

# Endoscopy theory of automorphic forms

Ngô Bảo Châu

University of Chicago

# $\ell$ -adic representation attached to an elliptic curve

- ▶ For every lattice  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}$ , the quotient  $E = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$  is an abelian group equipped with a structure of compact Riemann surface.

# $\ell$ -adic representation attached to an elliptic curve

- ▶ For every lattice  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}$ , the quotient  $E = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$  is an abelian group equipped with a structure of compact Riemann surface.
- ▶ It can also be defined by a polynomial equation  $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ .  $E$  is defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  if  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

# $\ell$ -adic representation attached to an elliptic curve

- ▶ For every lattice  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}$ , the quotient  $E = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$  is an abelian group equipped with a structure of compact Riemann surface.
- ▶ It can also be defined by a polynomial equation  $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ .  $E$  is defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  if  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ .
- ▶ In that case, the Galois group  $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$  acts on the group  $E[\ell^n] = \ell^{-n}\Lambda/\Lambda \sim (\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z})^2$  for every prime number  $\ell$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

# $\ell$ -adic representation attached to an elliptic curve

- ▶ For every lattice  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}$ , the quotient  $E = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$  is an abelian group equipped with a structure of compact Riemann surface.
- ▶ It can also be defined by a polynomial equation  $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ .  $E$  is defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  if  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ .
- ▶ In that case, the Galois group  $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$  acts on the group  $E[\ell^n] = \ell^{-n}\Lambda/\Lambda \sim (\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z})^2$  for every prime number  $\ell$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- ▶ All together, these actions define a system of 2-dimensional  $\ell$ -adic representations

$$\rho_E : \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_\ell).$$

- ▶ For all but finitely many primes  $p$ ,  $E$  can be reduced to an elliptic curve  $E_p$  defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Those primes are said to be unramified with respect to  $E$  and  $\rho$ .

- ▶ For all but finitely many primes  $p$ ,  $E$  can be reduced to an elliptic curve  $E_p$  defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Those primes are said to be unramified with respect to  $E$  and  $\rho$ .
- ▶ The Frobenius element  $\text{Fr}_p \in \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p/\mathbb{F}_p)$  is defined by  $\text{Fr}_p(\alpha) = \alpha^{-p}$ . There are not an unique way to lift  $\text{Fr}_p$  to an element of  $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ . However,

- ▶ For all but finitely many primes  $p$ ,  $E$  can be reduced to an elliptic curve  $E_p$  defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Those primes are said to be unramified with respect to  $E$  and  $\rho$ .
- ▶ The Frobenius element  $\text{Fr}_p \in \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p/\mathbb{F}_p)$  is defined by  $\text{Fr}_p(\alpha) = \alpha^{-p}$ . There are not an unique way to lift  $\text{Fr}_p$  to an element of  $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ . However,
- ▶ for unramified  $p$ ,  $\rho_E(\text{Fr}_p)$  is a well defined conjugacy class in  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ .



- ▶ For all but finitely many primes  $p$ ,  $E$  can be reduced to an elliptic curve  $E_p$  defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Those primes are said to be unramified with respect to  $E$  and  $\rho$ .
- ▶ The Frobenius element  $\text{Fr}_p \in \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p/\mathbb{F}_p)$  is defined by  $\text{Fr}_p(\alpha) = \alpha^{-p}$ . There are not an unique way to lift  $\text{Fr}_p$  to an element of  $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ . However,
- ▶ for unramified  $p$ ,  $\rho_E(\text{Fr}_p)$  is a well defined conjugacy class in  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ .
- ▶ The number of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -points on  $E_p$  can be calculated by the formula  $1 + p - \text{tr}(\rho_E(\text{Fr}_p))$ .

# A theorem of Wiles

- ▶ There exists a weight two modular eigenform  
 $f = q + a_2q^2 + a_3q^3 + \cdots$

# A theorem of Wiles

- ▶ There exists a weight two modular eigenform
$$f = q + a_2q^2 + a_3q^3 + \cdots$$
- ▶ such that  $a_p = \text{tr}(\rho_E(\text{Fr}_p))$  for unramified primes  $p$ .

# A theorem of Wiles

- ▶ There exists a weight two modular eigenform  $f = q + a_2q^2 + a_3q^3 + \dots$
- ▶ such that  $a_p = \text{tr}(\rho_E(\text{Fr}_p))$  for unramified primes  $p$ .
- ▶ This is a theorem of Wiles, Taylor-Wiles, Breuil-Conrad-Diamond-Taylor.

# Nonabelian reciprocity law

- ▶ This is a typical example of more general nonabelian reciprocity law between irreducible Galois representation and cuspidal automorphic forms for  $GL_n$ .

# Nonabelian reciprocity law

- ▶ This is a typical example of more general nonabelian reciprocity law between irreducible Galois representation and cuspidal automorphic forms for  $GL_n$ .
- ▶ The nonabelian reciprocity law is known in the function field case thanks to Drinfeld in the two-dimensional case, and Lafforgue in general.

# Nonabelian reciprocity law

- ▶ This is a typical example of more general nonabelian reciprocity law between irreducible Galois representation and cuspidal automorphic forms for  $GL_n$ .
- ▶ The nonabelian reciprocity law is known in the function field case thanks to Drinfeld in the two-dimensional case, and Lafforgue in general.
- ▶ In the number field case, it is more difficult even to state the non abelian reciprocity law because there are automorphic forms that do not correspond to Galois representations.

# Nonabelian reciprocity law

- ▶ This is a typical example of more general nonabelian reciprocity law between irreducible Galois representation and cuspidal automorphic forms for  $GL_n$ .
- ▶ The nonabelian reciprocity law is known in the function field case thanks to Drinfeld in the two-dimensional case, and Lafforgue in general.
- ▶ In the number field case, it is more difficult even to state the non abelian reciprocity law because there are automorphic forms that do not correspond to Galois representations.
- ▶ Since classical automorphic forms are functions on hermitian symmetric domain, it is natural to consider automorphic forms on classical groups as well.



