GEOMETRIC GAUGE FIELD THEORY

BADIS LABBEDI

Abstract. Gauge theories can be described in two complementary languages: the physicist's formulation in terms of gauge potentials, field strengths, and local symmetries, and the geometer's formulation using principal bundles, connections, and curvature. In this paper we develop the basic structures on both sides and makes the correspondence between them explicit. We begin with a concise review of field theory and gauge symmetry, then introduce the minimal geometric machinery of Lie groups, fiber bundles, and characteristic classes. The central outcome is a dictionary identifying standard objects of gauge theory with their geometric counterparts, illustrating how even elementary bundle theory has great physical impact. The presentation is aimed at mathematically inclined physicists and physically inclined mathematicians, with emphasis on clarity and geometric intuition rather than full formal rigor.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
2. Field Theory Essentials 2
2.1. Classical Field Theory 3
2.2. Free Field Theories: Scalar, Vector, Spinor 4
2.3. Abelian & Non-Abelian Gauge Theories 5
3. Lie Groups and Lie Algebras 7
3.1. Lie Groups 7
3.2. Lie Algebras 8
3.3. Exponential Map and Generators 10
3.4. Representations of Lie Groups 11
3.5. Actions of Lie groups on manifolds 12
4. Differential Topology & Geometry 13
4.1. (Co)Tangent Bundles 13
4.2. Principal Fibre Bundles 15
4.3. Elements of Riemannian Geometry 17
4.4. Differential Forms and De Rham Cohomology Group 18
4.5. Characteristic Classes 20
5. Gauge Field Theory 21
5.1. U(1) Gauge Theory 21
5.2. Yang–Mills Theory 23
5.3. Instantons 25
5.4. Dirac Monopoles 25
5.5. Dictionary of Equivalences 26
Acknowledgements 27
References 27

1. Introduction

Quantum Field Theory (QFT) is our most powerful framework for describing fundamental interactions. Gauge theories, a class of QFTs, sit at the intersection of physics and geometry. Physicists describe them in terms of fields A_μ, field strengths $F_{\mu\nu}$, and local gauge transformations. Mathematicians describe essentially the same structure using principal bundles, connections, and curvature. The central purpose of this paper is to make this correspondence explicit.

At a local level, the electromagnetic potential A_μ is a connection one-form; the Yang–Mills field strength $F_{\mu\nu}$ is the curvature of that connection; a change of gauge is a change of local trivialization; and global topological charges arise from characteristic classes of the underlying principal bundle. Section 5 collects these relationships into a "dictionary" translating between physical and geometric language. One of our main goals is to prepare the reader for that dictionary and to show how it emerges naturally from the structures developed in the preceding sections.

Because different communities approach gauge theory from different directions, the exposition is intentionally two-track: we first review the physics of gauge symmetry, then develop the necessary machinery of Lie groups, fiber bundles, connections, curvature, and characteristic classes. The interaction between these two viewpoints culminates in the geometric formulation of gauge field theory.

We assume the reader is familiar with classical and quantum mechanics, special relativity, and the basics of field theory. Nonetheless, Section 2 offers a compact review of essentials such as Noether's theorem and gauge invariance.

While the mathematical concepts discussed are rather classical and approached in a less rigorous way, they are becoming increasingly relevant in modern QFT research. Recent developments in generalized global symmetries, higher-form gauge fields, and topological quantum field theories often depend on structures we study here. In many settings, the distinction between physically inequivalent configurations is not the local dynamics, but the topology of the underlying bundle or the characteristic class it carries.

The structure of the paper is:

- **Section 2:** A review of field theory essentials, including Noether's theorem and the gauge principle, followed by an introduction to abelian and non-abelian gauge theories.
- **Section :** A review of Lie groups, Lie algebras, representations, and actions on manifolds.
- **Section 4:** An introduction to the geometry and topology of bundles, differential forms, connections, curvature, and characteristic classes.
- **Section 5:** A geometric reformulation of gauge field theory including electromagnetism, Yang–Mills theory, monopoles, and instantons.

2. Field Theory Essentials

It is impossible to do justice to the full scope of QFT in a few pages. Nonetheless, our aim in this section is not to provide a complete or rigorous treatment, but rather to outline a few essential structures and principles that will serve as a foundation for the geometric reformulation developed in later sections. A thorough treatment of field theory is given in [1, 5, 6]

2.1. **Classical Field Theory.**

Definition 2.1. The Action S is the time integral of the Lagrangian L which itself is the spatial integral of the Lagrangian Density $\mathcal{L}$

$$S = \int L dt = \int \mathcal{L}(\phi, \partial_\mu \phi) d^4 x \tag{2.2}$$

Note that since our main interest is field theoretic we will only use $\mathcal{L}$ and refer to it as the Lagrangian from now on.

Theorem 2.3. *The Principle of least action $\delta S = 0$ yields the Euler-Lagrange equations for the motion of a field.*

$$\partial_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \phi)} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} = 0 \tag{2.4}$$

Of course if our Lagrangian is a function of multiple fields ϕ_i for $i = 1, ..., n$ then we have n E-L equations, one for the motion of each field.

Lagrangian field theory is perfectly suited for the study of relativistic fields since we have explicit Lorentz invariance of the expressions. We can also introduce a Hamiltonian formulation of field theory as it is closest to our formulation of Quantum Mechanics.
In Classical Mechanics of discrete degrees of freedom q_i, for each variable q we define the conjugate momentum as $p = \partial L / \partial \dot{q}$. The Hamiltonian is $H = \sum p\dot{q} - L$.

Definition 2.5. The momentum density conjugate to $\phi(\mathbf{x})$ is

$$\pi(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\phi}(\mathbf{x})}$$

and the Hamiltonian is

$$H = \int d^3 x \Big[\pi(\mathbf{x}) \dot{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathcal{L} \Big] = \int d^3 x \mathcal{H} \tag{2.6}$$

2.1.1. *Noether's Theorem & Symmetries.* Noether's theorem is one of the most important in mathematical physics. It concerns the symmetries and conservation laws both in field theory and systems with finite degrees of freedom.
We begin with a definition of symmetry then state the theorem without a proof as it can be found in various QFT literature.

Definition 2.7. A symmetry is an infinitesimal continuous transformation of the field ϕ

$$\phi(x) \to \phi' = \phi(x) + \alpha \Delta \phi(x)$$

that leaves the equations of motion invariant i.e. S is invariant under $\phi \to \phi'$.

However, we can allow S to change by a surface term since that would not affect our derivation of the e.o.m.

Theorem 2.8 (Noether). *if under $\phi \to \phi'$ the Lagrangian is invariant up to a divergence term*

$$\mathcal{L}(x) \to \mathcal{L}(x) + \alpha \partial_\mu \mathcal{J}^\mu(x) \tag{2.9}$$

for some $\mathcal{J}^\mu$ then for

$$j^\mu(x) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \phi)} \Delta\phi - \mathcal{J}^\mu$$

$\partial_\mu j^\mu = 0$ and the charge

$$Q \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} j^0 d^3x$$

is constant in time.

We can also apply Noether's theorem to infinitesimal spacetime transformations, which we can describe as transformations in the field

$$\phi(x) \to \phi(x+\varepsilon) = \phi(x) + \varepsilon^\mu \partial_\mu \phi(x)$$

The Lagrangian then transforms as

$$\mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L} + \varepsilon^\mu \partial_\mu \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L} + \varepsilon^\nu \partial_\mu (\delta^\mu_\nu \mathcal{L})$$

By identification with (2.9), we have a non-zero $\mathcal{J}^\mu$. Now one can apply Noether's theorem to obtain the the stress-energy tensor.

