

The intrinsic asymmetry and inhomogeneity of Teichmüller space

Benson Farb and Shmuel Weinberger *

September 22, 2009

1 Introduction

Let $S = S_{g,n}$ be a connected, orientable surface of genus $g \geq 0$ with $n \geq 0$ punctures. Let $\text{Teich}(S)$ denote the corresponding Teichmüller space, and let $\text{Mod}(S)$ denote the mapping class group of S . Understanding the analogy of $\text{Teich}(S)$ with symmetric spaces is a well-known theme. Recall that a complete Riemannian manifold X is *symmetric* if it is symmetric at each point $x \in X$: the map $\gamma(t) \mapsto \gamma(-t)$ which flips geodesics about x is an isometry. Symmetric spaces X are *homogeneous*: the isometry group $\text{Isom}(X)$ acts transitively on X . In his famous paper [Ro], Royden studied the possible symmetry and homogeneity of $\text{Teich}(S)$, endowed with the Teichmüller metric.

Theorem 1.1 (Royden [Ro]). *Suppose S is closed of genus at least 2, and let $\text{Teich}(S)$ be Teichmüller space endowed with the Teichmüller metric d_{Teich} . Then*

- a. $(\text{Teich}(S), d_{\text{Teich}})$ is not symmetric at any point.
- b. $\text{Isom}(\text{Teich}(S), d_{\text{Teich}})$ contains $\text{Mod}(S)$ (modulo its center if S is closed of genus 2) as a subgroup of index 2.

Note that the conclusion of Theorem 1.1 is false when $\text{genus}(S) = 1$, as d_{Teich} in this case is the hyperbolic metric on the upper half-plane. Earle-Kra [EK] extended part (b) of Royden's Theorem to arbitrary surfaces of finite type. Royden deduced Theorem 1.1 from a detailed analysis of the fine structure of the space $\text{QD}^1(M)$ of unit norm holomorphic quadratic differentials on a Riemann surface M . In particular, he found an embedding of M in $\text{QD}^1(M)$ and characterized it by the degree of Holder regularity of the norm on $\text{QD}^1(M)$ at the points of the embedding.

The Teichmüller metric is a complete Finsler metric, under which moduli space $\mathcal{M}(S) := \text{Teich}(S)/\text{Mod}(S)$ has finite volume (see the proof of Theorem 8.1 of [Mc])¹. There are many other complete, finite volume, $\text{Mod}(S)$ -invariant Finsler (indeed Riemannian) metrics on $\text{Teich}(S)$, each with special properties. Examples include the Kahler-Einstein metric,

*Both authors are supported in part by the NSF.

¹A Finsler metric determines a unique volume form by declaring the unit Finsler ball at each point to have volume 1.

McMullen’s metric, and the (perturbed) Ricci metric. Following Royden’s approach to understanding the symmetry and homogeneity of these metrics appears to involve difficult analysis.

The goal of this paper is to explain a completely different, nonanalytic mechanism behind Royden’s Theorem. It will allow us to extend much of his result from the Teichmüller metric to any metric, including each of those mentioned above. The theorem is the following.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $S = S_{g,n}$ be a surface, and let d be any complete, finite covolume, $\text{Mod}(S)$ -invariant Finsler (e.g. Riemannian) metric on $\text{Teich}(S)$. Then*

- a. If $g \geq 3$ then $(\text{Teich}(S), d)$ is not symmetric at any point.*
- b. If $3g - 3 + n \geq 2$ then $\text{Isom}(\text{Teich}(S), d)$ contains $\text{Mod}(S)$ (modulo its center if $(g, n) = (1, 2)$ or $(2, 0)$) as a subgroup of finite index.*

In this way Teichmüller space exhibits a kind of intrinsic asymmetry and inhomogeneity. The number $3g - 3 + n$ plays the role of “ \mathbf{Q} -rank” in this context. Theorem 1.2(b) is sharp: the conclusion is false whenever $3g - 3 + n < 2$, and indeed the corresponding Teichmüller spaces admit hyperbolic metrics.

Remarks.

1. The proof of Theorem 1.2 gives immediately that the hypothesis can be weakened to allow d to be any Γ -invariant metric, for any finite index subgroup $\Gamma < \text{Mod}(S)$. As such subgroups are typically torsion free, this shows that the phenomenon of inhomogeneity and asymmetry is not the result of the constraints imposed by having finite order symmetries of the metric.
2. Ivanov proved (see, e.g., [I2]) that the moduli space of Riemann surfaces $\text{Teich}(S)/\text{Mod}(S)$ never admits a locally symmetric metric when S is closed and $\text{genus}(S) \geq 2$. If it did admit such a metric, then $\text{Teich}(S)$ would admit a complete, finite covolume, $\text{Mod}(S)$ -invariant metric which is symmetric at *every* point. Thus Theorem 1.2(a) gives a new proof, and generalization, of Ivanov’s theorem.