# Dual reductive groups

- ▶ One can attach a root datum  $(X, X^\vee, \Phi, \Phi^\vee)$  to every split reductive group  $G$ .

# Dual reductive groups

- ▶ One can attach a root datum  $(X, X^\vee, \Phi, \Phi^\vee)$  to every split reductive group  $G$ .
- ▶ The dual (complex) reductive group  $\hat{G}$  is a complex reductive group with root datum  $(X^\vee, X, \Phi^\vee, \Phi)$ .

# Dual reductive groups

- ▶ One can attach a root datum  $(X, X^\vee, \Phi, \Phi^\vee)$  to every split reductive group  $G$ .
- ▶ The dual (complex) reductive group  $\hat{G}$  is a complex reductive group with root datum  $(X^\vee, X, \Phi^\vee, \Phi)$ .
- ▶  $GL_n \leftrightarrow GL_n$ ,  $SL_n \leftrightarrow PGL_n$ ,  $SO_{2n} \leftrightarrow SO_{2n}$ ,  
 $Sp_{2n} \leftrightarrow SO_{2n+1}$ ,

# Dual reductive groups

- ▶ One can attach a root datum  $(X, X^\vee, \Phi, \Phi^\vee)$  to every split reductive group  $G$ .
- ▶ The dual (complex) reductive group  $\hat{G}$  is a complex reductive group with root datum  $(X^\vee, X, \Phi^\vee, \Phi)$ .
- ▶  $GL_n \leftrightarrow GL_n$ ,  $SL_n \leftrightarrow PGL_n$ ,  $SO_{2n} \leftrightarrow SO_{2n}$ ,  
 $Sp_{2n} \leftrightarrow SO_{2n+1}$ ,
- ▶ Unramified representations of  $G(F_v)$ ,  $F_v$  being a nonarchimedean local field are classified by semisimple conjugacy classes of  $\hat{G}(\mathbb{C})$ . Local components of automorphic representations are unramified almost everywhere.

# Automorphic representations

- ▶ For every absolute value  $v$  of a global field  $F$ , let  $F_v$  be the completion. The ring of adèles is the restricted product  $\mathbb{A} = \prod'_v F_v$ .

# Automorphic representations

- ▶ For every absolute value  $v$  of a global field  $F$ , let  $F_v$  be the completion. The ring of adèles is the restricted product  $\mathbb{A} = \prod'_v F_v$ .
- ▶ Irreducible subrepresentations of  $G(\mathbb{A})$  in  $L^2(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_\chi$  are said to be automorphic with unitary central character  $\chi$ .

# Automorphic representations

- ▶ For every absolute value  $v$  of a global field  $F$ , let  $F_v$  be the completion. The ring of adèles is the restricted product  $\mathbb{A} = \prod'_v F_v$ .
- ▶ Irreducible subrepresentations of  $G(\mathbb{A})$  in  $L^2(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_\chi$  are said to be automorphic with unitary central character  $\chi$ .
- ▶ They are of the form  $\pi = \bigotimes_v \pi_v$ . For every finite prime  $v$ ,  $\pi_v$  is an unitary admissible representation of  $G(F_v)$ . For all but finitely many  $v$ ,  $\pi_v$  are unramified.

# Automorphic representations

- ▶ For every absolute value  $v$  of a global field  $F$ , let  $F_v$  be the completion. The ring of adèles is the restricted product  $\mathbb{A} = \prod'_v F_v$ .
- ▶ Irreducible subrepresentations of  $G(\mathbb{A})$  in  $L^2(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_\chi$  are said to be automorphic with unitary central character  $\chi$ .
- ▶ They are of the form  $\pi = \bigotimes_v \pi_v$ . For every finite prime  $v$ ,  $\pi_v$  is an unitary admissible representation of  $G(F_v)$ . For all but finitely many  $v$ ,  $\pi_v$  are unramified.
- ▶ It is important to understand in which circumstances, the tensor product of local representation  $\pi_v$  is automorphic. Langlands' prediction is based on an elaborated form of the local and the global Galois groups.



# Langlands' reciprocity conjecture

- ▶  $G$  is assumed to be split for simplicity.

# Langlands' reciprocity conjecture

- ▶  $G$  is assumed to be split for simplicity.
- ▶  $L_{F_v}$  is an extension of the local Weil groups  $W_{F_v}$  by a compact Lie group.

# Langlands' reciprocity conjecture

- ▶  $G$  is assumed to be split for simplicity.
- ▶  $L_{F_v}$  is an extension of the local Weil groups  $W_{F_v}$  by a compact Lie group.
- ▶ Admissible representation  $\pi_v$  are parametrized by homomorphisms  $\phi_v : L_{F_v} \rightarrow \hat{G}$  extending the known parametrization of unramified representations.

# Langlands' reciprocity conjecture

- ▶  $G$  is assumed to be split for simplicity.
- ▶  $L_{F_v}$  is an extension of the local Weil groups  $W_{F_v}$  by a compact Lie group.
- ▶ Admissible representation  $\pi_v$  are parametrized by homomorphisms  $\phi_v : L_{F_v} \rightarrow \hat{G}$  extending the known parametrization of unramified representations.
- ▶ For global field, there should be an extension  $L_F$  of the global Weil group  $W_F$  with homomorphism  $L_{F_v} \rightarrow L_F$  also well defined up to conjugacy.

# Langlands' reciprocity conjecture

- ▶  $G$  is assumed to be split for simplicity.
- ▶  $L_{F_v}$  is an extension of the local Weil groups  $W_{F_v}$  by a compact Lie group.
- ▶ Admissible representation  $\pi_v$  are parametrized by homomorphisms  $\phi_v : L_{F_v} \rightarrow \hat{G}$  extending the known parametrization of unramified representations.
- ▶ For global field, there should be an extension  $L_F$  of the global Weil group  $W_F$  with homomorphism  $L_{F_v} \rightarrow L_F$  also well defined up to conjugacy.
- ▶ Automorphic representations  $\pi$  should be parametrized by homomorphisms  $\phi : L_F \rightarrow \hat{G}$ . Local parameters  $\phi_v : L_{F_v} \rightarrow \hat{G}$  are obtained by restricting  $\phi$  from  $L_F$  to  $L_{F_v}$ .

