

MATH 395 Notes

Faye Jackson

November 4, 2020

Characterization of Riemann Integrability

Definition. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. We say that A has Lebesgue measure zero in \mathbb{R}^n if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a covering of A by a countable collection B_1, B_2, \dots of boxes such that:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} v(B_j) < \varepsilon$$

We'll call this ℓ -measure zero for convenience.

Proposition. Some properties of measure-zero sets:

- a) If $B \subseteq A$ and A has ℓ -measure zero, then B has ℓ -measure zero
- b) If $A = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j$ and A_j has ℓ -measure zero for all j , then A has ℓ -measure zero.
- c) A set A has ℓ -measure zero if and only if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a covering of A by a countable collection of open boxes B_1, B_2, \dots such that:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} v(B_j) < \varepsilon$$

Aka, we may replace the boxes in the definition by open boxes

- d) If B is a box, then ∂B has ℓ -measure zero
- e) If $v(B) \neq 0$ then B does not have ℓ -measure zero

Proof. Let's go!

a) (a) is direct

b) Fix some $\varepsilon > 0$. Then since A_j has ℓ -measure zero there are boxes B_{j1}, B_{j2}, \dots such that:

$$A_j \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_{jk}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v(B_{jk}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^j}$$

And then:

$$A \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_{jk}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v(B_{jk}) < \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^j} = \varepsilon$$

Therefore A has ℓ -measure zero.

c) The converse direction is immediate. We handle the forward direction. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ have ℓ -measure zero. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. We know that there is a collection of boxes B_1, B_2, \dots such that:

$$A \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} v(B_j) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{n+1}}$$

Then for each B_j with $v(B_j) \neq 0$, let \tilde{B}_j be the open box that is obtained from B_j by dilating it (around its center), by a factor of 2. If $v(B_j) = 0$ then let \tilde{B}_j

be an open box containing B_j with $v(\tilde{B}_j) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{j+1}}$. Then clearly:

$$\begin{aligned} A &\subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \tilde{B}_j \\ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} v(\tilde{B}_j) &= \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ v(B_j)=0}}^{\infty} v(\tilde{B}_j) + 2^n \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ v(B_j) \neq 0}}^{\infty} v(B_j) \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Great! Thus A has ℓ -measure zero.

d) Let $B = [a_1, b_1] \times \cdots \times [a_n, b_n]$. Then ∂B is the union of the faces of B given by:

$$[a_1, b_1] \times [a_{j-1}, b_{j-1}] \times \xi_j \times [a_{j+1}, b_{j+1}] \times \cdots \times [a_n, b_n]$$

Where $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $\xi_j \in \{a_j, b_j\}$. Let us denote this ace by F_j . Then:

$$\begin{aligned} F_j &\subseteq B_j = [a_1, b_1] \times \cdots \times [\xi_j - \delta/2, \xi_j + \delta/2] \times \cdots \times [a_n, b_n] \\ v(B_j) &= \delta \prod_{i \neq j} b_i - a_i \end{aligned}$$

We can make this arbitrarily small by choosing δ to be small, and so F_j has ℓ -measure zero, showing that ∂B has ℓ -measure zero by part (b).

e) Now suppose that $v(B) \neq 0$ and B has ℓ -measure zero for the sake of ontradiction. We know that $\overline{B} = B \cup \partial B$ and so by part (b) we know that \overline{B} has ℓ -measure zero, and also $v(\overline{B}) \neq 0$ since $B \subseteq \overline{B}$. Now take $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}v(\overline{B})$ and let B_1, B_2, \dots be a countable collection of open boxes such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{B} &\subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i \\ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} v(B_j) &< \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Since \overline{B} is compact, there exists a finite subcollection, say B_1, \dots, B_k such taht

\overline{B} is a subset of $B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_k$. Then:

$$v(\overline{B}) \leq \sum_{j=1}^k v(B_j) < \varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}v(\overline{B})$$

Since $v(\overline{B}) \neq 0$ this gives a contradiction!

Great!



Example. The set of rational numbers in $[0, 1]$ has ℓ -measure zero, because it is the countable union of singletons, and every singleton has ℓ -measure zero. Recall that this set is not Jordan measurable.

Theorem (Characterization of Riemann integrability). *Let $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a box and $f : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function. Let \mathcal{D} be the set of points in B at which f is discontinuous. Then f is Riemann integrable on B if and only if \mathcal{D} has ℓ -measure zero.*

Example. Consider the following function:

$$f(x) : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$x \xrightarrow{f} \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Q} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$$

Then $\mathcal{D} = [0, 1]$, which does not have ℓ -measure zero. Therefore f is not Riemann integrable.