Definition 2.10. The stress-energy tensor of the field ϕ is four separately conserved currents.

$$T^\mu_\nu \equiv \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \phi)} \partial_\nu \phi - \mathcal{L} \delta^\mu_\nu \tag{2.11}$$

Notice that the conserved charge associated with the time translations i.e. $\mu = \nu = 0$ is the Hamiltonian $H = \int T^{00} d^3x = \int \mathcal{H} d^3x$.

2.2. **Free Field Theories: Scalar, Vector, Spinor.** Before introducing gauge symmetry, we, more briefly than we should, review the free field theories for matter and gauge fields. These fall into three classes depending on how the fields transform under spacetime symmetries: scalar, vector, and spinor fields. Understanding their free dynamics lays the groundwork for incorporating interactions via covariant derivatives and connections.

2.2.1. *Scalar Fields.* A scalar field $\phi : \mathbb{R}^{1,3} \to \mathbb{R}$ (or $\mathbb{C}$) transforms trivially under Lorentz transformations. Its dynamics are governed by the Klein–Gordon equation:

$$(\Box + m^2)\phi = 0, \qquad \Box := \partial^\mu \partial_\mu,$$

derived from the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{KG}} = \frac{1}{2}\partial^\mu \phi \, \partial_\mu \phi - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2 \quad \text{(real)}, \qquad \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{KG}} = \partial^\mu \bar{\phi} \, \partial_\mu \phi - m^2 \bar{\phi}\phi \quad \text{(complex)}.$$

2.2.2. *Vector Fields.* A vector field $A^\mu : \mathbb{R}^{1,3} \to \mathbb{R}^4$ transforms in the vector representation of the Lorentz group. The most important example is the electromagnetic potential. Its dynamics are governed by the Maxwell Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{EM}} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}, \quad \text{with} \quad F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu.$$

The field strength $F_{\mu\nu}$ is a rank-2 antisymmetric tensor (a differential 2-form), and the equations of motion are the source-free Maxwell equations:

$$\partial^\mu F_{\mu\nu} = 0, \qquad \partial_{[\alpha} F_{\beta\gamma]} = 0.$$

2.2.3. *Spinor Fields.* Spinor fields transform under the spinor (double cover) representation of the Lorentz group, not as vectors. A Dirac spinor $\psi : \mathbb{R}^{1,3} \to \mathbb{C}^4$ satisfies the Dirac equation:

$$(i\partial\!\!\!/ - m)\psi = 0, \qquad \partial\!\!\!/ := \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu,$$

with Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Dirac}} = \bar{\psi}(i\partial\!\!\!/ - m)\psi, \qquad \bar{\psi} := \psi^\dagger \gamma^0.$$

Here, the gamma matrices γ^μ satisfy the Clifford algebra:

$$\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2\eta^{\mu\nu}.$$

Remark 2.12. These three free field theories correspond to matter and gauge fields in modern quantum field theory. Scalars and spinors are used to model physical particles such as pions or electrons, while vector fields like A_μ serve as mediators of interactions. In the presence of gauge symmetry, partial derivatives are replaced by covariant derivatives involving a connection—this geometric mechanism will be developed in later sections.

2.3. **Abelian & Non-Abelian Gauge Theories.** The gauge principle is one of the most important in our formulation of physical theories. Its essence is that physics should be independent of the way we elect to describe it. As motivation, and since the following two sections are a deep dive into the mathematics needed to understand gauge theories rather than physics, we elect to start with a peek at classical aspects of gauge theories to give sense to the idea of gauge invariance.

2.3.1. *Abelian Gauge Theory.* A gauge theory is abelian if its symmetry is governed by an abelian group: both Dirac theory describing charged fermions and Maxwell theory of electromagnetism are abelian given that their symmetry structure is covered by the $U(1)$ abelian group. We'll see more about the group structure later but for now we can get a physical understanding of this symmetry structure.
Maxwell's equations for electromagnetism are, in terms of the magnetic and electric fields $\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}$, expressed as:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{0} \tag{2.13}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} + \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{0} \tag{2.14}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \rho \tag{2.15}$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial \mathbf{t}} = \mathbf{J} \tag{2.16}$$

The electric and magnetic fields are expressed in terms of a vector (four) potential $A_\mu = (\phi, \mathbf{A})$ where

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A} \qquad \mathbf{E} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial \mathbf{t}} - \nabla\phi \tag{2.17}$$

One can easily verify that these Maxwell's equations are invariant under the gauge transformation

$$A_\mu \to A_\mu + \partial_\mu \chi \tag{2.18}$$

for a scalar χ.
This invariance is more manifest in the EM field tensor formulation of electromagnetism where

$$F_{\mu\nu} \equiv \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -E_x & -E_y & -E_z \\ E_x & 0 & B_z & -B_y \\ E_y & -B_z & 0 & B_x \\ E_z & B_y & -B_x & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.19}$$

is invariant under the gauge transformation (2.18). Through this formulation, the Lagrangian for electromagnetic theory is

$$\mathcal{L}_{EM} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + A_\mu j^\mu \tag{2.20}$$

for $j^\mu = (\rho, \mathbf{j})$ The equations of motion, (2.12-2.15) are expressed in this language by

$$\partial_\alpha F_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\mu F_{\nu\alpha} + \partial_\nu F_{\alpha\mu} = 0 \tag{2.21}$$

$$\partial_\nu F^{\mu\nu} = j^\mu \tag{2.22}$$

We move now to the Dirac theory describing charged fermionic particles. The free Dirac Lagrangian for a field ψ with electric charge e is

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \bar{\psi}(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu + m)\psi \tag{2.23}$$

It is invariant under the gauge transformation:

$$\psi \to e^{-ie\alpha}\psi \qquad \bar{\psi} \to \bar{\psi} e^{ie\alpha} \tag{2.24}$$

for constant $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. We can elevate this symmetry to invariance under local gauge transformation for a non-constant α

$$\psi \to \psi' = e^{-ie\alpha(x)}\psi \qquad \bar{\psi} \to \bar{\psi}' = \bar{\psi} e^{ie\alpha(x)} \tag{2.25}$$

In this case, the Dirac Lagrangian varies as

$$\bar{\psi}(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu + m)\psi \to \bar{\psi}(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu + e\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \alpha + m)\psi \tag{2.26}$$

Note that the extra term $e\partial_\mu \alpha$ looks like the gauge transformation of the four potential discussed in Maxwell theory. Hence, we can couple the gauge field A_μ to ψ to obtain a Lagrangian that has a local gauge symmetry.

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \bar{\psi}(i\gamma^\mu(\partial_\mu + ieA_\mu) + m)\psi \tag{2.27}$$

which is invariant under the combined gauge transformation (2.18 and 2.24) for $\chi \equiv \alpha$.
This $e\partial_\mu \alpha$ term in the Lagrangian is the covariant derivative defined as

$$\nabla_\mu = \partial_\mu + ieA_\mu \qquad \nabla'_\mu = \partial_\mu + ieA'_\mu \tag{2.28}$$

such that $\nabla'_\mu \psi' = e^{-ie\alpha(x)} \nabla_\mu \psi$.
Given all the above, we obtain a total Quantum Electrodynamics (QED) Lagrangian that gathers Maxwell and Dirac theory as

$$\mathcal{L}_{QED} = \frac{1}{4} F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} + \bar{\psi}(i\gamma^\mu \nabla_\mu + m)\psi \tag{2.29}$$

which is invariant under:

(1) Global $U(1)$ transformations: described by (2.24)
(2) Local $U(1)$ transformations: described by (2.25) and (2.18)

And given that $U(1)$ is an abelian group, then Quantum Electrodynamics is an Abelian Gauge theory.

2.3.2. *Non-Abelian Gauge Theory.* In the fifties of the 20th century, C.N. Yang and Robert Mills introduced a new class of gauge transformations: non-Abelian ones. While we have not yet discussed Lie groups in detail, we require some suspended belief for now, though the reader is encouraged to look at relevant information in Section 3 then coming back here.

