

## I. Stoke's Theorem

### I.1. Manifolds with Boundary

Stuff:

- For users of the notes, the rest of the proof from Wednesday was added to the November 30th notes.
- The bonus contains some stuff about computing cohomology (Mayer-Vietoris).
- For the next few days we'll discuss Stoke's Theorem. You are free to use it on the homework now.

#### Definition I.1.1

For convenience call  $\mathbb{H}^n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_n \geq 0\}$ . A topological manifold with boundary is a paracompact, Hausdorff, second countable space  $M$  with a cover of  $M$  by  $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  and homeomorphisms  $\varphi_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^n$ .

We can then require the transition maps to be smooth to get a smooth manifold with boundary.

#### Example I.1.1

$\mathbb{H}^n$  is a manifold with boundary. So is  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (empty boundary). You can have things like intervals with endpoints, or taking a standard genus  $g$  surface and slicing it in half.

#### Definition I.1.2

We define the boundary of  $M$  to be  $\{x \in M \mid \varphi_\alpha \in \partial\mathbb{H}^n\}$  where  $\partial\mathbb{H}^n := \{x \in \mathbb{H}^n \mid x_n = 0\}$ .

#### Lemma I.1.1

$\partial M$  is well defined, i.e. independent of the chart. I.e., a diffeomorphism between  $\mathbb{H}^n$  and itself preserves the boundary.

Furthermore,  $\partial M$  is a manifold (without boundary) of dimension  $\dim M - 1$ .

#### Exercise I.1.2


Prove this lemma above.

#### Lemma I.1.2

Let  $M, \partial M$  be a manifold with boundary. Suppose  $M$  is oriented. Then  $\partial M$  is also oriented.

*Proof.* Take the situation in  $\mathbb{H}^n$ . If  $p \in \partial\mathbb{H}^n$ . How do we tell if  $v_1, \dots, v_{n-1} \in T_p\partial\mathbb{H}^n$  is a positively oriented basis?

We'll take  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$  an outward normal, aka so that  $u_n < 0$ . We then call  $v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}$  positively oriented for  $\partial\mathbb{H}^n$  if  $u, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}$  are positively oriented for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . This does not depend on the particular  $u$  chosen, bc it can be taken to  $(0, \dots, 0, u_n)$  by a linear combination with  $v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}$ .

For  $M$  a manifold with boundary, we endow  $M$  with the pullback orientation from  $\partial\mathbb{H}^n$ . One must check this is well-defined, and one checks that transition maps preserve outward normals. 

#### Theorem I.1.3 (Stoke's Theorem)

Let  $M$  be an oriented manifold with boundary  $\partial M$  (under the induced orientation).

Given  $\omega \in \Omega^{n-1}M$ , we have that

$$\int_M d\omega = \int_{\partial M} \omega.$$

### Example I.1.3

Pick  $M = [0, 1]$  and pick the left to right orientation. Take  $\omega \in \Omega^0([0, 1])$ , aka a smooth function  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[0,1]} d\omega &= \int_0^1 \omega'(t) dt = \omega(1) - \omega(0) \\ \int_{\partial[0,1]} \omega &= \int_{\{0,1\}} \omega = \omega(1) - \omega(0), \end{aligned}$$

where the  $-$  comes from the orientation. Thus we should think of Stoke's Theorem as a generalization of the fundamental.

### Corollary I.1.4

If  $M$  is a manifold (without boundary) and  $\omega \in \Omega^{n-1}(M)$  then

$$\int_M d\omega = \int_{\partial M} \omega = 0.$$

This means the integral of exact forms over manifolds are zero.

What do we need to do to prove this thing? The Idea: look at differentiable cubes, aka smooth maps  $C : I^k \rightarrow M$ . Then taking some  $\omega_k(M)$  we look at an integral

$$\int_C \omega = \omega_{I^k} C^*(\omega).$$

Then we'll cover  $M$  by cubes, take a partition of unity, and reduce the whole problem to something about integrating around cubes.