# Langlands' functoriality conjecture

*Let  $\rho : \hat{H} \rightarrow \hat{G}$  be a homomorphism. For every automorphic representation  $\pi_H = \bigotimes_v \pi_{H,v}$  of  $H$ , there exists an automorphic representation  $\pi = \bigotimes_v \pi_v$  of  $G$  such that if at an unramified place  $v$ ,  $\pi_{H,v}$  is parametrized by a semisimple conjugacy class  $s_v \in \hat{H}$ , then  $\pi_v$  is also unramified and parametrized by the conjugacy class  $\rho(s_v)$ .*

# Functoriality's consequences

- ▶ The functoriality conjecture does not depend on the existence of the group  $L_F$ . In fact, we expect the existence of  $L_F$  follows from the functoriality.

- ▶ The functoriality conjecture does not depend on the existence of the group  $L_F$ . In fact, we expect the existence of  $L_F$  follows from the functoriality.
- ▶ Many deep conjectures are also consequences of the functoriality : general form of the Ramanujan conjecture, the Sato-Tate conjecture, the Artin conjecture ...



# Known approaches

- ▶ Analytic method via the converse theorem and integral representation of  $L$ -functions.

- ▶ Analytic method via the converse theorem and integral representation of  $L$ -functions.
- ▶  $p$ -adic method : recent proof due to Taylor and collaborators of the Sato-Tate conjecture via a weak form of the functoriality.

- ▶ Analytic method via the converse theorem and integral representation of  $L$ -functions.
- ▶  $p$ -adic method : recent proof due to Taylor and collaborators of the Sato-Tate conjecture via a weak form of the functoriality.
- ▶ Endoscopy theory via the stabilization of the trace formula.

- ▶ The restriction of discrete series representations of  $GL_2(\mathbb{R})$  to  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  are reducible.

- ▶ The restriction of discrete series representations of  $GL_2(\mathbb{R})$  to  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  are reducible.
- ▶ Its constituents have the same Langlands parameter  $L_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow PGL_2(\mathbb{R})$ .

- ▶ The restriction of discrete series representations of  $GL_2(\mathbb{R})$  to  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  are reducible.
- ▶ Its constituents have the same Langlands parameter  $L_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow PGL_2(\mathbb{R})$ .
- ▶ They belong to the same **packet** of representations.

- ▶ The restriction of discrete series representations of  $GL_2(\mathbb{R})$  to  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  are reducible.
- ▶ Its constituents have the same Langlands parameter  $L_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow PGL_2(\mathbb{R})$ .
- ▶ They belong to the same **packet** of representations.
- ▶ Rotations of angle  $\theta$  and  $-\theta$  are not conjugate in  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  but become conjugate in either  $GL(2, \mathbb{R})$  or  $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ . They are **stably conjugate**.

- ▶ Local packet is the finite set of representations of  $G(F_v)$  parametrized by a given homomorphism  $\phi_v : L_{F_v} \rightarrow \hat{G}$ .



- ▶ Local packet is the finite set of representations of  $G(F_v)$  parametrized by a given homomorphism  $\phi_v : L_{F_v} \rightarrow \hat{G}$ .
- ▶ Let  $S_{\phi_v}$  denote the centralizer of  $\phi_v$  in  $\hat{G}$  and let  $\mathcal{S}_{\phi_v} = S_{\phi_v} / S_{\phi_v}^0 Z_{\hat{G}}$ , where  $S_{\phi_v}^0$  is the neutral component of  $S_{\phi_v}$  and  $Z_{\hat{G}}$  is the center of  $\hat{G}$  ( $G$  is supposed split).

- ▶ Local packet is the finite set of representations of  $G(F_v)$  parametrized by a given homomorphism  $\phi_v : L_{F_v} \rightarrow \hat{G}$ .
- ▶ Let  $S_{\phi_v}$  denote the centralizer of  $\phi_v$  in  $\hat{G}$  and let  $\mathcal{S}_{\phi_v} = S_{\phi_v} / S_{\phi_v}^0 Z_{\hat{G}}$ , where  $S_{\phi_v}^0$  is the neutral component of  $S_{\phi_v}$  and  $Z_{\hat{G}}$  is the center of  $\hat{G}$  ( $G$  is supposed split).
- ▶ There should be a natural bijection between the packet  $\Pi_{\phi_v}$  and the set of irreducible representations of the finite group  $\mathcal{S}_{\phi_v}$ .

# Global packet and multiplicity formula

- ▶ The global packet is by definition the restricted product of local packets  $\Pi_{\phi} = \prod' \Pi_{\phi_v}$ .

# Global packet and multiplicity formula

- ▶ The global packet is by definition the restricted product of local packets  $\Pi_\phi = \prod' \Pi_{\phi_v}$ .
- ▶ Which member of of the global packet occurs in the automorphic spectrum and with which multiplicity ?

# Global packet and multiplicity formula

- ▶ The global packet is by definition the restricted product of local packets  $\Pi_\phi = \prod' \Pi_{\phi_v}$ .
- ▶ Which member of the global packet occurs in the automorphic spectrum and with which multiplicity ?
- ▶ For every global parameter  $\phi : L_F \rightarrow \hat{G}$ , Kottwitz defined a finite group  $\mathcal{S}_\phi$  together with homomorphism  $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\phi_v}$ .