Let G be a compact semi-simple Lie group such as $SO(n)$ or $SU(n)$. It has anti-hermitian generators that satisfy the commutation relation $[T_\alpha, T_\beta] = f^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} T_\gamma$, where $f^\gamma_{\alpha\beta}$ are called structure constants of G. As we will discuss in 3.1, an element U in G that is near the unit element can be expressed as $U = \exp(-\theta^\mu T_\mu)$.
Suppose a Dirac field ψ transforms under $U \in G$ as:

$$\psi \to U\psi \qquad \bar{\psi} \to \bar{\psi} U^\dagger \tag{2.30}$$

and consider the following Lagrangians a function of the Yang-Mills gauge field $\mathcal{A}_\mu$:

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}\left[i\gamma^\mu(\partial_\mu + g\mathcal{A}_\mu) + m\right]\psi \tag{2.31}$$

Since the Yang-Mills gauge field takes its values in the Lie algebra of G, it can be expanded in terms of T_μ as $\mathcal{A}_\mu = A^\alpha_\mu T_\alpha$.
The essential difference from the abelian case lies in the non-commutativity of the Lie algebra generators. This introduces new terms in the field strength tensor, leading to interactions among the gauge bosons themselves. Such self-coupling is absent in QED and marks the core novelty of non-Abelian gauge theories. These interactions give rise to the rich dynamics of Yang–Mills theory.

3. Lie Groups and Lie Algebras

Lie groups encode continuous symmetries; their infinitesimal data form Lie algebras, which organize gauge fields and matter. Here we keep only the essentials. For fuller treatments, see [1, 4, 7, 8].

3.1. Lie Groups.

Definition 3.1. A Lie group G is a differentiable manifold which is equipped with a group structure such that the maps

(1) $G \times G \to G, \quad (g_1, g_2) \mapsto g_1 g_2$
(2) $G \to G, \quad g \mapsto g^{-1}$

are smooth. For a physicist, a Lie group is defined as a continuous group with $N \in \mathbb{N}$ real parameters $\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_N)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$, whose elements (in the representation as linear operators) can be written as

$$\hat{U}(\vec{\alpha}) = \exp\left(-\frac{i}{\hbar}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\alpha_j \hat{X}_j\right) \tag{3.2}$$

where the origin in parameter space is mapped onto the identity element: $\hat{U}(\vec{0}) = \mathbb{I}$.

In physics, the Lie groups of main interest are matrix groups which are subgroups of general linear groups $GL(n,\mathbb{R})$ or $GL(n,\mathbb{C})$, where the product and inverse operations are just the matrix product and matrix inverse respectively. Typical real and complex subgroups are:

(1) the orthogonal group $O(n) = \{M \in GL(n,\mathbb{R}) | MM^t = M^t M = I_n\}$
(2) the special linear group $SL(n,\mathbb{R}) = \{M \in GL(n,\mathbb{R}) | \det M = 1\}$
(3) the special orthogonal group $SO(n) = O(n) \cap SL(n,\mathbb{R})$

(1) the orthogonal group $U(n) = \{M \in GL(n,\mathbb{C}) | MM^\dagger = M^\dagger M = 1\}$
(2) the special linear group $SL(n,\mathbb{C}) = \{M \in GL(n,\mathbb{C}) | \det M = 1\}$
(3) the special orthogonal group $SU(n) = U(n) \cap SL(n,\mathbb{C})$

Example 3.3. The Lie group $U(1)$ consists of complex numbers of unit modulus:

$$U(1) = \{e^{i\theta} | \theta \in \mathbb{R}\} \tag{3.4}$$

with group operation given by complex multiplication. It's a one dimensional compact Lie group diffeomorphic to S^1. $U(1)$ symmetry underlies electromagnetism and Quantum Electrodynamics (QED): local $U(1)$ gauge invariance leads to conservation of electric charge.

The matrix subgroups we mentioned are all subgroups of a bigger GL Lie group. We use the following theorem to guarantee that each one of them is a Lie group by itself.

Theorem 3.5. *Every closed subgroup G' of a Lie group G is a Lie subgroup.*

3.2. **Lie Algebras.**

Definition 3.6. The left-translation $L_a : G \to G$ of $g \in G$ by $a \in G$ is defined by

$$L_a g = ag. \tag{3.7}$$

The right-translation is defined analogously.

By definition, L_a is a diffeomorphism $G \to G$, hence it induces a pushforward map $L_{a*} : T_g G \to T_{ag} G$, and similarly for right-translation.
We now define a special class of vector fields invariant under the group action.

Definition 3.8. X is a left-invariant vector field if $L_{a*} X|_g = X|_{ag}$.

Proposition 3.9. *A vector $V \in T_e G$ defines a unique left-invariant vector field X_V on G. Conversely, a left-invariant vector field X defines a unique vector $V = X|_e \in T_e G$. We denote the set of left-invariant vector fields on G by $\mathfrak{g}$.*

We recall the Lie bracket of vector fields. For $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and vector fields X, Y on M,

Definition 3.10. The Lie bracket $[X, Y]$ is

$$[X, Y]f = X[Y[f]] - Y[X[f]]\,. \tag{3.11}$$

In local coordinates x^μ, if $X = X^\mu \partial_\mu$ and $Y = Y^\mu \partial_\mu$, then

$$[X, Y] = \left(X^\mu \partial_\mu Y^\nu - Y^\mu \partial_\mu X^\nu\right) \partial_\nu\,. \tag{3.12}$$

The Lie bracket is bilinear, skew-symmetric, and satisfies the Jacobi identity $[[X, Y], Z] + [[Y, Z], X] + [[Z, X], Y] = 0$. For geometric intuition, it measures the non-commutativity of flows.

We return to our main object.

Definition 3.13. The set of left-invariant vector fields $\mathfrak{g}$ with the Lie bracket $[\,\cdot, \cdot\,] : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ is called the Lie algebra of the Lie group G. We simply denote it by $\mathfrak{g}$.

We look at a couple of examples before moving to representations and actions.

Example 3.14. Any vector space V is a Lie algebra with the trivial Lie bracket

$$[v, w] = 0 \qquad (v, w \in V). \tag{3.15}$$

Example 3.16. $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ is a Lie algebra deeply rooted in quantum mechanics (QM). It describes the algebra of (spin and orbital) angular momentum operators. The space is

$$\mathfrak{su}(2) = \{X \in M_2(\mathbb{C}) \mid X^\dagger = -X,\ \mathrm{Tr}(X) = 0\}. \tag{3.17}$$

Its generators are J_x, J_y, J_z and its commutation relation is

$$[J_i, J_j] = i\hbar\epsilon_{ijk} J_k\,, \tag{3.18}$$

for ϵ_{ijk} the Levi-Civita tensor.

While we leave the proof of the commutation relation, we prove that $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ as defined in 3.16 is the Lie algebra of $SU(2)$.

Proof. $SU(2) = \{U \in M_2(\mathbb{C}) \mid U^\dagger U = I,\ \det U = 1\}$. Let $\gamma(t) \subset SU(2)$ with $\gamma(0) = I$ and set

$$X := \frac{d}{dt}\gamma(t)\bigg|_{t=0} \in T_I SU(2) = \mathfrak{su}(2).$$

Differentiating $\gamma(t)^\dagger\gamma(t) = I$ at $t = 0$ gives $X^\dagger + X = 0$, hence $X^\dagger = -X$. Differentiating $\det\gamma(t) = 1$ and using Jacobi's formula yields $\mathrm{Tr}(X) = 0$. Therefore

$$\mathfrak{su}(2) = \{X \in M_2(\mathbb{C}) \mid X^\dagger = -X,\ \mathrm{Tr}(X) = 0\}.$$

$\square$

Given the importance of $SO(n)$ groups in physics, we also record their Lie algebras (without proof).