The proof of Theorem 1.2(a) relies on Theorem 1.2(b). One key ingredient in our proofs is Smith theory. This is not the first time Smith theory has been used to analyze actions on Teichmüller space: Fenchel used it in the 1940’s to analyze certain periodic mapping classes.

We conjecture that the index in Theorem 1.2(b) can be taken to depend only on S . More strongly, one might hope that it can always be taken to be 2. As evidence towards this strongest possible conjecture, we can prove it in the “ \mathbf{Q} -rank 2” case.

Theorem 1.3. *Let S be the twice-punctured torus or the 5-punctured sphere. Then the index in Theorem 1.2(b) can be taken to be 2.*

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to Tom Church, Alex Eskin and Dan Margalit for their numerous useful and insightful comments. We are also grateful to Yair Minsky for many comments and corrections that greatly improved this paper.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.2(b)

By the Myers-Steenrod Theorem (or, in the general Finsler case, Deng-Hou [DH]), the group $I := \text{Isom}(\text{Teich}(S), d)$ is a Lie group, possibly with infinitely many components, acting properly discontinuously on $\text{Teich}(S)$. We remark that Theorem 2.2 of [DH] states that any isometry of a Finsler metric is necessarily a diffeomorphism; we will use this smoothness later.

Let I_0 denote the connected component of the identity of I ; note that I_0 is normal in I . Let μ denote the measure on $\text{Teich}(S)$ (and the induced measure on $\text{Teich}(S)/\text{Mod}(S)$) induced by the Riemannian metric d . By the above, $\mu(\text{Teich}(S)/\text{Mod}(S)) < \infty$.

If I is discrete, then we claim that $[I : \text{Mod}(S)] < \infty$. To see this, let K_I be a measure-theoretic fundamental domain for the I -action on $\text{Teich}(S)$. By this we mean that:

1. The complement of $\bigcup_{g \in I} g \cdot K_I$ in $\text{Teich}(S)$ has μ -measure 0, and
2. For all nontrivial $g \in I$, we have $\mu(g \cdot K_I \cap K_I) = 0$.

Note that measurable fundamental domains always exist for properly discontinuous actions. Let $K_{\text{Mod}(S)}$ be a measure-theoretic fundamental domain for $\text{Mod}(S)$. Let $\{g_i\}$ be a collection of coset representatives for $\text{Mod}(S)$ in I . Then we can choose $K_{\text{Mod}(S)}$ so that

$$K_{\text{Mod}(S)} = \bigcup_i g_i \cdot K_I.$$

Notice that this union is a disjoint union, up to sets of measure zero, by Condition (2) in the definition of fundamental domain. Since by assumption $\mu(K_I)$ and $\mu(K_{\text{Mod}(S)})$ are both finite, it follows that this must be a finite union, i.e. $[I : \text{Mod}(S)] < \infty$.

So suppose that I is not discrete. Myers-Steenrod then gives that the dimension of I is positive, and so I_0 is a connected, positive-dimensional Lie group. Let $\Gamma := \text{Mod}(S)$, and let $\Gamma_0 := I_0 \cap \text{Mod}(S)$. We have the following exact sequences:

$$1 \rightarrow I_0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow I/I_0 \rightarrow 1 \tag{1}$$

and

$$1 \rightarrow \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma/\Gamma_0 \rightarrow 1 \tag{2}$$

Step 1 (Γ_0 is a lattice in I_0): We replace Γ (hence Γ_0) by a torsion-free subgroup of finite index, but keep the notation Γ (resp. Γ_0) for this new group. Pick any $x \in \text{Teich}(S)$. The metric d induces a path metric on the orbit $O_x := I_0 \cdot x$. As I_0 acts transitively on its orbit, the induced metric on O_x is homogeneous. The Slice Theorem for proper group actions (see, e.g., [Br], Chap. IV, §3,4,5 ²) in this context asserts that there is a tubular neighborhood V of O_x in $\text{Teich}(S)$ that is a homogeneous vector bundle over O_x . The (Finsler) metric d induces a (Finsler) metric on V , and on each fiber U_y over each $y \in O_x$. The metric on

²While the proof in [Br] is for actions of compact groups, it extends verbatim to proper actions of noncompact groups.