# Global packet and multiplicity formula

- ▶ The global packet is by definition the restricted product of local packets  $\Pi_\phi = \prod' \Pi_{\phi_v}$ .
- ▶ Which member of of the global packet occurs in the automorphic spectrum and with which multiplicity ?
- ▶ For every global parameter  $\phi : L_F \rightarrow \hat{G}$ , Kottwitz defined a finite group  $\mathcal{S}_\phi$  together with homomorphism  $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\phi_v}$ .
- ▶ Conjectural multiplicity formula for a representation  $\pi = \otimes \pi_v$  in the global packet  $\Pi_\phi$

$$m(\pi, \phi) = |\mathcal{S}_\phi|^{-1} \sum_{\epsilon \in \mathcal{S}_\phi} \prod \langle \epsilon_v, \pi_v \rangle.$$

- ▶ Packet and multiplicity can be explained by the functoriality principle between  $G$  and certain smaller groups called endoscopic groups.

- ▶ Packet and multiplicity can be explained by the functoriality principle between  $G$  and certain smaller groups called endoscopic groups.
- ▶ For real groups, Shelstad proved remarkable identities between the sum of characters of representations of an endoscopic group  $H$  in a packet and certain linear combination of character of representations of  $G$  in the corresponding packet.



- ▶ Packet and multiplicity can be explained by the functoriality principle between  $G$  and certain smaller groups called endoscopic groups.
- ▶ For real groups, Shelstad proved remarkable identities between the sum of characters of representations of an endoscopic group  $H$  in a packet and certain linear combination of character of representations of  $G$  in the corresponding packet.
- ▶ Langlands suggested a strategy for proving the endoscopic functoriality by the stabilization of the trace formula.

# Endoscopic groups and twisted endoscopic groups

- ▶ Let  $s$  be a semisimple element of  $\hat{G}$ . Assume  $\hat{G}_s$  has the same semisimple rank as  $G$  does.

# Endoscopic groups and twisted endoscopic groups

- ▶ Let  $s$  be a semisimple element of  $\hat{G}$ . Assume  $\hat{G}_s$  has the same semisimple rank as  $G$  does.
- ▶ Let  $\hat{H} = \hat{G}_s^0$ . The dual group  $H$  is an endoscopic groups of  $G$ . In general, endoscopic groups  $H$  may be quasi-split.

# Endoscopic groups and twisted endoscopic groups

- ▶ Let  $s$  be a semisimple element of  $\hat{G}$ . Assume  $\hat{G}_s$  has the same semisimple rank as  $G$  does.
- ▶ Let  $\hat{H} = \hat{G}_s^0$ . The dual group  $H$  is an endoscopic group of  $G$ . In general, endoscopic groups  $H$  may be quasi-split.
- ▶  $\mathrm{SO}(2n)$  is an endoscopic group of  $\mathrm{Sp}(2n)$ .

# Endoscopic groups and twisted endoscopic groups

- ▶ Let  $s$  be a semisimple element of  $\hat{G}$ . Assume  $\hat{G}_s$  has the same semisimple rank as  $G$  does.
- ▶ Let  $\hat{H} = \hat{G}_s^0$ . The dual group  $H$  is an endoscopic groups of  $G$ . In general, endoscopic groups  $H$  may be quasi-split.
- ▶  $SO(2n)$  is an endoscopic group of  $Sp(2n)$ .
- ▶ For  $n = n_1 + n_2$ ,  $U(n_1) \times U(n_2)$  is an endoscopic of  $U(n)$ .

# Endoscopic groups and twisted endoscopic groups

- ▶ Let  $s$  be a semisimple element of  $\hat{G}$ . Assume  $\hat{G}_s$  has the same semisimple rank as  $G$  does.
- ▶ Let  $\hat{H} = \hat{G}_s^0$ . The dual group  $H$  is an endoscopic groups of  $G$ . In general, endoscopic groups  $H$  may be quasi-split.
- ▶  $SO(2n)$  is an endoscopic group of  $Sp(2n)$ .
- ▶ For  $n = n_1 + n_2$ ,  $U(n_1) \times U(n_2)$  is an endoscopic of  $U(n)$ .
- ▶ This slightly more general setting include important cases of functoriality for the standard representation of classical groups.

# Endoscopic groups and twisted endoscopic groups

- ▶ Let  $s$  be a semisimple element of  $\hat{G}$ . Assume  $\hat{G}_s$  has the same semisimple rank as  $G$  does.
- ▶ Let  $\hat{H} = \hat{G}_s^0$ . The dual group  $H$  is an endoscopic groups of  $G$ . In general, endoscopic groups  $H$  may be quasi-split.
- ▶  $\mathrm{SO}(2n)$  is an endoscopic group of  $\mathrm{Sp}(2n)$ .
- ▶ For  $n = n_1 + n_2$ ,  $U(n_1) \times U(n_2)$  is an endoscopic of  $U(n)$ .
- ▶ This slightly more general setting include important cases of functoriality for the standard representation of classical groups.
- ▶  $\mathrm{SO}(2n) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(2n)$ ,  $\mathrm{Sp}(2n) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(2n + 1)$ ,  
 $\mathrm{SO}(2n + 1) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(2n)$ .

# Endoscopic groups and twisted endoscopic groups

- ▶ Let  $s$  be a semisimple element of  $\hat{G}$ . Assume  $\hat{G}_s$  has the same semisimple rank as  $G$  does.
- ▶ Let  $\hat{H} = \hat{G}_s^0$ . The dual group  $H$  is an endoscopic groups of  $G$ . In general, endoscopic groups  $H$  may be quasi-split.
- ▶  $\mathrm{SO}(2n)$  is an endoscopic group of  $\mathrm{Sp}(2n)$ .
- ▶ For  $n = n_1 + n_2$ ,  $U(n_1) \times U(n_2)$  is an endoscopic of  $U(n)$ .
- ▶ This slightly more general setting include important cases of functoriality for the standard representation of classical groups.
- ▶  $\mathrm{SO}(2n) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(2n)$ ,  $\mathrm{Sp}(2n) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(2n + 1)$ ,  
 $\mathrm{SO}(2n + 1) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(2n)$ .
- ▶ It also includes the theory of base change which is important in number theory.