Definition 3.19. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ is the space of $n \times n$ real, skew-symmetric matrices:

$$\mathfrak{so}(n) = \{X \in M_n(\mathbb{R}) \mid X^T = -X\}.$$

This algebra corresponds to the infinitesimal generators of the orthogonal group $SO(n)$, which preserve the Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Its dimension is $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$, and the Lie bracket is the matrix commutator $[X, Y] = XY - YX$.

Physically, $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ generates the familiar rotation group in three-dimensional space, and its commutation relations match those of angular momentum operators:

$$[J_i, J_j] = i\epsilon_{ijk} J_k \,.$$

3.3. **Exponential Map and Generators.** In most field theories, basic symmetries are represented by Lie groups (global or local), acting on fields or states via transformations generated infinitesimally by elements of the associated Lie algebra. The **exponential map** reconstructs finite transformations from these generators.

Definition 3.20. Let G be a Lie group and $\mathfrak{g} = T_eG$ its Lie algebra. The **exponential map** is a smooth map

$$\exp : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow G$$

which takes $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ to the group element $\exp(X)$ on the one-parameter subgroup generated by X: if $\gamma(t)$ is the integral curve with $\gamma(0) = e$ and $\dot{\gamma}(0) = X$, then

$$\exp(tX) = \gamma(t) \,.$$

In matrix Lie groups such as $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$, $U(n)$, or $SU(n)$, this coincides with the usual matrix exponential

$$\exp(X) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{X^k}{k!} \,.$$

Thus exp defines one-parameter subgroups and moves from infinitesimal (algebraic) data to finite (group-theoretic) transformations.

By Noether's theorem, symmetries correspond to conserved quantities and act via *unitary operators* built from generators. If $\{T^a\}$ are generators and ϵ^a real parameters, a group element near the identity is

$$U(\vec{\epsilon}) = \exp(i\epsilon^a T^a) \,,$$

acting on states or fields as

$$\phi(x) \mapsto U(\vec{\epsilon})\, \phi(x) \,.$$

The Lie algebra encodes commutators and structure constants; the exponential map builds the finite symmetry transformations.

Example 3.21 (Generators via derivatives)**.** In practice (QM/QFT), generators are obtained as derivatives at the identity:

$$\widehat{T}_j := i\hbar \, \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha^j} U(\vec{\alpha}) \bigg|_{\vec{\alpha}=0} \,,$$

for a smooth parameterization $U(\vec{\alpha}) \in G$. For a rotation about the z-axis by angle θ,

$$|\psi\rangle \mapsto \exp\left(-\frac{i}{\hbar} \theta J_z \right) |\psi\rangle,$$

where J_z lies in $\mathfrak{so}(3)$; exponentiation yields the finite rotation.

Example 3.22 (The Lie group $SU(2)$ and its exponential map)**.** $SU(2)$ is the group of 2×2 complex unitary matrices with unit determinant and its Lie algebra is

$$\mathfrak{su}(2) = \left\{ X \in M_2(\mathbb{C}) \,\middle|\, X^\dagger = -X,\ \mathrm{Tr}(X) = 0 \right\}.$$

A convenient basis is

$$T_i = \frac{i}{2} \sigma_i \quad (i = 1, 2, 3),$$

with Pauli matrices σ_i, obeying

$$[T_i, T_j] = \epsilon_{ijk} T_k\,.$$

Any $g \in SU(2)$ near the identity can be written as

$$g(\theta, \hat{n}) = \exp\left(i\frac{\theta}{2}\,\hat{n}\cdot\vec{\sigma} \right).$$

$SU(2)$ is a **double cover** of $SO(3)$: a 2π rotation acts as -1 on spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ states. In gauge theory (e.g. the electroweak sector), $SU(2)\times U(1)$ and its exponential parametrization determine the allowed gauge transformations and their action on matter and gauge fields.

3.4. **Representations of Lie Groups.** A **representation** of a Lie group realizes abstract group elements as concrete linear transformations on vector spaces. In physics, representations determine how fields—scalars, spinors, vectors—transform under symmetry operations: e.g., particles in the fundamental of $SU(2)$, gauge bosons in the adjoint, etc.

Definition 3.23. A **representation** of a Lie group G on a finite-dimensional vector space V over a field $\mathbb{K}$ (typically $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$) is a smooth group homomorphism

$$\rho : G \to GL(V)$$

preserving the group structure: $\rho(gh) = \rho(g)\rho(h)$ and $\rho(e) = \mathrm{id}_V$. If ρ is injective, it is **faithful**. In a chosen basis, $\rho(g)$ is a matrix acting on V by multiplication.

Representations are the language of symmetry in field theory: scalars transform trivially, spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ fields under fundamental representations (e.g. of $SU(2)$ or $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$), and gauge fields in the adjoint. In constructing a Lagrangian, the representation fixes how each field transforms under gauge transformations and hence how invariance is maintained.

Example 3.24 (Trivial representation)**.** Define $\rho(g) = \mathrm{id}_V$ for all $g \in G$. Physically, this corresponds to fields invariant under the symmetry (true scalars under rotations).

Example 3.25 (Standard representation)**.** Let $G = GL(n,\mathbb{K})$ and $V = \mathbb{K}^n$. Then G acts on column vectors by

$$\rho(g)(v) = g\cdot v \quad (g \in GL(n),\ v \in \mathbb{K}^n).$$

For $SU(2)$, this is the spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ (fundamental) representation on two-component spinors.

Example 3.26 (Adjoint representation)**.** For $a \in G$, define conjugation $\mathrm{Ad}_a(g) = aga^{-1}$. Differentiating at the identity gives a representation on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$,

$$\mathrm{Ad}_a : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g},$$

the **adjoint representation**. In gauge theory, gauge bosons transform in the adjoint (e.g. the three W's in $SU(2)$ form a triplet).

Example 3.27 (Adjoint representation of $SU(2)$)**.** With the basis

$$T_1 = \frac{i}{2}\sigma_1, \quad T_2 = \frac{i}{2}\sigma_2, \quad T_3 = \frac{i}{2}\sigma_3,$$

and $g = \mathrm{diag}(e^{i\phi}, e^{-i\phi}) \in SU(2)$, the adjoint action is

$$\mathrm{Ad}_g(T) = gTg^{-1},$$

yielding

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Ad}_g(T_1) &= \cos(2\phi)\,T_1 + \sin(2\phi)\,T_2,\\ \mathrm{Ad}_g(T_2) &= -\sin(2\phi)\,T_1 + \cos(2\phi)\,T_2,\\ \mathrm{Ad}_g(T_3) &= T_3.\end{aligned}$$

In the ordered basis $\{T_1, T_2, T_3\}$,

$$\mathrm{Ad}_g \mathrel{\hat{=}} \begin{pmatrix} \cos(2\phi) & \sin(2\phi) & 0 \\ -\sin(2\phi) & \cos(2\phi) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in SO(3),$$

so the adjoint of $SU(2)$ is the standard three-dimensional rotation representation of $SO(3)$.

From basic ones, one can construct new representations via direct sums, tensor products, exterior powers, and duals. For $SU(2)$,

$$\frac{1}{2} \otimes \frac{1}{2} = 0 \oplus 1,$$

decomposing into a singlet and a triplet, is ubiquitous in particle physics.

Definition 3.28. Two representations $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Aut}(V)$ and $\tilde{\rho} : G \to \mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{V})$ are **equivalent** if there exists an isomorphism $T : V \to \tilde{V}$ such that

$$T \circ \rho(g) = \tilde{\rho}(g) \circ T \quad \text{for all } g \in G.$$

Understanding how fields transform under G is fundamental for writing consistent Lagrangians and couplings. Next, we connect representations to fiber bundles and local gauge symmetry, where fields are sections of vector bundles associated to principal bundles via a given representation.