U_y in turn induces a measure μ_y on U_y . As I_0 acts isometrically, this family of measures satisfies

$$\mu_{\phi(y)} = \phi_*\mu_y \quad \text{for all } \phi \in \mathcal{I}_0 \quad (3)$$

Now take the local product measure given by taking the product of the standard left-invariant Riemannian volume on I_0 with the family $\{\mu_y\}_{y \in O_x}$ to obtain a measure ν on V . Since I_0 acts transitively on the set of fibers of V over O_x , it follows from (3) that the measure ν on V is uniformly comparable to the restriction of the original, d -induced measure on $\text{Teich}(S)$ to V ; that is, there is a constant so that the ratio of measures of metric ϵ -balls in the two measures lies in $[1/C, C]$ for some constant C . In particular a subset $H \subseteq V$ has infinite ν -measure if and only if it has infinite measure in the metric on $\text{Teich}(S)$ induced by d .

For a given $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, let $W_\epsilon \subseteq V$ be the I_0 -orbit of an ϵ -neighborhood in U_x . Note that W_ϵ is a slice neighborhood of $I_0 \cdot x$. We claim that there exists $\epsilon > 0$ so that for each $z \in W_\epsilon$, the orbit $(\Gamma \setminus \Gamma_0) \cdot z$ is disjoint from W_ϵ . Assuming this, we then have that W_ϵ/Γ_0 embeds in $\text{Teich}(S)/\Gamma$. But then if O_x/Γ_0 had infinite volume, then by Fubini's theorem, W_ϵ/Γ_0 would have infinite ν -volume, and so $\text{Teich}(S)/\Gamma$ would have infinite volume, contradicting the given. We thus conclude that O_x/Γ_0 has finite measure. But $O_x \approx I_0/\text{stab}(x)$, and $\text{stab}(x)$ is compact. It follows that Γ_0 is a lattice in I_0 .

To prove the above claim, we assume the contrary. Then by composing with elements of I_0 , we could obtain a sequence of distinct $g_n \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_0$ with $z_n := g_n \cdot z \in U_x$ such that the z_n converges to x . By Arzela-Ascoli (or Myers-Steenrod in the Finsler setting), since I_0 is the connected component of the identity in I , we have that $z_n = x$ for n sufficiently large. This implies $g_n \in \text{stab}(x) \cap \Gamma = 0$ for large n , a contradiction. This proves the claim.

Step 2 (I_0 is semisimple with finite center): For any connected Lie group G there is an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow G^{\text{sol}} \rightarrow G \rightarrow G^{\text{ss}} \rightarrow 1 \quad (4)$$

where G^{sol} denotes the *solvable radical* of G (i.e. the maximal connected, normal, solvable Lie subgroup of G), and where G^{ss} is the connected semisimple Lie group G/G^{sol} .

We can apply this setup with $G = I_0$. We now follow exactly the argument of the proof of Proposition 3.3 of [FW], with the only change being that here Γ is not assumed torsion free. First, a theorem of Raghunathan gives a unique maximal normal solvable subgroup Γ_0^{sol} of Γ_0 . Since Γ_0^{sol} is unique it is characteristic in Γ_0 , hence normal in Γ . The main theorem of [BLM] states that any solvable subgroup of $\Gamma = \text{Mod}(S)$ has an abelian subgroup of finite index. Hence Γ_0^{sol} has a torsion-free, normal (in Γ) finite-index abelian subgroup, which we denote by N . We will prove below that $\Gamma = \text{Mod}(S)$ has no infinite normal abelian subgroups, from which we will conclude that N is trivial, so that Γ_0^{sol} is finite.

Now the next part of the argument of Proposition 3.3 of [FW] quotes a theorem of Prasad. In applying Prasad's theorem, we used the fact that the sum of the ranks of the abelian quotients of the derived series of Γ_0^{sol} equals 0. While in [FW] this followed from the fact that $\Gamma_0^{\text{sol}} = 0$, we only need that Γ_0^{sol} is finite, which we have just proven. We may thus quote Prasad's theorem and conclude, as in [FW], that I_0^{sol} is compact, and that the center $Z(I_0)$ is finite.

Given this, we finish the proof of Step 2 as follows. Since any compact Lie group is the product of a simple Lie group and a torus, I_0^{sol} must be a torus. Further, as is precisely argued in Proposition 3.3 of [FW], the conjugation action of the connected group I_0^{ss} on the torus I_0^{sol} , which has discrete automorphism group, must be trivial, so that I_0^{sol} must be a direct factor of I_0 . We thus need to rule this out when I_0^{sol} is positive-dimensional.