- ▶ Via the stabilization of the trace formulas and the twisted trace formulas, Arthur proved the functoriality in the endoscopic and twisted endoscopic cases.

- ▶ Via the stabilization of the trace formulas and the twisted trace formulas, Arthur proved the functoriality in the endoscopic and twisted endoscopic cases.
- ▶ He obtained a classification of automorphic representations of classical groups in terms of cuspidal automorphic representations of  $GL_n$  instead of the hypothetical group  $L_F$ .

- ▶ Via the stabilization of the trace formulas and the twisted trace formulas, Arthur proved the functoriality in the endoscopic and twisted endoscopic cases.
- ▶ He obtained a classification of automorphic representations of classical groups in terms of cuspidal automorphic representations of  $GL_n$  instead of the hypothetical group  $L_F$ .
- ▶ He also proved the multiplicity formula in the global packet.

# Construction of Galois representations attached to a selfdual automorphic representation

- ▶ Endoscopy is also used in the construction of Galois representations attached to selfdual automorphic representations.

# Construction of Galois representations attached to a selfdual automorphic representation

- ▶ Endoscopy is also used in the construction of Galois representations attached to selfdual automorphic representations.
- ▶ A CM field  $F$  is a totally imaginary quadratic extension of a totally real number field  $F^+$ . The complex conjugation defines an involution  $c$  of  $F$ .

# Construction of Galois representations attached to a selfdual automorphic representation

- ▶ Endoscopy is also used in the construction of Galois representations attached to selfdual automorphic representations.
- ▶ A CM field  $F$  is a totally imaginary quadratic extension of a totally real number field  $F^+$ . The complex conjugation defines an involution  $c$  of  $F$ .
- ▶ Let  $\Pi = \otimes_v \Pi_v$  be an automorphic representation of  $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$  that is conjugate selfdual  $\Pi^\vee = \Pi \circ c$ .

# Construction of Galois representations attached to a selfdual automorphic representation

- ▶ Endoscopy is also used in the construction of Galois representations attached to selfdual automorphic representations.
- ▶ A CM field  $F$  is a totally imaginary quadratic extension of a totally real number field  $F^+$ . The complex conjugation defines an involution  $c$  of  $F$ .
- ▶ Let  $\Pi = \otimes_v \Pi_v$  be an automorphic representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$  that is conjugate selfdual  $\Pi^\vee = \Pi \circ c$ .
- ▶ the component at infinity has the same infinitesimal character as some algebraic representation satisfying some regularity condition.

# Construction of Galois representations attached to a selfdual automorphic representation

- ▶ There exists a continuous representations  $\sigma : \text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$  so that



# Construction of Galois representations attached to a selfdual automorphic representation

- ▶ There exists a continuous representations  $\sigma : \text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$  so that
- ▶ For every prime  $p$  of  $F$  not dividing  $\ell$ ,  $\sigma_v : \text{Gal}(\bar{F}_v/F_v) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$  correspond to  $\Pi_v$  by local Langlands correspondence established by Harris-Taylor and Henniart.

# Construction of Galois representations attached to a selfdual automorphic representation

- ▶ There exists a continuous representations  $\sigma : \text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$  so that
- ▶ For every prime  $p$  of  $F$  not dividing  $\ell$ ,  $\sigma_v : \text{Gal}(\bar{F}_v/F_v) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$  correspond to  $\Pi_v$  by local Langlands correspondence established by Harris-Taylor and Henniart.
- ▶ The construction is based on  $\ell$ -adic cohomology of Shimura varieties attached to unitary groups, following a general strategy proposed by Langlands and Kottwitz.

# Construction of Galois representations attached to a selfdual automorphic representation

- ▶ There exists a continuous representations  $\sigma : \text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$  so that
- ▶ For every prime  $p$  of  $F$  not dividing  $\ell$ ,  $\sigma_v : \text{Gal}(\bar{F}_v/F_v) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$  correspond to  $\Pi_v$  by local Langlands correspondence established by Harris-Taylor and Henniart.
- ▶ The construction is based on  $\ell$ -adic cohomology of Shimura varieties attached to unitary groups, following a general strategy proposed by Langlands and Kottwitz.
- ▶ Clozel, Harris, Taylor, Yoshida, Labesse, Morel, Shin.

# Arthur-Selberg trace formula

- ▶ The trace formula has the form

$$\sum_{\pi} \mathrm{tr}_{\pi}(f) + \cdots = \sum_{\gamma} O_{\gamma}(f) + \cdots$$

where

# Arthur-Selberg trace formula

- ▶ The trace formula has the form

$$\sum_{\pi} \mathrm{tr}_{\pi}(f) + \cdots = \sum_{\gamma} O_{\gamma}(f) + \cdots$$

where

- ▶  $\mathrm{tr}_{\pi}$  are the traces on discrete automorphic representations  $\pi$ .

# Arthur-Selberg trace formula

- ▶ The trace formula has the form

$$\sum_{\pi} \mathrm{tr}_{\pi}(f) + \cdots = \sum_{\gamma} O_{\gamma}(f) + \cdots$$

where

- ▶  $\mathrm{tr}_{\pi}$  are the traces on discrete automorphic representations  $\pi$ .
- ▶  $O_{\gamma}$  is the global orbital integral attached to elliptic conjugacy classes  $\gamma \in G(F)/\sim$ .

# Arthur-Selberg trace formula

- ▶ The trace formula has the form

$$\sum_{\pi} \mathrm{tr}_{\pi}(f) + \cdots = \sum_{\gamma} O_{\gamma}(f) + \cdots$$

where

- ▶  $\mathrm{tr}_{\pi}$  are the traces on discrete automorphic representations  $\pi$ .
- ▶  $O_{\gamma}$  is the global orbital integral attached to elliptic conjugacy classes  $\gamma \in G(F)/\sim$ .
- ▶ The hidden parts contain the contribution of the continuous of the spectrum as well as the contribution of hyperbolic conjugacy classes.