Proof. Choose M such that $|f(x)| \leq M$ for all $x \in B$:

(\Leftarrow) Suppose that the set \mathcal{D} has ℓ -measure zero. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. We shall exhibit a partition P of B such that:

$$U(f, P) - L(f, P) \leq C\varepsilon$$

where C is a constant independent of ε and P . By the Riemann criterion, this implies that f is Riemann integrable. Since \mathcal{D} has ℓ -measure zero. There

exists open boxes B_1, B_2, \dots such that:

$$\mathcal{D} \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} v(B_j) < \varepsilon$$

For each $x \notin \mathcal{D}$, f is continuous at x , and so there exists an open box Q_x centered at x such that:

$$|f(y) - f(y')| < \varepsilon \quad (\forall y, y' \in Q_x \cap B)$$

Let $C_x = (Q_x \cap B)^o$ which is a box. The collection $\{B_j\}$ and $\{C_x\}$ is an open cover of B which is compact. Therefore there exists a finite subcover:

$$B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_p \cup C_{x_1} \cup \dots \cup C_{x_q}$$

Rename $C_\ell := C_{x_\ell}$. We have thus obtained that:

$$B = \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^p B_k \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{\ell=1}^q C_\ell \right)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^p v(B_k) < \varepsilon$$

$$y, y' \in C_\ell \implies |f(y) - f(y')| < \varepsilon$$

Let P be the partition of B that contains all of the endpoints of the component intervals of the boxes $\{B_k\}$ and $\{Q_\ell\}$. Then each B_k and each Q_ℓ is the union of sub-boxes is the union of sub-boxes determined by P .

We split the sub-boxes R determined by P into two groups, which we will call \mathcal{R}_1 and \mathcal{R}_2 . \mathcal{R}_1 is the sub-boxes that are contained in B_k for some $1 \leq k \leq p$, then \mathcal{R}_2 are the sub-boxes contained in Q_ℓ for some $1 \leq \ell \leq q$.

We then estimate:

$$\begin{aligned}
U(f, P) - L(f, P) &= \sum_R [M_R(f) - m_R(f)] \cdot v(R) \\
&\leq \sum_{R \in \mathcal{R}_1} [M_R(f) - m_R(f)] \cdot v(R) + \sum_{R \in \mathcal{R}_2} [M_R(f) - m_R(f)] \cdot v(R) \\
&\leq \sum_{R \in \mathcal{R}_1} 2M \cdot v(R) + \sum_{R \in \mathcal{R}_2} \varepsilon \cdot v(R) \\
&\leq 2M \cdot \sum_{R \in \mathcal{R}_1} v(R) + \varepsilon \cdot \sum_{R \in \mathcal{R}_2} v(R) \\
&\leq 2M \cdot \sum_{k=1}^p \sum_{\substack{R \in \mathcal{R}_1 \\ R \subseteq B_k}} v(R) + \varepsilon \cdot \sum_R v(R) \\
&= 2M \cdot \sum_{k=1}^p v(B_k) + \varepsilon \cdot v(B) \\
&< (2M + v(B)) \cdot \varepsilon = C \cdot \varepsilon
\end{aligned}$$

And this finishes this part of the proof!

(\Rightarrow) We now show that if f is integrable then \mathcal{D} has ℓ -measure zero. We need to introduce the notion of the oscillation of a function at a point:

Definition. With $g : A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ bounded and for $x \in A$ we define the oscillation of g at x :

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{osc}_\delta g(x) &:= \sup_{y, y' \in A \cap B(x, \delta)} [g(y) - g(y')] \\
\text{osc } g(x) &:= \inf_{\delta > 0} \text{osc}_\delta g(x)
\end{aligned}$$

Exercise. Show the following properties of the oscillation function:

- a) $\text{osc}_\delta g(x) = \sup_{B(x, \delta) \cap A} g - \inf_{B(x, \delta) \cap A} g \geq 0$.
- b) $\text{osc}_\delta g(x)$ is increasing in δ , i.e. if $\delta < \delta'$ then $\text{osc}_\delta g(x) \leq \text{osc}_{\delta'} g(x)$.
This follows because the supremum over a smaller set is smaller than the supremum over a bigger set
- c) Then we have that $\text{osc } g(x) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \text{osc}_\delta g(x)$.
- d) f is continuous at x if and only if $\text{osc } f(x) = 0$.

The rest of this direction will be done in next section