So if we can prove that $\text{Mod}(S)$ has no infinite, normal abelian subgroup A , and if we can rule out that I_0^{sol} is a torus direct factor of I_0 , then we have completed Step 2. We begin with the first claim.

By the classification of abelian subgroups of $\text{Mod}(S)$ (see [BLM] or [I1]), any abelian subgroup A , after perhaps being replaced by a finite index characteristic subgroup if necessary, either is cyclic with a pseudo-Anosov generator or there is a unique maximal finite collection C of simple closed curves, called the *canonical reduction system* of A , left invariant (setwise) by each $a \in A$. In the first case, the normalizer of A is virtually cyclic, a contradiction, so suppose we are in the latter case. Then for any $f \in \text{Mod}(S)$ the canonical reduction system for fAf^{-1} is $f(C)$. The result now follows by picking an f such that $f(C) \neq C$. Thus A must be trivial.

We now rule out that I_0 contains a positive-dimensional torus as a direct factor. Suppose it does. Then $Z(I_0)$ is positive-dimensional. Since $Z(I_0)$ is a positive-dimensional abelian Lie group, and we can write $Z(I_0) = A \times (S^1)^d \times \mathbf{R}^k$ for some $d > 0, k \geq 0$. Now let T denote the maximal compact subgroup of the connected component of the identity of $Z(I_0)$. From the above description of $Z(I_0)$, it is clear that T is characteristic in $Z(I_0)$, hence in I_0 . Since I_0 is normal in I , we have that the conjugation action of $\Gamma = \text{Mod}(S)$ leaves T invariant. Thus the action of Γ on $\text{Teich}(S)$ leaves $\text{Fix}(T)$ invariant. Since T is acting smoothly, $\text{Fix}(T)$ is a manifold. Since $\text{Teich}(S)$ is contractible, $\text{Fix}(T)$ is acyclic (see, e.g., [Br], Theorem 10.3). As T is positive dimensional and connected, and since the T -action on $\text{Teich}(S)$ is faithful, $\dim(\text{Fix}(T)) < \dim(\text{Teich}(S)) - 1$. Note that the action of $\text{Mod}(S)$ on $\text{Fix}(T)$ is properly discontinuous, being the restriction of the properly discontinuous action of $\text{Mod}(S)$ on $\text{Teich}(S)$.

Case A ($\dim(\text{Fix}(T)) > 3$): We claim that there is a contractible manifold Z of dimension $\dim(\text{Fix}(T)) + 1 < \dim(\text{Teich}(S))$ on which $\text{Mod}(S)$ acts properly discontinuously. Given this, we recall that Despotovic [D] proved that $\text{Mod}(S)$ admits no properly discontinuous action on any contractible manifold of dimension $< \dim(\text{Teich}(S))$, giving us a contradiction. Thus T would be trivial, and so I_0 is semisimple with finite center.

We now prove the claim. Note that by Smith theory (see [Br]) $\text{Fix}(T)$ is acyclic. We want to replace this $\text{Mod}(S)$ -manifold by another one that is contractible, i.e. we want to kill the fundamental group. If $\text{Fix}(T)/\text{Mod}(S)$ is compact, then the kernel that we are trying to kill is finitely normally generated, and the construction is standard: one kills the elements of this kernel by surgering the circles, giving rise to new homology = homotopy in dimension 2, which can then be killed by surgering the 2-spheres as well (see Section 3 of [H]). Taking the product of $\text{Fix}(T)$ with \mathbf{R} if $\dim(\text{Fix}(T)) = 4$, the 2-spheres needed at this stage can be embedded by general position since $\dim(\text{Fix}(T) \times \mathbf{R}) > 4$.

If $\text{Fix}(T)/\text{Mod}(S)$ is not compact, then one wants to do the same argument, but one has to be careful to make sure that the circles and 2-spheres that one wants to surger do not accumulate. However, by replacing $\text{Fix}(T)$ by $\text{Fix}(T) \times \mathbf{R}$, this problem disappears: one

simply does the i^{th} surgery at the “height” $\text{Fix}(T) \times \{i\}$, producing at height i a 2-dimensional homology class. This class can be represented by a sphere which lies in a compact region that is above level $i - 1/2$ (by the Hurewicz theorem) that is embedded (by general position) with trivial normal bundle (by appropriate framing). The infinite collection of constructed 2-spheres do not accumulate, since at most i of them pass through level i . Surgering this free basis for the homology of the previous stage gives us back an acyclic manifold, which is now, in addition, simply connected, and, thus, contractible.