# Arthur-Selberg trace formula

- ▶ The trace formula has the form

$$\sum_{\pi} \mathrm{tr}_{\pi}(f) + \cdots = \sum_{\gamma} O_{\gamma}(f) + \cdots$$

where

- ▶  $\mathrm{tr}_{\pi}$  are the traces on discrete automorphic representations  $\pi$ .
- ▶  $O_{\gamma}$  is the global orbital integral attached to elliptic conjugacy classes  $\gamma \in G(F)/\sim$ .
- ▶ The hidden parts contain the contribution of the continuous of the spectrum as well as the contribution of hyperbolic conjugacy classes.
- ▶ The test function is of the form  $f = \bigotimes_{\nu} f_{\nu}$  where  $f_{\nu}$  are smooth functions with compact support on  $G(F_{\nu})$ . For almost all  $\nu$ ,  $f_{\nu}$  is the characteristic function of  $G(\mathcal{O}_{\nu})$ .



# Stable conjugacy classes

- ▶  $\gamma \in G(F)$  is strongly regular if its centralizer is a torus. Strongly regular elements  $\gamma, \gamma' \in G(F)$  are said to be stably conjugate if they are conjugate in  $G(\bar{F})$ .

# Stable conjugacy classes

- ▶  $\gamma \in G(F)$  is strongly regular if its centralizer is a torus. Strongly regular elements  $\gamma, \gamma' \in G(F)$  are said to be stably conjugate if they are conjugate in  $G(\bar{F})$ .
- ▶ Strongly regular elements  $\gamma, \gamma' \in G(F)$  are stably conjugate if and only if they have the same image in  $(T/W)(F)$ , where  $T$  is the maximal torus and  $W$  is the Weyl group.

# Stable conjugacy classes

- ▶  $\gamma \in G(F)$  is strongly regular if its centralizer is a torus. Strongly regular elements  $\gamma, \gamma' \in G(F)$  are said to be stably conjugate if they are conjugate in  $G(\bar{F})$ .
- ▶ Strongly regular elements  $\gamma, \gamma' \in G(F)$  are stably conjugate if and only if they have the same image in  $(T/W)(F)$ , where  $T$  is the maximal torus and  $W$  is the Weyl group.
- ▶ The conjugacy classes within the stable conjugacy class of  $\gamma$  are parametrized by a subset  $A_\gamma$  of  $H^1(F, I_\gamma)$ ,  $I_\gamma$  being the centralizer of  $\gamma$ .

# Stable conjugacy classes

- ▶  $\gamma \in G(F)$  is strongly regular if its centralizer is a torus. Strongly regular elements  $\gamma, \gamma' \in G(F)$  are said to be stably conjugate if they are conjugate in  $G(\bar{F})$ .
- ▶ Strongly regular elements  $\gamma, \gamma' \in G(F)$  are stably conjugate if and only if they have the same image in  $(T/W)(F)$ , where  $T$  is the maximal torus and  $W$  is the Weyl group.
- ▶ The conjugacy classes within the stable conjugacy class of  $\gamma$  are parametrized by a subset  $A_\gamma$  of  $H^1(F, I_\gamma)$ ,  $I_\gamma$  being the centralizer of  $\gamma$ .
- ▶ If  $F = F_v$  is a local nonarchimedean field,  $A_\gamma$  is a finite abelian group.

# Stable distribution

- ▶ The integral over a stable conjugacy class

$$SO_{\gamma_v} = \sum_{\gamma'_v \sim^{st} \gamma_v} O_{\gamma'_v}(f)$$

is called stable orbital integral.

# Stable distribution

- ▶ The integral over a stable conjugacy class

$$SO_{\gamma_v} = \sum_{\gamma'_v \sim^{st} \gamma_v} O_{\gamma'_v}(f)$$

is called stable orbital integral.

- ▶ The linear combinations

$$O_{\gamma_v}^{\kappa} = \sum_{\gamma'_v \sim^{st} \gamma_v} \langle \kappa, \text{cl}(\gamma'_v) \rangle O_{\gamma'_v}(f)$$

attached to characters  $\kappa : A_{\gamma} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$  are called  $\kappa$ -orbital integrals.

# Stable distribution

- ▶ The integral over a stable conjugacy class

$$SO_{\gamma_v} = \sum_{\gamma'_v \sim^{st} \gamma_v} O_{\gamma'_v}(f)$$

is called stable orbital integral.

- ▶ The linear combinations

$$O_{\gamma_v}^{\kappa} = \sum_{\gamma'_v \sim^{st} \gamma_v} \langle \kappa, \text{cl}(\gamma'_v) \rangle O_{\gamma'_v}(f)$$

attached to characters  $\kappa : A_{\gamma} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$  are called  $\kappa$ -orbital integrals.

- ▶ Stable distribution is a weak limit of finite combinations of stable orbital integrals.

# Local versus global stable conjugacy classes

- ▶ The trace formula is not a stable distribution because of the local versus global obstruction.



# Local versus global stable conjugacy classes

- ▶ The trace formula is not a stable distribution because of the local versus global obstruction.
- ▶ Let  $\gamma \in G(F)$  be a strongly regular element. For each place  $v$ , let  $\gamma'_v \in G(F_v)$  be stably conjugate to  $\gamma$ . There might not be  $\gamma' \in G(F)$  such that  $\gamma'$  is conjugate to  $\gamma'_v \in G(F_v)$ .

# Stabilization of the trace formula

- ▶ The trace formula can be expressed as a sum of a stable term, made of stable orbital integrals and "error" terms made of  $\kappa$ -orbital integrals.

# Stabilization of the trace formula

- ▶ The trace formula can be expressed as a sum of a stable term, made of stable orbital integrals and "error" terms made of  $\kappa$ -orbital integrals.
- ▶ The main purpose of the stabilization is to compare the above errors terms with the stable term in the trace formula of its endoscopic groups.