3.5. **Actions of Lie groups on manifolds.** The notion of a Lie group *acting* on a manifold is central to gauge theory and differential geometry. It allows us to describe how symmetries move points in space, how fibers in a bundle are related, and how physical fields transform under local gauge transformations.

Definition 3.29. Let G be a Lie group and M a smooth manifold. A (left) **action** of G on M is a smooth map

$$\sigma : G \times M \to M, \quad (g, p) \mapsto \sigma(g, p) := g \cdot p$$

such that:

(1) $e \cdot p = p$ for all $p \in M$ (identity acts trivially),
(2) $g_1 \cdot (g_2 \cdot p) = (g_1 g_2) \cdot p$ for all $g_1, g_2 \in G$ and $p \in M$ (compatibility).

This abstract definition captures what it means for a Lie group to "move" points around a manifold. In gauge theory, the Lie group G acts on the fibers of a principal bundle, and the structure of that action determines the global geometry of the gauge field.

Example 3.30. The general linear group $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ acts naturally on $\mathbb{R}^n$ by matrix multiplication:

$$g \cdot x = gx \quad \text{for } g \in GL(n),\ x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

Example 3.31. The rotation group $SO(3)$ acts on the 2-sphere $S^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ via:

$$R \cdot \vec{x} = R\vec{x} \quad \text{for } R \in SO(3),\ \vec{x} \in S^2$$

This action is smooth, preserves the metric structure, and encodes the geometric symmetries of the sphere.

Example 3.32. The group $S^1 = \{e^{i\theta}\}$ acts on $\mathbb{R}^2$ by rotating the vectors in $\mathbb{R}^2$ by an angle θ through:

$$e^{i\theta} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta \\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$$

This is the geometric origin of the $U(1)$ gauge symmetry in electromagnetism.

Definition 3.33. An action $\sigma : G \times M \to M$ is said to be:

- **Transitive** if for all $p_1, p_2 \in M$, there exists $g \in G$ such that $g \cdot p_1 = p_2$.
- **Free** if the only group element fixing any point is the identity: if $g \cdot p = p$ for some p, then $g = e$.
- **Effective** if the only element acting trivially on all of M is the identity: if $g \cdot p = p$ for all p, then $g = e$.

These conditions play key roles in bundle theory. For instance, in a principal G-bundle, the group G acts freely and transitively on each fiber, making the fiber look like a copy of G itself. The total space then becomes a geometric object built from the orbits of this group action.

One could rightfully ask why this matters in physics. In geometric gauge theory, the symmetry group G acts on the fiber of a principal bundle $P \to M$, where M is the base spacetime manifold. The requirement that this action be smooth, free, and transitive ensures that we can consistently define local trivializations, parallel transport, and gauge transformations. The fields of a gauge theory (e.g., vector potentials, matter fields) live in associated bundles derived from this group action via representations, which we introduced in the previous section.

4. Differential Topology & Geometry

Manifolds are topological spaces that are locally homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^m$ but not necessarily globally. Charts, fibres, bundles etc provide a language to think globally about the manifold. Gauge theories in physics are naturally described in the language of fibre bundles. There's a wide range of literature on the topic from a physical perspective such as [1, 2, 3, 9]

4.1. (Co)Tangent Bundles.

Definition 4.1. A tangent bundle TM over a $m-$manifold M is the collection of tangent spaces at every point p of the manifold:

$$TM \equiv \bigcup_{p \in M} T_pM = \{(p, X_p) | p \in M, X_p \in T_pM\} \tag{4.2}$$

where M is called the base space.

Fix a coordinate chart (U_i, x^μ) on M. A point $p \in U_i$ has coordinates $x^\mu(p)$. Any tangent vector at p can be written

$$V = V^\mu(p) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \right) \bigg|_p . \tag{4.3}$$

So an element of the restricted tangent bundle $TU_i := \bigcup_{p \in U_i} T_p M$ is recorded by the pair (p, V), or in coordinates by $\big(x^\mu(p),\, V^\mu(p)\big)$. Because U_i is diffeomorphic to an open set in $\mathbb{R}^m$, and V^μ provides an m-tuple of real numbers, we get a coordinate identification

$$TU_i \cong U_i \times \mathbb{R}^m \cong \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^m . \tag{4.4}$$

so TU_i is locally a $2m$-dimensional manifold. Intuitively: each point in TU_i splits into two pieces of data — the base point p and a vector V living in the tangent space at p.

This local splitting suggests a natural map that "forgets the vector" and remembers only the base point: the projection.

Definition 4.5. A projection is a surjection $\pi : TU_i \to U_i$, such that for any point $u \in TU_i, \pi(u)$ is a point $p \in U_i$ at which the vector is defined. However, information about the vector is lost under the projection.

Definition 4.6. A fibre at p is obtained through $\pi^{-1}(p) = T_p M$. $T_p M$ is this fibre in question.

We can think of the tangent bundle as all these fibres $T_p M$ "stacked" over their base points and smoothly interwoven across overlapping coordinate patches. What makes the stacking consistent is how the *components* of a vector change when you change coordinates.

Suppose two charts (U_i, x^μ) and $(U_j, \tilde{x}^\nu)$ overlap at p. Write the same vector $V \in T_p M$ in each coordinate basis:

$$V = V^\mu \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \right)_p = \tilde{V}^\nu \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}^\nu} \right)_p . \tag{4.7}$$

By the chain rule,

$$\tilde{V}^\nu = \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^\nu}{\partial x^\mu}(p)\, V^\mu . \tag{4.8}$$

The matrix

$$G^\nu{}_\mu(p) = \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^\nu}{\partial x^\mu}(p) \tag{4.9}$$

is invertible because we are changing between coordinate systems. Thus $G^\nu{}_\mu(p) \in GL(m, \mathbb{R})$. These matrices, defined on chart overlaps, are the *transition functions* that tell us how to glue the trivial pieces $U_i \times \mathbb{R}^m$ and $U_j \times \mathbb{R}^m$ together. The collection of all such linear changes of coordinates forms the *structure group* of the tangent bundle: $GL(m, \mathbb{R})$

Given a vector space V on $\mathbb{R}$ one can take its dual space

$$V^* = \big\{ \omega : V \to \mathbb{R} \big| \omega(\alpha_1 X_1 + \alpha_2 X_2) = \alpha_1 \omega(X_1) + \alpha_1 \omega(X_2) \text{ for } X_i \in V \text{ and } \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R} \big\} \tag{4.10}$$

This dual space is also a vector space.

Definition 4.11. The dual vector space T_p^*M of the tangent space T_pM is the cotangent space. And the collection of the cotangent spaces is the cotangent bundle, which has a manifold structure:

$$T^*M \equiv \bigcup_{p\in M} T_p^*M \tag{4.12}$$

Before moving to fiber bundles we lastly introduce the concepts of push-forward and pull-back induced by a smooth map $f : M \to N$ between two smooth manifolds. This map induces a push-forward of tangent vectors:

$$f_* : T_pM \to T_{f(p)}N \tag{4.13}$$

defined, for $g \in C^\infty(N)$, by

$$f_*(X_p)(g) = X_p(g \circ f) \tag{4.14}$$

and often denoted by df_p in literature for reasons to do with differential forms. On the other hand, it also induces a pull-back of the cotangent space

$$f^* : T^*_{f(p)}N \to T^*_pM \tag{4.15}$$

defined, for $\omega_{f(p)} \in T_{f(p)}N$, by

$$\langle f^*(\omega_{f(p)}), X_p\rangle = \langle \omega_{f(p)}, f_*(X_p)\rangle \tag{4.16}$$

4.2. **Principal Fibre Bundles.** Principal bundles are the natural geometric setting for describing gauge fields. In physics, they formalize the notion of internal symmetries at each point in spacetime and provide the structure on which connections (gauge potentials) and curvatures (field strengths) live. We begin with the rigorous definition and structural properties of principal bundles, then discuss their local description, morphisms, and role in gauge theory.