Case B ($\dim(\text{Fix}(T)) \leq 3$): In this case the proper action of $\text{Mod}(S)$ on the acyclic space $\text{Fix}(T)$ implies that the virtual cohomological dimension $\text{vcd}(\text{Mod}(S))$ satisfies

$$\text{vcd}(\text{Mod}(S)) \leq \dim(\text{Fix}(T)) \leq 3.$$

But then by the formulas for $\text{vcd}(\text{Mod}(S))$, given for example in Theorem 6.4 of [I2], and since $3g - 3 + n \geq 2$ by hypothesis, this leaves the cases of possible (g, n) to be one of $\{(2, 0), (0, 5), (0, 6), (1, 2), (1, 3)\}$. As the action of $\text{Mod}(S)$ on $\text{Fix}(T)$ is properly discontinuous, this rules out $\dim(\text{Fix}(T)) = 0$. Now $\text{Fix}(T)$ has even codimension in $\text{Teich}(S)$ (see [Br], Theorem 10.3), and so is even-dimensional. As we are assuming in this case that $\dim(\text{Fix}(T)) \leq 3$, it follows that $\dim(\text{Fix}(T)) = 2$. But this would imply that $\text{Mod}(S)$ has a (closed or open) surface group as a subgroup of finite index. It cannot, however, because for instance in these cases $\text{Mod}(S)$ contains both \mathbf{Z}^2 , eliminating all surfaces but the torus, and also a rank 2 free group, eliminating the torus.

Step 3 (I_0 has no compact factors): Let K be the maximal compact factor of I_0 . Since I_0 is semisimple with finite center, K is characteristic in I_0 . Since I_0 is normal in I , it follows that K is invariant under conjugation by any element of I . Further, note that K is semisimple since I_0 is semisimple.

Since K is compact, we have that $K \cap \Gamma = K \cap \Gamma_0$ is a finite normal subgroup of Γ . Replacing Γ by a finite index subgroup, which we will also denote by Γ , we can assume that $K \cap \Gamma$ is trivial. As K is invariant by conjugation by elements of I , we have an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow \langle K, \Gamma \rangle \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow 1 \tag{5}$$

where the middle term denotes the subgroup of I generated by K and Γ . As explained in IV.6 of [Bro], any exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 1$$

is determined by two pieces of data: a representation $\rho : C \rightarrow \text{Out}(A)$ and a cocycle $\eta \in H^2(C, Z(A))$, where $Z(A)$ denotes the center of A , and is a C -module via the action of ρ . In the case (5), we have that both $Z(K)$ and $\text{Out}(K)$ are finite since K is semisimple. We may thus pass to a finite index subgroup $\Lambda < \Gamma$ so that ρ has trivial image. Now let

$$1 \rightarrow Z(K) \rightarrow \widehat{\Lambda} \rightarrow \Lambda \rightarrow 1$$

be the group extension corresponding to the pullback of the cocycle $\eta \in H^2(\Lambda, Z(K))$ corresponding to the extension (5) restricted to Λ . Note that this exact sequence defines a

trivial cocycle. Note also that $\widehat{\Lambda} \subseteq \langle K, \Lambda \rangle$. Now $Z(K)$ is central both in $\widehat{\Lambda}$ and in K . We thus have a split exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow K/Z(K) \rightarrow \langle K, \widehat{\Lambda} \rangle \rightarrow \widehat{\Lambda} \rightarrow 1 \quad (6)$$

Since ρ has trivial image, we can change the section of (6) to get a copy of $K/Z(K) \times \widehat{\Lambda}$ in $\langle K, \widehat{\Lambda} \rangle$. As noted above, this group is a subgroup of $\langle K, \Gamma \rangle$, and so acts on $\text{Teich}(S)$.

Since I_0 is semisimple, K is semisimple. Thus K is positive-dimensional then so is $K/Z(K)$, and so $K/Z(K)$ contains a closed subgroup isomorphic to a circle T . As the (possibly noneffective) action of $\widehat{\Lambda}$ on $\text{Teich}(S)$ commutes with the action of T , we have that $\widehat{\Lambda}$ leaves $\text{Fix}(T)$ invariant. But this gives a contradiction, exactly as in Step 2 above, once we observe that [D] applies to $\widehat{\Lambda}$, and so we obtain that K is trivial.