# Stabilization of the trace formula

- ▶ The trace formula can be expressed as a sum of a stable term, made of stable orbital integrals and "error" terms made of  $\kappa$ -orbital integrals.
- ▶ The main purpose of the stabilization is to compare the above errors terms with the stable term in the trace formula of its endoscopic groups.
- ▶ The problem can be reduced to a comparison between  $\kappa$ -orbital integrals on  $G$  with stable orbital integrals on endoscopic groups  $H$ .

# Transfer of conjugacy classes

- ▶ By using Tate-Nakayama duality in Galois cohomology,  $\kappa$  corresponds to a semisimple conjugacy class of  $\hat{G}$ .

# Transfer of conjugacy classes

- ▶ By using Tate-Nakayama duality in Galois cohomology,  $\kappa$  corresponds to a semisimple conjugacy class of  $\hat{G}$ .
- ▶ The connected centralizer  $\hat{H} = \hat{G}_{\kappa}^0$  is dual to the relevant endoscopic group.

# Transfer of conjugacy classes

- ▶ By using Tate-Nakayama duality in Galois cohomology,  $\kappa$  corresponds to a semisimple conjugacy class of  $\hat{G}$ .
- ▶ The connected centralizer  $\hat{H} = \hat{G}_{\kappa}^0$  is dual to the relevant endoscopic group.
- ▶ Though in general  $H$  is not a subgroup of  $G$ , there is a canonical way of transferring stable conjugacy classes of  $H$  on stable conjugacy classes of  $G$

$$\gamma_H \mapsto \gamma.$$

# Transfer of conjugacy classes

- ▶ By using Tate-Nakayama duality in Galois cohomology,  $\kappa$  corresponds to a semisimple conjugacy class of  $\hat{G}$ .
- ▶ The connected centralizer  $\hat{H} = \hat{G}_{\kappa}^0$  is dual to the relevant endoscopic group.
- ▶ Though in general  $H$  is not a subgroup of  $G$ , there is a canonical way of transferring stable conjugacy classes of  $H$  on stable conjugacy classes of  $G$

$$\gamma_H \mapsto \gamma.$$

- ▶ The reason is that  $G$  and  $H$  share a maximal torus and there is an inclusion of their Weyl groups  $W_H \subset W$ .



# Transfer conjecture and the fundamental lemma

- ▶ *Transfer conjecture* : For every smooth function  $f$  with compact support on  $G(F_v)$ , there exists a smooth function  $f^H$  with compact support on  $H(F_v)$  such that

$$\Delta(\gamma_H, \gamma) O_\gamma^\kappa(f) = SO_{\gamma_H}(f^H)$$

where  $\Delta(\gamma_H, \gamma)$  is the Langlands-Shelstad transfer factor, independent of  $f$ .

# Transfer conjecture and the fundamental lemma

- ▶ *Transfer conjecture* : For every smooth function  $f$  with compact support on  $G(F_v)$ , there exists a smooth function  $f^H$  with compact support on  $H(F_v)$  such that

$$\Delta(\gamma_H, \gamma) O_{\gamma}^{\kappa}(f) = SO_{\gamma_H}(f^H)$$

where  $\Delta(\gamma_H, \gamma)$  is the Langlands-Shelstad transfer factor, independent of  $f$ .

- ▶ *The fundamental lemma* : If both  $G$  and  $H$  are unramified at  $v$ , the above identity holds for  $f = 1_{G(\mathcal{O}_v)}$  and  $f^H = 1_{H(\mathcal{O}_v)}$ .

# The long march

- ▶ Shelstad proved the transfer in the case of real groups and gave the first solid evidence for the conjectures.

# The long march

- ▶ Shelstad proved the transfer in the case of real groups and gave the first solid evidence for the conjectures.
- ▶ Labesse and Langlands solved the case of  $SL_2$ , Rogawski the case of  $U(3)$ , Kottwitz the case of  $SL_3$  before Kazhdan and Waldspurger solved the case of  $SL_n$ . The case of  $Sp(4)$  was obtained by Hales, Schneider and Weissauer.

# The long march

- ▶ Shelstad proved the transfer in the case of real groups and gave the first solid evidence for the conjectures.
- ▶ Labesse and Langlands solved the case of  $SL_2$ , Rogawski the case of  $U(3)$ , Kottwitz the case of  $SL_3$  before Kazhdan and Waldspurger solved the case of  $SL_n$ . The case of  $Sp(4)$  was obtained by Hales, Schneider and Weissauer.
- ▶ Waldspurger proved that the transfer conjecture follows from the fundamental lemma.

- ▶ Shelstad proved the transfer in the case of real groups and gave the first solid evidence for the conjectures.
- ▶ Labesse and Langlands solved the case of  $SL_2$ , Rogawski the case of  $U(3)$ , Kottwitz the case of  $SL_3$  before Kazhdan and Waldspurger solved the case of  $SL_n$ . The case of  $Sp(4)$  was obtained by Hales, Schneider and Weissauer.
- ▶ Waldspurger proved that the transfer conjecture follows from the fundamental lemma.
- ▶ Waldspurger, Cluckers-Hales-Loeser proved by different methods that the  $p$ -adic case of the fundamental lemma is equivalent to the case of formal series case, more accessible to the geometric methods.

- ▶ Kazhdan and Lusztig introduced the affine Springer fiber that is the geometric incarnation of the local orbital integrals.

- ▶ Kazhdan and Lusztig introduced the affine Springer fiber that is the geometric incarnation of the local orbital integrals.
- ▶ Goresky, Kottwitz and MacPherson formulated the geometric fundamental lemma, and introduced the method of equivariant cohomology.