Definition 4.17. Let G be a Lie group and M a smooth manifold. A **principal G-bundle** over M is a quadruple (P, π, M, G), where:

(1) P is a smooth manifold called the *total space*,
(2) $\pi : P \to M$ is a smooth surjective submersion called the *projection*,
(3) There exists a smooth right action $R : P \times G \to P$, $R(p, g) = p \cdot g$, such that:
   (a) The action is *free*: if $p \cdot g = p$, then $g = e \in G$,
   (b) The action is *fiber-preserving*: $\pi(p \cdot g) = \pi(p)$,
   (c) The action is *transitive on fibers*: for every $p, p' \in \pi^{-1}(x) \subset P$, there exists a unique $g \in G$ such that $p' = p \cdot g$,
(4) P is locally trivial: for each $x \in M$, there exists an open neighborhood $U \subseteq M$ and a diffeomorphism (called a *local trivialization*)

$$\phi_U : \pi^{-1}(U) \to U \times G$$

such that $\pi = \mathrm{pr}_1 \circ \phi_U$ and the right G-action corresponds to right multiplication on the G-factor:

$$\phi_U(p \cdot g) = (\pi(p), hg)\,, \quad \text{if } \phi_U(p) = (\pi(p), h).$$

Remark 4.18. The total space P encodes both the base manifold M (e.g. spacetime) and the internal symmetry group G. The fibers $\pi^{-1}(x) \cong G$ model the set of gauge-equivalent configurations at each spacetime point.

Example 4.19 (Trivial Principal Bundle)**.** Let M be any smooth manifold and G a Lie group. The product manifold $P = M \times G$ with projection $\pi : (x, g) \mapsto x$ is a principal G-bundle, with right G-action given by:

$$(x, g) \cdot h := (x, gh).$$

This is called the *trivial bundle* $M \times G$. Every fiber $\pi^{-1}(x) \cong G$, and global trivializations exist.

Transition Functions and Bundle Atlases. Given a cover $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of M and local trivializations $\phi_i : \pi^{-1}(U_i) \to U_i \times G$, the local trivializations are related on overlaps by *transition functions*

$$g_{ij} : U_i \cap U_j \to G$$

defined by the requirement that for all $x \in U_i \cap U_j$, and $p \in \pi^{-1}(x)$,

$$\phi_i(p) = (x, g_{ij}(x) \cdot h), \quad \text{where } \phi_j(p) = (x, h).$$

The cocycle conditions hold:

$$g_{ii}(x) = e, \quad g_{ij}(x) = g_{ji}(x)^{-1}, \quad g_{ij}(x)g_{jk}(x)g_{ki}(x) = e \quad \text{on } U_i \cap U_j \cap U_k.$$

Example 4.20 (Nontrivial U(1)-Bundle over $\mathbb{S}^2$)**.** Let $P = \mathbb{S}^3$, $M = \mathbb{S}^2$, and $G = \mathrm{U}(1)$. The Hopf fibration

$$\pi : \mathbb{S}^3 \to \mathbb{S}^2$$

defines a nontrivial principal U(1)-bundle. Locally, it is trivializable, but globally it is topologically nontrivial. This bundle plays a central role in the theory of magnetic monopoles, where the field strength corresponds to the curvature of a connection on this bundle.

Definition 4.21. A *bundle atlas* is a collection $\{(U_i, \phi_i)\}$ of local trivializations such that the associated transition functions $\{g_{ij}\}$ satisfy the cocycle conditions.

Definition 4.22 (Morphisms and Gauge Transformations)**.** Let (P, π, M, G) and (P', π', M, G) be principal G-bundles. A morphism is a smooth map $f : P \to P'$ satisfying $\pi' \circ f = \pi$ and $f(p \cdot g) = f(p) \cdot g$. An automorphism of P is called a **gauge transformation**.

Example 4.23. Let $P = M \times G$. Then every smooth map $\gamma : M \to G$ defines a gauge transformation:

$$f_\gamma(x, g) = (x, \gamma(x)g).$$

This illustrates how gauge transformations correspond to local group-valued functions. An explicit application of this will be outlined in section 5 on Gauge Field Theories

Sections and Triviality. A (local) section $s : U \to P$ satisfies $\pi \circ s = \mathrm{id}_U$. A global section exists iff the bundle is trivial. In terms of trivializations, a local section s corresponds to a map $s(x) = \phi_i^{-1}(x, e)$. If global s exists, we can write $P \cong M \times G$. Associated Bundles. Given a left G-manifold F, the associated bundle is:

$$E = P \times_G F := (P \times F)/\sim, \quad (p \cdot g, f) \sim (p, g \cdot f).$$

This is a fiber bundle over M with typical fiber F.

Example 4.24. Let $\rho : G \to \mathrm{GL}(V)$ be a representation. Then $E = P \times_G V$ is a vector bundle. Sections of E represent matter fields in gauge theory.

Classification. Principal G-bundles over M are classified (up to isomorphism) by Čech cocycles or homotopy classes of maps $M \to BG$, where BG is the classifying space. For example, principal U(1)-bundles are classified by $H^2(M;\mathbb{Z})$, which encodes the quantized magnetic flux.

Remark 4.25. In physics, such classifications correspond to topologically distinct field configurations — for instance, magnetic monopoles, instantons, or theta-vacua — which are often labeled by characteristic classes.

4.3. **Elements of Riemannian Geometry.** On a Riemannian manifold (M, g), a connection encodes differentiation of vector fields and leads to curvature.

Definition 4.26. (Linear connection) A connection is a map

$$\nabla : \mathfrak{X}(M) \times \mathfrak{X}(M) \to \mathfrak{X}(M), \qquad (X, Y) \mapsto \nabla_X Y,$$

such that for all $f, h \in C^\infty(M)$ and $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, the space of smooth vector fields on M:

(1) $\nabla_{fX+hY} Z = f\,\nabla_X Z + h\,\nabla_Y Z$ (linearity in the first slot),
(2) $\nabla_X (Y + Z) = \nabla_X Y + \nabla_X Z$ (additivity in the second),
(3) $\nabla_X (fY) = X(f)\,Y + f\,\nabla_X Y$ (Leibniz rule).

In local coordinates (x^μ), the Christoffel symbols $\Gamma^\mu_{\nu\alpha}$ are defined by

$$\nabla_{\partial_\nu} \partial_\alpha = \Gamma^\mu_{\nu\alpha}\,\partial_\mu,$$

and for $X = X^\mu \partial_\mu$, $Y = Y^\nu \partial_\nu$,

$$\nabla_X Y = X^\mu \big(\partial_\mu Y^\alpha + \Gamma^\alpha_{\mu\nu} Y^\nu\big)\,\partial_\alpha.$$

Along a curve $\gamma(t) = (x^1(t), \ldots, x^n(t))$, the covariant derivative is

$$\frac{DX}{dt} = \left(\frac{dX^\alpha}{dt} + \dot{x}^\mu\,\Gamma^\alpha_{\mu\nu} X^\nu\right)\partial_\alpha. \tag{4.27}$$

A vector field X is *parallel* along γ if $\frac{DX}{dt} = 0$; given $X(0)$ there is a unique parallel transport along γ.

Definition 4.28. (Levi–Civita connection) On (M, g) there is a unique connection ∇ that is *metric-compatible* and *torsion-free*:

$$Z\big(g(X, Y)\big) = g(\nabla_Z X, Y) + g(X, \nabla_Z Y), \qquad \nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X = [X, Y].$$

Equivalently, in coordinates, $\Gamma^\mu_{\nu\alpha} = \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\nu}$.