To see that [D] applies to $\widehat{\Lambda}$, there are two minor issues: her result is stated for $\Gamma = \text{Mod}(S)$, while we need the theorem for finite extensions and finite index subgroups of Γ . For the first issue we simply note that, just as mentioned in the first sentence of the proof of Theorem 26 in [BKK], the groups for which the theorem in [D] holds are closed under finite extensions. The proof that [D] holds not just for $\text{Mod}(S)$, but for any finite index subgroup Γ' of $\text{Mod}(S)$, is verbatim the same as in [D], replacing the ‘‘Mess subgroups’’ B_g constructed there with $B_g \cap \Gamma'$, which has finite index in B_g . The two key properties of B_g are:

- B_g is the fundamental group of a closed, triangulable topological manifold of dimension $4g - 5$, and
- The natural ‘‘point pushing’’ subgroup of B_g is a closed surface group.

Each of these properties is clearly preserved by taking finite index subgroups, and so the proof of the main theorem of [D] goes through when $\text{Mod}(S)$ replaced by any finite index subgroup Γ' .

Step 4 (I_0 is trivial): By the previous steps, we know that Γ_0 is a lattice in the semisimple Lie group I_0 , and that I_0 has no compact factors. The proof of Proposition 3.1 of [FW] now gives that there is a finite index subgroup Γ' of Γ so that

$$\Gamma' \approx \Gamma_0 \times \Gamma'/\Gamma_0. \quad (7)$$

To give an idea of the proof of (7) from [FW], we begin by considering the exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma/\Gamma_0 \rightarrow 1. \quad (8)$$

As mentioned above, the extension, (8) is determined by a representation $\rho : \Gamma/\Gamma_0 \rightarrow \text{Out}(\Gamma_0)$, and by a cohomology class in $H^2(\Gamma/\Gamma_0, Z(\Gamma_0)_\rho)$.

One shows that the image of ρ actually lies in $\text{Out}(I_0)$, which is finite since I_0 is semisimple with finite center. After replacing Γ by a finite index subgroup Γ' , one then gets that the resulting representation ρ is trivial. One can also choose Γ' so that $\Gamma_0 \cap \Gamma'$ is torsion-free. Since this group is a lattice in the semisimple Lie group with finite center I_0 , it has finite center; since $\Gamma_0 \cap \Gamma'$ is torsion-free, its center is trivial, so that the pertinent H^2 vanishes.

We now claim that for any finite index subgroup $\Gamma' < \text{Mod}(S)$, if $\Gamma' = A \times B$ then either A or B is finite. To see this, note that any such Γ' contains a pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism f (for example take a sufficiently high power of any pseudo-Anosov in $\text{Mod}(S)$). The centralizer in Γ' (indeed in $\text{Mod}(S)$) of any power of f has \mathbf{Z} as a finite index subgroup (see [I1], Lemma 8.13). But in a product of two infinite groups, it is easy to see that any element has some power whose centralizer does not contain \mathbf{Z} as a finite index subgroup.

Thus either Γ_0 is finite or Γ'/Γ_0 is finite. The latter possibility implies that Γ' , hence $\text{Mod}(S)$, has a finite index subgroup which is isomorphic to a lattice in the semisimple Lie group I_0 . If I_0 is nontrivial, then it must contain a noncompact factor (by Step 3). This would then contradict the theorem of Ivanov (see, e.g., §9.2 of [I2]) that no finite index subgroup of $\text{Mod}(S)$ is isomorphic to a lattice in a noncompact semisimple Lie group. Thus it must be that either I_0 is trivial, or Γ_0 is finite. If the latter possibility were to occur, then I_0 would be compact since Γ_0 is a lattice in I_0 by Step 1. But this would contradict Step 3. \diamond

3 Proof of Theorem 1.2(a)

Let τ be a *symmetry* of $(\text{Teich}(S), d)$, i.e. an isometric involution with an isolated fixed point. Let $L = \langle \text{Mod}(S), \tau \rangle$ be the group generated by $\text{Mod}(S)$ and by τ .

By Theorem 1.2(b), which we have already proven, $[L : \text{Mod}(S)] < \infty$. Thus the action of τ on L by conjugation induces a *commensuration* of $\text{Mod}(S)$, i.e. an isomorphism between two finite index subgroups. Since $\text{Mod}(S)$ is residually finite, we can pass to further finite index subgroups so that neither contains the hyperelliptic involution. By a theorem of Ivanov (see Theorem 8.5A of [I2]), since $\text{genus}(S) \geq 2$ any such commensuration agrees on some finite index characteristic subgroup H of $\text{Mod}(S)$ with conjugation by some element ϕ of the *extended mapping class group* $\text{Mod}^\pm(S)$, the index 2 supergroup of $\text{Mod}(S)$ which includes an orientation-reversing homotopy class of homeomorphism.

