

MATH 395 Notes

Faye Jackson

September 30, 2020

Continue proving the equality of mixed partials

Theorem. If $f \in C^2(A)$ where $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ then for each $x_0 \in A$ we have:

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_k \partial x_j}(x_0) = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j \partial x_k}(x_0)$$

Corrolary. Equality of mixed partials of order r when $f \in C^r(A)$.

Proof. We began by reducing to the case where $d = 2$, since in general all variables different from k, j are frozen when taking these partial derivatives. Thus assume $f : A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is C^2 . Instead of referring to x_1, x_2 we'll refer to x, y .

Now lets consider our intuition. We know that $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$ measures $\frac{\Delta_x f}{h} = \frac{f(x_0+h, y) - f(x_0, y)}{h}$. And then:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x} &\approx \frac{\Delta_y \Delta_x f}{hk} = \frac{\Delta_x f(x, y+h) - \Delta_x f(x, y)}{hk} \\ &= \frac{1}{hk} [f(x+h, y+k) - f(x, y+k) - f(x+h, y) + f(x, y)] \end{aligned}$$

Similarly:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} &\approx \frac{\Delta_y f}{k} = \frac{f(x, y+k) - f(x, y)}{k} \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} &\approx \frac{\Delta_x \Delta_y f}{hk} = \frac{\Delta_y f(x+k, y) - \Delta_y f(x, y)}{hk} \\ &= \frac{1}{hk} [f(x+h, y+k) - f(x+h, y) - f(x, y+k) + f(x, y)] \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\Delta_y \Delta_x f = \Delta_x \Delta_y f$. Thus the equality of this discrete version of the partials that we expect the partials to be the same.

Now for the real proof. Let $(x_0, y_0) \in A$ and Q be the rectangle with vertices (x_0, y_0) , $(x_0 + h, y_0)$, $(x_0, y_0 + k)$, $(x_0 + h, y_0 + k)$. Since A is open, we can take h and k to be small enough so that $Q \subseteq A$. Now let:

$$G(h, k) = f(x_0 + h, y_0 + k) - f(x_0 + h, y_0) - f(x_0, y_0 + k) + f(x_0, y_0)$$

We will show that:

$$G(h, k) = hk \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}(p) = hk \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x}(q)$$

For some $p, q \in Q$. To show the first equality. Let us use $G(h, k) = \Delta_y \Delta_x f$ and let $\phi(y) = f(x_0 + h, y) - f(x_0, y)$ for y between y_0 and $y_0 + k$. We know that ϕ is continuous on $[y_0, y_0 + k]$ since f itself is. Also ϕ is differentiable on $(y_0, y_0 + k)$ since f is C^1 . Therefore by the Mean Value Theorem there exists a y_* between y_0 and $y_0 + k$ so that:

$$\phi(y_0 + k) - \phi(y_0) = \phi'(y_*)k$$

Notice then that:

$$\begin{aligned} G(h, k) &= \phi(y_0 + k) - \phi(y_0) \\ \phi'(y) &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x_0 + h, y) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x_0, y) \\ G(h, k) &= k \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x_0 + h, y_*) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x_0, y_*) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Now we know that $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y_*)$ is continuous on the closed interval between x_0 and $x_0 + h$ and differentiable on the open interval. By the MVT there is a x_* between x_0 and $x_0 + h$ so that:

$$G(h, k) = kh \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}(x_*, y_*) = k \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x_0 + h, y_*) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x_0, y_*) \right]$$

Note that $(x_*, y_*) \in Q$ so we have the first equality. To show the other equality, we argue similarly using the fact that $G(h, k) = \Delta_x \Delta_y f$. More precisely instead of ϕ above we introduce:

$$\psi(x) = f(x, y_0 + k) - f(x, y_0)$$

By MVT we can get a x_{\heartsuit} such that:

$$\begin{aligned} G(h, k) &= \psi(x_0 + h) - \psi(x_0) = h\psi'(x_{\heartsuit}) \\ G(h, k) &= h \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x_{\heartsuit}, y_0 + k) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x_{\heartsuit}, y_0) \right] \end{aligned}$$

By applying the mean value theorem again we get y_{\heartsuit} between y_0 and $y_0 + k$ we get:

$$G(h, k) = hk \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x}(x_{\heartsuit}, y_{\heartsuit})$$

This is exactly the same moves as in the proof for x . Then:

$$\frac{G(h, k)}{hk} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}(x_{\star}, y_{\star}) = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x}(x_{\heartsuit}, y_{\heartsuit})$$

By letting $h, k \rightarrow 0$ both $(x_{\star}, y_{\star}) = p \rightarrow (x_0, y_0)$ and $(x_{\heartsuit}, y_{\heartsuit}) = q \rightarrow (x_0, y_0)$. By continuity of $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}$ and $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x}$ at (x_0, y_0) we obtain the desired equality that:

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}(x_0, y_0) = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x}(x_0, y_0)$$



The Chain Rule and Taylor's Formula in Higher Dimensions

Recall. For $f : A \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g : B \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(A) \subseteq B$ we have $g \circ f : A \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We have:

$$\frac{d}{dx}[g \circ f](x) = g'(f(x)) \cdot f'(x)$$

provided that $f'(x)$ and $g'(f(x))$.