Geodesics are curves whose tangents are parallel transported: $\frac{D\dot{\gamma}}{dt} = 0$, i.e.

$$\ddot{x}^\alpha + \Gamma^\alpha_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^\mu\dot{x}^\nu = 0.$$

Definition 4.29. (Riemann curvature) The curvature of a connection ∇ is

$$R(X, Y)Z := \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X,Y]} Z,$$

which is C^∞-linear in each slot and antisymmetric in X, Y.

In coordinates,

$$R(\partial_\mu, \partial_\nu)\,\partial_\alpha = R^\sigma{}_{\alpha\mu\nu}\,\partial_\sigma, \qquad R^\sigma{}_{\alpha\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \Gamma^\sigma_{\nu\alpha} - \partial_\nu \Gamma^\sigma_{\mu\alpha} + \Gamma^\rho_{\nu\alpha}\Gamma^\sigma_{\mu\rho} - \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\alpha}\Gamma^\sigma_{\nu\rho}.$$

Contractions yield the Ricci tensor and scalar curvature:

$$R_{\mu\nu} := R^\rho{}_{\mu\rho\nu}, \qquad R := g^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu}.$$

If $R_{\mu\nu} = \Lambda g_{\mu\nu}$ for some constant Λ, then (M, g) is an *Einstein manifold*.

Example 4.30. In GR, the Einstein field equations read

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \tfrac{1}{2}R\, g_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} T_{\mu\nu},$$

linking curvature to stress–energy. We use only the geometric ingredients above.

4.4. **Differential Forms and De Rham Cohomology Group.** Differential forms provide a natural and powerful language for calculus on smooth manifolds, especially in contexts involving integration, flux, and topological invariants. In gauge theory, they are essential: connection one-forms represent gauge potentials, curvature two-forms encode field strengths, and characteristic classes are defined using closed differential forms whose cohomology classes capture global features of gauge bundles.

Definition 4.31. A **differential r-form** on a smooth m-dimensional manifold M is a rule that assigns to each point $p \in M$ an antisymmetric multilinear map

$$\omega_p : T_pM \times \cdots \times T_pM = (T_pM)^r \to \mathbb{R}.$$

That is, ω_p takes r tangent vectors at p and returns a real number, changing sign when two arguments are exchanged.

Equivalently, one can describe an r-form as a smooth section of the bundle $\Lambda^r T^*M$, the r-th exterior power of the cotangent bundle:

$$\omega \in \Omega^r(M) := \Gamma(M, \Lambda^r T^*M).$$

Definition 4.32. The wedge product $\wedge$ of r one-forms is the totally antisymmetric tensor product

$$dx^{\mu_1} \wedge dx^{\mu_2} \wedge ... \wedge dx^{\mu_r} = \sum_{P \in S_r} \operatorname{sgn}(P)\, dx^{\mu_{P(1)}} \wedge dx^{\mu_{P(2)}} \wedge ... \wedge dx^{\mu_{P(r)}}. \tag{4.33}$$

The wedge product of one-forms is alternating (vanishes if an index repeats) and is linear in each dx^μ.

We denote the vector space of r-forms at $p \in M$ by $\Omega_p^r(M)$. An element $\omega \in \Omega_p^r(M)$ can be expanded as

$$\omega = \frac{1}{r!}\, \omega_{\mu_1\mu_2...\mu_r}\, dx^{\mu_1} \wedge dx^{\mu_2} \wedge ... \wedge dx^{\mu_r}, \tag{4.34}$$

where $\omega_{\mu_1\mu_2...\mu_r}$ is totally antisymmetric. There are $\binom{m}{r}$ choices of $(\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_r)$, thus

$$\dim \Omega_p^r(M) = \frac{m!}{(m-r)!r!}, \qquad \dim \Omega_p^r(M) = \dim \Omega_p^{m-r}(M), \tag{4.35}$$

and if $r > m$ the dimension is 0.

Definition 4.36. The exterior product of a q-form and an r-form $\wedge : \Omega_p^q(M) \times \Omega_p^r(M) \to \Omega_p^{q+r}(M)$ is given by

$$(\omega \wedge \zeta)(v_1, ..., v_{q+r}) = \frac{1}{q!r!} \sum_{P \in S_{q+r}} \operatorname{sgn}(P)\, \omega(v_{P(1)}, ..., v_{P(q)})\, \zeta(v_{P(q+1)}, ..., v_{P(q+r)}), \tag{4.37}$$

for $v_i \in T_pM$. If $r + q > m$, then $\omega \wedge \zeta = 0$. With this product define

$$\Omega_p^*(M) = \Omega_p^0(M) \oplus \Omega_p^1(M) \oplus \cdots \oplus \Omega_p^m(M),$$

the graded algebra of all differential forms at p.

Definition 4.38. The exterior derivative d_r (commonly d) is a map $\Omega^r(M) \to \Omega^{r+1}(M)$ whose action on (4.34) is

$$d_r\omega = \frac{1}{r!}\Big(\frac{\partial\omega_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_r}}{\partial x^\nu}\Big)\, dx^\nu \wedge dx^{\mu_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{\mu_r}, \tag{4.39}$$

satisfying:

(1) $\mathrm{d}^2 = 0$,
(2) $\mathrm{d}(\omega \wedge \eta) = \mathrm{d}\omega \wedge \eta + (-1)^k \omega \wedge \mathrm{d}\eta$ for $\omega \in \Omega^k(M)$,
(3) If $f \in C^\infty(M)$, then $\mathrm{d}f$ is the usual differential.

Example 4.40. We look at familiar examples in 3-space and the action of d on them:

- $\omega_0 = f(x, y, z) \ \to\ d\omega_0 = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}dx + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}dy + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}dz.$
- $\omega_1 = \omega_x\, dx + \omega_y\, dy + \omega_z\, dz \ \to\ d\omega_1 = (\frac{\partial \omega_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \omega_x}{\partial y})dx \wedge dy + (\frac{\partial \omega_z}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial \omega_y}{\partial z})dy \wedge dz + (\frac{\partial \omega_x}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial \omega_z}{\partial x})dz \wedge dx.$

Lemma 4.41.

$$d^2 \equiv d_{r+1}d_r = 0. \tag{4.42}$$

Proof. For ω as in (4.34), $d^2\omega$ is a sum of terms with a symmetric second derivative contracted with an antisymmetric wedge $dx^\lambda \wedge dx^\nu$, hence vanishes. □

Example 4.43. In electromagnetic theory, the four-potential $A(\phi, \mathbf{A})$ is a 1-form $A = A_\mu dx^\mu$ and the field tensor $F = dA$ is a 2-form. The Bianchi identity $\partial_\lambda F_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\mu F_{\nu\lambda} + \partial_\nu F_{\lambda\mu} = 0$ is just $dF = d^2A = 0$ by Lemma 4.41.

Definition 4.44. The de Rham complex is the sequence induced by d_r:

$$0 \xrightarrow{i} \Omega^0(M) \xrightarrow{d_0} \Omega^1(M) \xrightarrow{d_1} \cdots \xrightarrow{d_{m-1}} \Omega^m(M) \xrightarrow{d_m} 0, \tag{4.45}$$

where i is the inclusion map.

Proposition 4.46.

$$\operatorname{im} d_r \subset \ker d_{r+1}. \tag{4.47}$$

Definition 4.48. A closed r-form is an element of $\ker d_r$; i.e. $\omega \in \Omega^r(M)$ is closed if $d\omega = 0$.

Definition 4.49. An exact r-form is in $\operatorname{im} d_{r-1}$; i.e. ω is exact if $\exists$ an $(r-1)$-form ψ such that $\omega = d\psi$.