We now claim that there exists an infinite order element $\psi_2 \in \text{Mod}(S)$ that commutes with τ . Note that since the conjugation action of τ on H agrees with the conjugation action of ϕ , it is enough to produce an infinite order element $\psi_2 \in H$ so that ψ_2 commutes with ϕ .

Given this claim, we complete the proof of the theorem as follows. We are given that τ has an isolated fixed point $x \in \text{Teich}(S)$. By Smith theory, $\text{Fix}(\tau)$ is $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ acyclic; in particular $\text{Fix}(\tau)$ is connected. Since ψ_2 is an infinite order mapping class, we have that $\psi_2(x) \neq x$, by proper discontinuity of the action of $\text{Mod}(S)$ on $\text{Teich}(S)$. But

$$\tau(\psi_2(x)) = \psi_2(\tau(x)) = \psi_2(x)$$

so that τ also fixes $\psi_2(x) \neq x$. As $\text{Fix}(\tau)$ is connected and fixes at least two distinct points, it must have positive dimension. This contradicts the fact that x is an isolated fixed point of τ . Thus such a τ cannot exist, and we are done.

We now prove the claim. First note that since $\tau^2 = \text{Id}$, conjugation by ϕ^2 is the identity on some finite index subgroup H of $\text{Mod}(S)$. Now there exists $N > 0$ so that for a Dehn twist T_α about any simple closed curve α , we have $T_\alpha^N \in H$. For any twist T_α and any

element $f \in \text{Mod}(S)$, we have the well-known formula

$$fT_\alpha^N f^{-1} = T_{f(\alpha)}^N.$$

Since $\phi^2 \in \text{Mod}(S)$, we can apply this formula with $f = \phi^2$, giving that $T_\alpha^N = T_{\phi^2(\alpha)}^N$ for all simple closed curves α . Since any positive power of a Dehn twist about a curve determines that curve, we have that $\phi^2(\alpha) = \alpha$ for each α . It follows that either $\phi^2 = \text{Id}$ or $\text{genus}(S) = 2$ and ϕ^2 is the hyperelliptic involution; our assumption that $\text{genus}(S) > 2$ rules out the second possibility, so that $\phi = \text{Id}$, and so commutes with any element $\psi_2 \in \text{Mod}(S)$. We then pick $\psi_2 \in H$ to have infinite order. So we can assume $\phi^2 = \text{Id}$ and $\phi \neq \text{Id}$.

Now any element $\phi \in \text{Mod}^\pm(S)$ of order 2 is represented by a homeomorphism ϕ of order 2 (by a theorem of Fenchel). We now assume that $g = \text{genus}(S) > 2$. First suppose that $\text{Fix}(\phi)$ is discrete. Then S two-fold branched covers $S/\langle\phi\rangle$. Since $g = \text{genus}(S) \geq 3$, the Riemann-Hurwitz formula easily implies that either $\text{genus}(S/\langle\phi\rangle) > 0$ or that there are at least 4 branch points on $S/\langle\phi\rangle$. Either way, the quotient $S/\langle\phi\rangle$ admits a self-homeomorphism ψ whose mapping class has infinite order. After perhaps replacing ψ by a finite power of ψ , we know that ψ lifts to a self-homeomorphism ψ_2 of S with the property that, in $\text{Mod}(S)$ we have $\psi_2\phi = \phi\psi_2$. By replacing ψ_2 with an appropriate power if necessary, we may assume that ψ_2 lies in the finite index subgroup H .

If $\text{Fix}(\phi)$ is not discrete then ϕ is orientation-reversing and $\text{Fix}(\phi)$ is a union of $c > 0$ simple closed curves. If the quotient $S' := S/\langle\phi\rangle$ has $\text{genus}(S') > 0$, then S' admits an infinite order self-homeomorphism, which we can then lift as above to obtain ψ_2 . If $\text{genus}(S') = 0$ then S' is planar. Picking the outermost curve gives S' the structure of a disk with $(c - 1)$ open disks removed from its interior. Thus the Euler characteristic $\chi(S') = 1 - (c - 1) = 2 - c$. Since S is obtained from S' by gluing 2 copies of S' along its ($\chi = 0$) boundary, we have $2 - 2g = \chi(S) = 4 - 2c$ so that $c = g + 1$. Since we are assuming $g > 2$, it is clear that S' has an infinite order self-homeomorphism, and we are done as above. \diamond