- ▶ Kazhdan and Lusztig introduced the affine Springer fiber that is the geometric incarnation of the local orbital integrals.
- ▶ Goresky, Kottwitz and MacPherson formulated the geometric fundamental lemma, and introduced the method of equivariant cohomology.
- ▶ A connection of the trace formula for Lie algebra with the Hitchin fibration was discovered (NBC).

- ▶ Kazhdan and Lusztig introduced the affine Springer fiber that is the geometric incarnation of the local orbital integrals.
- ▶ Goresky, Kottwitz and MacPherson formulated the geometric fundamental lemma, and introduced the method of equivariant cohomology.
- ▶ A connection of the trace formula for Lie algebra with the Hitchin fibration was discovered (NBC).
- ▶ Laumon and NBC proved the fundamental lemma for unitary groups.

# Geometric method

- ▶ Kazhdan and Lusztig introduced the affine Springer fiber that is the geometric incarnation of the local orbital integrals.
- ▶ Goresky, Kottwitz and MacPherson formulated the geometric fundamental lemma, and introduced the method of equivariant cohomology.
- ▶ A connection of the trace formula for Lie algebra with the Hitchin fibration was discovered (NBC).
- ▶ Laumon and NBC proved the fundamental lemma for unitary groups.
- ▶ The fundamental lemma is proved in general (NBC).

- ▶ Kazhdan and Lusztig introduced the affine Springer fiber that is the geometric incarnation of the local orbital integrals.
- ▶ Goresky, Kottwitz and MacPherson formulated the geometric fundamental lemma, and introduced the method of equivariant cohomology.
- ▶ A connection of the trace formula for Lie algebra with the Hitchin fibration was discovered (NBC).
- ▶ Laumon and NBC proved the fundamental lemma for unitary groups.
- ▶ The fundamental lemma is proved in general (NBC).
- ▶ Laumon and Chaudouard proved the weighted fundamental lemma.

- ▶ The moduli space  $\text{Bun}_G$  of (stable) principal  $G$ -bundles over a smooth projective curve  $X$  of genus  $\geq 2$ .

- ▶ The moduli space  $\mathrm{Bun}_G$  of (stable) principal  $G$ -bundles over a smooth projective curve  $X$  of genus  $\geq 2$ .
- ▶ The cotangent bundle  $\mathcal{M} = T^*\mathrm{Bun}_G$  together with its symplectic structure, is an algebraic completely integrable system.

- ▶ The moduli space  $\text{Bun}_G$  of (stable) principal  $G$ -bundles over a smooth projective curve  $X$  of genus  $\geq 2$ .
- ▶ The cotangent bundle  $\mathcal{M} = T^*\text{Bun}_G$  together with its symplectic structure, is an algebraic completely integrable system.
- ▶  $f : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\dim \mathcal{M} = 2 \dim \mathcal{A}$ .

- ▶ The moduli space  $\text{Bun}_G$  of (stable) principal  $G$ -bundles over a smooth projective curve  $X$  of genus  $\geq 2$ .
- ▶ The cotangent bundle  $\mathcal{M} = T^*\text{Bun}_G$  together with its symplectic structure, is an algebraic completely integrable system.
- ▶  $f : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\dim \mathcal{M} = 2 \dim \mathcal{A}$ .
- ▶ The generic fiber of  $f$  is an abelian variety whose tangent space is isotropic.



- ▶ The moduli space  $\text{Bun}_G$  of (stable) principal  $G$ -bundles over a smooth projective curve  $X$  of genus  $\geq 2$ .
- ▶ The cotangent bundle  $\mathcal{M} = T^*\text{Bun}_G$  together with its symplectic structure, is an algebraic completely integrable system.
- ▶  $f : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\dim \mathcal{M} = 2 \dim \mathcal{A}$ .
- ▶ The generic fiber of  $f$  is an abelian variety whose tangent space is isotropic.
- ▶ The Hamiltonian flow acts as vector fields on the fibers of  $f$ .

- ▶ The number of points on  $\mathcal{M}$  with coefficients in a finite field has the form of the trace formula for Lie algebra.

- ▶ The number of points on  $\mathcal{M}$  with coefficients in a finite field has the form of the trace formula for Lie algebra.
- ▶ The number of points on fibers of  $f$  can be expressed in terms of orbital integrals.

- ▶ The number of points on  $\mathcal{M}$  with coefficients in a finite field has the form of the trace formula for Lie algebra.
- ▶ The number of points on fibers of  $f$  can be expressed in terms of orbital integrals.
- ▶ Hamiltonian action can be integrated into an action of a group scheme.

- ▶ The number of points on  $\mathcal{M}$  with coefficients in a finite field has the form of the trace formula for Lie algebra.
- ▶ The number of points on fibers of  $f$  can be expressed in terms of orbital integrals.
- ▶ Hamiltonian action can be integrated into an action of a group scheme.
- ▶ The endoscopy theory can be entirely translated to properties of the action of this group scheme.

# Main geometric result

- ▶ The direct image  $Rf_*\mathbb{Q}_\ell$  is a pure complex according to Deligne's purity theorem.

# Main geometric result

- ▶ The direct image  $Rf_*\mathbb{Q}_\ell$  is a pure complex according to Deligne's purity theorem.
- ▶ It is geometrically semisimple.

# Main geometric result

- ▶ The direct image  $Rf_*\mathbb{Q}_\ell$  is a pure complex according to Deligne's purity theorem.
- ▶ It is geometrically semisimple.
- ▶ Our main geometric result is a description of the support of simple perverse sheaves that occur as direct factors of  $Rf_*\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ , following the theory of perverse sheaves invented by Goresky and MacPherson.



# Main geometric result

- ▶ The direct image  $Rf_*\mathbb{Q}_\ell$  is a pure complex according to Deligne's purity theorem.
- ▶ It is geometrically semisimple.
- ▶ Our main geometric result is a description of the support of simple perverse sheaves that occur as direct factors of  $Rf_*\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ , following the theory of perverse sheaves invented by Goresky and MacPherson.
- ▶ The fundamental lemma follows.