Theorem (Chain Rule). *Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ and suppose that $f : A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ and $g : B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$. with $f(A) \subseteq B$. Suppose that x_0 is an interior point of A and $y_0 = f(x_0)$ is an interior point of B . Furthermore suppose that f is differentiable at x_0 and g is differentiable at y_0 . Then $g \circ f$ is differentiable at x_0 and:*

$$D[g \circ f](x_0) = Dg(y_0) \circ Df(x_0) = Dg(f(x_0)) \cdot Df(x_0)$$

Proof. Since y_0 is an interior point of B there exists a $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $B(y_0, \varepsilon) \subseteq B$. Since f is continuous at x_0 there exists a $\delta > 0$ so that $f(B(x_0, \delta)) \subseteq B(y_0, \varepsilon)$. So we can define $g \circ f : B(x_0, \delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$. Let $\|h\| < \delta$ for $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and define:

$$R_f(h) = \frac{f(x_0 + h) - f(x_0) - Df(x_0) \cdot h}{\|h\|} \quad (h \neq 0)$$

$$R_f(h) = 0 \quad (h = 0)$$

By differentiability of f at x_0 we have $R_f(h) \rightarrow 0$ as $\|h\| \rightarrow 0$. Similarly if $\|k\| < \varepsilon$ and $k \in \mathbb{R}^m$ we define:

$$R_g(k) = \frac{g(y_0 + k) - g(y_0) - Dg(y_0) \cdot k}{\|k\|} \quad (k \neq 0)$$

$$R_g(k) = 0 \quad (k = 0)$$

By differentiability we know that $R_g(k) \rightarrow 0$ as $\|k\| \rightarrow 0$. To show that $g \circ f$ is differentiable at x_0 we must show that there exists an $A \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^k)$ such that:

$$R_{g \circ f}(h) = \frac{[g \circ f](x_0 + h) - [g \circ f](x_0) - Ah}{\|h\|} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \|h\| \rightarrow 0$$

Rewrite as the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
[g \circ f](x_0 + h) - [g \circ f](x_0) &= g(f(x_0 + h)) - g(f(x_0)) \\
&= g(f(x_0) + f(x_0 + h) - f(x_0)) - g(f(x_0)) \\
&= g(y_0 + k) - g(y_0)
\end{aligned}$$

Where we call $k = f(x_0 + h) - f(x_0)$. From $R_g(k)$ we know that for any $k \in \mathbb{R}^m$:

$$g(y_0 + k) - g(y_0) = Dg(y_0) \cdot k + \|k\| R_g(k)$$

Furthermore $k = f(x_0 + h) - f(x_0) = Df(x_0) \cdot h + \|h\| R_f(h)$. Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned}
g(y_0 + k) - g(y_0) &= Dg(y_0)[Df(x_0)h + \|h\| R_f(h)] + \|k\| R_g(k) \\
&= Dg(y_0)Df(x_0) \cdot h + \|h\| Dg(y_0)R_f(h) + \|k\| R_g(k)
\end{aligned}$$

Set $A = Dg(y_0) \cdot Df(x_0)$ This gives that for $h \neq 0$ that:

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{g \circ f}(h) &= \frac{[g \circ f](x_0 + h) - [g \circ f](x_0) - Ah}{\|h\|} \\
&= Dg(y_0)R_f(h) + \frac{\|k\|}{\|h\|} R_g(k)
\end{aligned}$$

We know that $R_f(h) \rightarrow 0$ as $\|h\| \rightarrow 0$. It remains to show that $\frac{\|k\|}{\|h\|} R_g(k) \rightarrow 0$ as $\|h\| \rightarrow 0$. We know that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|k\| &= \|Df(x_0) \cdot h + \|h\| R_f(h)\| \\
&\leq \|Df(x_0) \cdot h\| + \|h\| \|R_f(h)\| \\
&\leq C\|h\| + \|h\| \leq (C + 1)\|h\|
\end{aligned}$$

This follows since $\|R_f(h)\| \leq 1$ if $\|h\|$ is small enough. Also we know since $Df(x_0)$ is linear we know $\|Df(x_0) \cdot h\| \leq C\|h\|$ for some constant C by 296 / linear algebra. Therefore:

$$\left\| \frac{\|k\|}{\|h\|} R_g(k) \right\| \leq (C + 1) \frac{\|h\|}{\|h\|} \|R_g(k)\| \leq (C + 1) \|R_g(k)\|$$

Therefore as $\|h\| \rightarrow 0$ we know that $\|k\| \rightarrow 0$ since $\|k\| \leq (C + 1)\|h\|$ and hence $R_g(k) \rightarrow 0$. Therefore $\frac{\|k\|}{\|h\|} R_g(k) \rightarrow 0$ as $h \rightarrow 0$ and so this finishes the proof. 🍷

Taylor's Theorem in several variables

Recall the multi-index notation from last time.

Lemma (The multinomial lemma). *For any $\vec{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and any positive integer k we have:*

$$(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n)^k = \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \frac{k!}{\alpha!} x^\alpha$$