

Stuff:

- HW 3 is due next time, as we haven't covered enough to make it tractable. Also Ralf is not sure if it is true.
- For HW 2d, if you want to show  $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$  is connected, you might want to look at  $\mathbb{C}^* \times SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ . Then

$$SL(n, \mathbb{C}) = SU(n) \cdot \text{upper triangular matrix with any complex numbers.}$$

Alternately, realize  $GL(1, \mathbb{C})$  is orientation preserving and so  $\mathbb{C}$  carries a natural orientation.

General principle: If  $\Gamma$  acts on  $M$ , and  $M/\Gamma$  is a manifold and  $M$  carries a “structure” invariant by  $\Gamma$  which is invariant, it induces this structure on  $M/\Gamma$ .

**Example .0.1**

$$\mathbb{RP}^n = S^N/\mathbb{Z}_2 \text{ and } \mathbb{CP}^n = S^{2n-1}/S^1.$$

**Example .0.2**

Suppose  $M$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -differentiable and  $\Gamma$  acts by  $\mathbb{C}$ -differentiable maps, then  $M/\Gamma$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -differentiable.

**Example .0.3**

If  $M$  has a Riemannian metric and  $\Gamma$  acts by isometries, then  $M/\Gamma$  carries a Riemannian metric. By acting by isometries we mean that for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$

$$\langle D\gamma_p \cdot v, D\gamma_p \cdot w \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle.$$

Last time:  $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  with coordinates  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  in the domain and  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  in the domain. Then


$$\varphi^*(dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n) = (\det D\varphi)(dy_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dy_n).$$

*Orientability Theorem, atlas  $\implies$  volume form.* If  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are coordinates on  $U_\beta$ , and  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  are coordinates on  $U_\alpha$ . Then


$$T_{\alpha\beta}^*(dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n) = (\det DT_{\alpha\beta})(dy_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dy_n).$$

Thus these are related by a positive number. Pick a partition of unity  $\{\tau_i\}$  subordinate to  $U_\alpha$ . On  $U_\alpha$  we get an  $n$ -form  $\sigma_\alpha$  given by pulling back  $dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$ . Then

$$\sum \tau_\alpha \sigma_\alpha$$

will define an  $n$ -form on  $M$  which is nonvanishing. 

*Orientability Theorem, volume form  $\implies$  atlas.* Call  $\varphi_\alpha$  positive if the pullback form on  $U_\alpha$  given by  $\varphi_\alpha^*(dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n)$  (for coordinates  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ ) is positive with respect to  $\sigma$  (a fixed orientation on  $M$ ). That is it equals  $f \cdot \sigma$  for  $f > 0$ .

If all  $\varphi_\alpha$  are + then get coordinate charts are compatible with orientation. If not all  $\varphi_\alpha$  are + then “flip” the negative ones. I.e replace the coordinates  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  with  $-x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ . 

## .1. Defining Integrals

Why bother with orientation? If  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is smooth, then how do we define  $\int_M f$ ??? On  $\mathbb{R}^n$  we just use Lebesgue integration (or Riemannian integration). Main thing is we know the volume of a cube.

In contrast, there is no preferred way to measure volume on a manifold! You would need a Riemannian metric. Similarly, an  $n$ -form can tell you the volume... Maybe if we have an  $n$ -form we can do something!!!

So  $\int_M f$  NO IDEA. If  $\int_M f\tau$  where  $\tau$  is a volume form we have an idea. How to actually do it? In a chart  $U_\alpha$ , with  $\varphi_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow V_\alpha$  we consider

$$\int_{V_\alpha \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n} (f \circ \varphi_\alpha^{-1}) \cdot \varphi_\alpha^*(\tau).$$

This is  $g_\alpha \cdot dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n$  for some coordinates. Why is this well-defined? If we have a change of variables on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  called  $T$ , then

$$\int_B (h \circ T) \det DT \, dy_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dy_n = \int_A h \, dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n,$$

where  $A = T \cdot B$ . Thus integrals agree on the overlaps of charts! Namely, the forms transform according to  $T_{\alpha\beta}^*$  which acts via the determinant of the jacobian matrix from the work we've done above