4 Proof of Theorem 1.3

By Theorem 1.2, $[\text{Isom}(\text{Teich}(S)) : \text{Mod}(S)] < \infty$. We pass to the index 2 subgroup $\text{Isom}^+(\text{Teich}(S))$ of orientation-preserving isometries of $\text{Teich}(S)$. Note that that any element $f \in \text{Isom}^+(\text{Teich}(S))$ must have $\text{Fix}(f)$ of codimension at least 2. Let $f \in \text{Isom}^+(\text{Teich}(S))$ with $f \notin \text{Mod}(S)$ be given. Ivanov's theorem on commensurations of $\text{Mod}(S)$ mentioned above implies that the conjugation action of f on some characteristic finite index subgroup H' of $\text{Mod}(S)$ agrees on some finite index subgroup $H \leq H'$ with conjugation by some element $\phi \in \text{Mod}(S)$. By composing with ϕ , we may assume the conjugation action of f on H is trivial, i.e. that f centralizes H . As $[\text{Isom}^+(\text{Teich}(S)) : H] < \infty$, it must be that $f^n \in H$ for some $n > 1$. Now consider the exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow H \rightarrow \langle H, f \rangle \rightarrow \langle f \rangle / \langle f^n \rangle \rightarrow 1 \tag{9}$$

As H is centerless (e.g. since it is finite index in $\text{Mod}(S)$ and so contains a pair of independent pseudo-Anosovs) and since the action of f on H is trivial, it follows that (9) splits, so that

$f^n = \text{Id}$. By passing to a power of f if necessary, we may assume that f has order some prime $p \geq 2$. Hence $\text{Fix}(f)$ is $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$ -acyclic by Smith theory. Now $\text{Fix}(f)$ has codimension at least 2, and so has dimension at most 2. It is also a manifold. Since $\dim(\text{Fix}(f)) \leq 2$, it follows that $\text{Fix}(f)$ is contractible. But, just as noted in Case (B) of Step 3 in §2 above, H in these cases is not the fundamental group of a (closed or open) surface; it is also not the fundamental group of a 1-manifold by the same argument. We thus have a contradiction, so that f must be trivial. \diamond

References

- [BLM] J. Birman, A. Lubotzky, and J. McCarthy, Abelian and solvable subgroups of the mapping class group, *Duke Math. Jour.*, Vol.50, No.4 (1983), p.1107-1120.
- [BKK] M. Bestvina, M. Kapovich and B. Kleiner, Van Kampen's embedding obstruction for discrete groups, *Inventiones Math.* 150, 219-235 (2002).
- [Br] G. Bredon, *Introduction to compact transformation groups*, Pure and Applied Math., Vol. 46. Academic Press, 1972.
- [Bro] K. Brown, *Cohomology of Groups*, GTM Vol. 87, Springer-Verlag, 1982.
- [D] Z. Despotovic, Action dimension of mapping class groups, preprint.
- [DH] S. Deng and Z. Hou, The group of isometries of a Finsler space, *Pacific J. Math.* 207 (2002), no. 1, 149–155.
- [EK] C. Earle and I. Kra, On isometries between Teichmüller spaces, *Duke Math. J.* 41 (1974), 583–591.
- [FW] B. Farb and S. Weinberger, Isometries, rigidity and universal covers, *Annals of Math.*, Vol. 186, No.3, p.915-940 (2008).
- [H] J. Hausmann, Homology sphere bordism and Quillen plus construction, in *Algebraic K-theory*, Evanston1976, Springer Lect. Notes 551, 170–181.
- [I1] N. Ivanov, Subgroups of Teichmüller modular groups, translated from the Russian by E. J. F. Primrose and revised by the author, *Translations of Math. Monographs*, 115, AMS, 1992.
- [I2] N.V. Ivanov, Mapping class groups, in *Handbook of geometric topology*, 523–633, 2002.
- [Mc] C. McMullen, The moduli space of Riemann surfaces is Kahler hyperbolic, *Annals of Math.* (2) 151 (2000), no. 1, 327–357.
- [Ro] H.L. Royden, Automorphisms and isometries of Teichmüller space, in *Advances in the Theory of Riemann Surfaces (Proc. Conf., Stony Brook, N.Y., 1969)* pp. 369–383, *Ann. of Math. Studies*, No. 66., Princeton Univ. Press.

Dept. of Mathematics, University of Chicago
 5734 University Ave.
 Chicago, IL 60637
 E-mail: farb@math.uchicago.edu, shmuel@math.uchicago.edu