday 2pm, Next Tuesday 4pm, plus by appointment/drop by.
- Material will concentrate on things since the midterms, but of course mathematics is largely cumulative
- Old QR problems are good practice. As are old HW problems.
- Each Question will be worth 20pts.

Last time we began discussing singular cubes, i.e. smooth maps $I^k := I^k \rightarrow M$.

Definition .0.1

A smooth map $C : I^k \rightarrow M$ is called a singular k -cube in M .

New idea, do something crazy: look at formal sums of singular k -cubes. Say

$$\mathcal{C}_k := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m a_i c_i \mid a_i \in \mathbb{R}, m \in \mathbb{N}, c_i k\text{-cubes} \right\}.$$

This is then the free \mathbb{R} -module (i.e. vector space) with basis $\{c \mid ck\text{-cube}\}$. This space is infinite-dimensional.

Definition .0.2

An form sum $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i c_i$ as above is called a singular k -chain in M .

Goal: manifolds to algebra. We need some sort of map between these things.

Note: \mathcal{C}_0 is formal sums of points in M , as 0-cubes are points in M . Now, we have a map $\partial : \mathcal{C}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{k-1}$.

What is it? It's the "boundary" operation (with signs)!

Want: $\partial^2 = 0$ (this whole thing is "dual" to what we do with forms).

We'll think of I^n as both $[0, 1]^n$ and $I^n = \text{Id} : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]^n$. We now take

$$\begin{aligned} I_{i,0}^n(x) &:= I(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, 0, x_i, \dots, x_{n-1}) \\ I_{i,1}^n(x) &:= I(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, 0, x_i, \dots, x_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

If $i = 0$ we kick the 0 to the last coordinate (in some sense this is all modulo n). where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$.

Well

Example .0.1

$I_{(1,0)}^1 = (0)$. Then $I_{(1,0)}^2(x_1) = (0, x_1)$ and $I_{(1,1)}^2 = (1, x_1)$. Likewise

$$I_{(0,0)}^2(x_1) = (x_1, 0)$$

$$I_{(0,1)}^2(x_1) = (x_1, 1).$$

Crucial Fact: Each $(n-2)$ -dimensional face of I^n is the $(n-2)$ -face of two $(n-1)$ -faces of I^n . Must figure out a combinatorial way of assigning opposite signs to get $\partial^2 = 0$.

Definition .0.3 (Formal Definition of ∂)

We define

$$\partial I^n := \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\alpha=0,1} (-1)^{i+\alpha} I_{(i,\alpha)}^n.$$

This works generally. For $C : I^n \rightarrow M$ define

$$\partial C := C \circ \partial I^n := \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\alpha=0,1} (-1)^{i+\alpha} (C \circ I_{(i,\alpha)}^n),$$

(this is essentially defined by pushing forward ∂I^n from I^n to M along C).

Proposition .0.1


$$\partial^2 I^n = 0.$$

Proof. More formally, suppose $i \leq j$. Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-2})$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} (I_{i,\alpha}^n)_{j,\beta}(x) &= I_{(i,\alpha)}^n(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, \beta, x_j, \dots, x_{n-2}) \\ &= (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, \alpha, x_i, \dots, x_{j-1}, \beta, x_j, \dots, x_{n-2}). \end{aligned}$$

We likewise compute that


$$\begin{aligned} (I_{j+1,\beta}^n)_{i,\alpha}(x) &= I_{j+1,\beta}^n(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, \alpha, x_i, \dots, x_{n-2}) \\ &= (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, \alpha, x_i, \dots, x_{j-1}, \beta, x_j, \dots, x_{n-2}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus these maps are equal! But the signs associated to them, i.e. $(-1)^{i+\alpha}(-1)^{j+\beta}$ and $(-1)^{j+\beta+1}(-1)^{i+\alpha}$ are opposite! Thus these will cancel in $\partial^2 I^n$. 

Then extend ∂ linearly to \mathcal{C}_k to \mathcal{C}_{k-1} .

Lemma .0.2

$$\partial^2 = 0.$$

Proof. $\partial^2(I^k) = 0$. Then $\partial^2(C) = C \circ \partial^2(I^k) = C \circ 0 = 0$. Then including sums gives zero. 

We now want to integrate over singular k -chains. The setup: if $C : I^k \rightarrow M$ is a k -cube, $\omega \in \Omega^k M$ a k -form, then

$$\int_C \omega := \int_{I^k} C^*(\omega).$$

We know $C^*(\omega) = f \cdot dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$. We can then just take

$$\int_{I^k} C^*(\omega) = \int_{I^k} f dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_n.$$

(In fact: you can integrate on the interior of I^k , since this is a Lebesgue integral and the boundary has measure zero). For chains $\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i c_i$ we take

$$\int_{\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i c_i} \omega = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \int_{c_i} \omega$$

Theorem .0.3 (Basic Stokes)

Suppose $\omega \in \Omega^{k-1}(A)$ where $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is open, then if C is a k -chain then

$$\int_C d\omega = \int_{\partial C} \omega.$$