Definition 4.50. The de Rham cohomology group is the quotient space $\ker d_r / \operatorname{im} d_{r-1}$:

$$H^r_{\mathrm{dR}}(M) := \frac{\ker(\mathrm{d} : \Omega^r(M) \to \Omega^{r+1}(M))}{\operatorname{im}(\mathrm{d} : \Omega^{r-1}(M) \to \Omega^r(M))}. \tag{4.51}$$

It is a vector space whose elements are equivalence classes of closed r-forms modulo exact ones.

Remark 4.52. These cohomology groups are topological invariants of M. That is, if $M \cong N$ (diffeomorphic), then $H^k_{\mathrm{dR}}(M) \cong H^k_{\mathrm{dR}}(N)$. They reflect the global structure of the manifold and will later classify global features of field configurations and gauge bundles.

Example 4.53 (Cohomology of S^1)**.** Let $M = S^1$. Then $H^0_{\mathrm{dR}}(S^1) \cong \mathbb{R}$ (constant functions), and $H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(S^1) \cong \mathbb{R}$, generated by $\mathrm{d}\theta$, the angular 1-form.

In the spirit of moving between the spaces of differential forms we are also led into the notion of an interior product.

Definition 4.54. The interior product $i_X : \Omega^r(M) \to \Omega^{r-1}(M)$, where $X \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ and $\omega \in \Omega^r(M)$, is defined as

$$i_X\omega(X_1, ..., X_{r-1}) \equiv \omega(X, X_1, ..., X_{r-1}). \tag{4.55}$$

Example 4.56. In Cartesian $\mathbb{R}^3$ coordinates:

$$i_{e_x}(dx \wedge dy) = dy, \qquad i_{e_z}(dx \wedge dy) = 0.$$

We note that the Lie derivative of a form as defined in Section 3.2 is most efficiently written in the language of the interior product.

Proposition 4.57. *For a one-form $\omega = \omega_\mu dx^\mu$,*

$$\mathcal{L}_X\omega = (d\, i_X + i_X d)\, \omega. \tag{4.58}$$

We wrap up the section with a remark on the importance of differential forms in physics.

Remark 4.59. In physics, differential forms are not just a language but a necessity:
- The potential A is a 1-form; the field strength is $F = \mathrm{d}A$, a 2-form.
- In Yang–Mills, A becomes a Lie algebra–valued 1-form, and $F = \mathrm{d}A + A \wedge A$.
- The Bianchi identity $\mathrm{d}F = 0$ is a constraint that follows from $\mathrm{d}^2 = 0$.
- The quantization of flux (as in Dirac monopoles) is related to the fact that $[F] \in H^2_{\mathrm{dR}}(M)$ may represent a nontrivial cohomology class.

4.5. **Characteristic Classes.** The curvature two-form $\mathcal{F}$ associated to a connection A on a principal bundle encodes local geometric information about the field. But gauge fields can also possess global, topological features—properties that persist under smooth deformations and are not visible through local curvature alone. These features are captured by *characteristic classes*.

Formally, characteristic classes are elements of the cohomology ring of the base manifold M, constructed from invariant polynomials in $\mathcal{F}$. In general, characteristic classes do not classify principal bundles by themselves. Isomorphism classes of principal G-bundles over M correspond to homotopy classes of maps $[M, BG]$, where BG is the classifying space of G. Only in special cases such as $G = U(1)$, where $BU(1) \simeq \mathbb{C}P^\infty = K(\mathbb{Z}, 2,$does this correspondence reduce to integral cohomology.

Physically, characteristic classes often correspond to quantized observables like magnetic charge or instanton number: quantities distinguishing inequivalent topological sectors of a gauge theory.

Let $P \to M$ be a principal G-bundle with connection A and curvature $\mathcal{F} \in \Omega^2(M, \mathfrak{g})$. Given an invariant polynomial $P : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{R}$, the Chern–Weil homomorphism assigns to P the closed form $P(\mathcal{F}) \in \Omega^{2k}(M)$. This form represents a cohomology class

$$[P(\mathcal{F})] \in H^{2k}_{\mathrm{dR}}(M), \tag{4.60}$$

which is independent of the choice of connection A, and depends only on the bundle P. These classes are the characteristic classes of the bundle.

For example, in the case of a U(1)-bundle, the first Chern class is given by

$$c_1 = \left[\frac{i}{2\pi}\mathcal{F}\right] \in H^2_{\mathrm{dR}}(M), \tag{4.61}$$

and its integral over a closed 2-surface $\Sigma \subset M$ yields a quantized value:

$$\int_{\Sigma} \frac{i}{2\pi} \mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{4.62}$$

This is the geometric origin of charge quantization in abelian gauge theory. The same mechanism underlies Dirac monopoles and quantized flux in electromagnetism.

In non-abelian gauge theory, higher-order characteristic classes arise from symmetric invariant polynomials such as

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{F}) \quad \text{or} \quad \mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{F}),$$

which yield the second and third Chern classes, respectively. Their integrals over 4- or 6-dimensional manifolds are topological invariants of the gauge field, independent of local deformations. For example, in SU(2) gauge theory over a 4-manifold M, the integral

$$k = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_M \mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{F}) \tag{4.63}$$

defines a topological charge labeling sectors of the gauge field configuration space. This integer k is the second Chern number and it plays a central role in classifying instanton solutions—objects we will touch on in the next section.

Characteristic classes thus provide a link between geometry, topology, and physics. They account for the global structure of gauge fields and often control observable phenomena such as quantization conditions, anomaly cancellation, tunneling amplitudes in path integrals... Many physically meaningful gauge configurations (e.g., instantons) are best understood through the lens of these topological invariants.

5. Gauge Field Theory

In 2.3 we looked at a number of Abelian and non-Abelian gauge theories from a physics lens with little to no connection to the bundle language. More thorough treatment is in [1, 5, 7]. In this section, we make an attempt as making some of these correspondences explicit starting with U(1) gauge theory and Yang-Mills which we tackled before then moving to Dirac Monopoles and Instantons.
The mathematical reader who elected to skip sections 3 and 4 and is only interested in looking at the Gauge Field Theory section is therefore strongly encouraged to look at 2.3 beforehand.

5.1. **U(1) Gauge Theory.**

We discussed Maxwell's theory in 2.3 as an abelian $U(1)$ gauge theory. In this section, we make an attempt at formulating that in the slightly fancier language that we developed thus far.

Maxwell's theory is often introduced through the familiar electric and magnetic fields **E** and **B**. Yet these six scalar components can be collected into a single geometric object: a two-form that emerges naturally from the language of principal bundles and connections. We begin by specifying the *bundle data*:

(1) **Base space.** Minkowski spacetime $M = \mathbb{R}^{1,3}$, which is contractible.

(2) **Structure group.** $G = \mathrm{U}(1)$, a compact, one–dimensional Abelian Lie group. Its Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{u}(1) = i\mathbb{R}$, so we distinguish the *real* vector potential A_μ from the *Lie–algebra–valued* one–form

$$\mathcal{A} = i\,A_\mu\,\mathrm{d}x^\mu \in \Omega^1\big(M, \mathfrak{u}(1)\big).$$

Since M is topologically trivial, every principal $\mathrm{U}(1)$–bundle over M is isomorphic to the direct product

$$P \simeq M \times \mathrm{U}(1).$$

Consequently, a *single* global connection $\mathcal{A}$ suffices to describe the gauge potential everywhere on spacetime.

The curvature (or *field strength*) is the two–form

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathrm{d}\mathcal{A} = \tfrac{1}{2}\,\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}\,\mathrm{d}x^\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}x^\nu, \tag{5.1}$$

with

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} = i\big(\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu\big).$$

Because $\mathrm{U}(1)$ is Abelian, no commutator term appears in (5.1). Exactness of $\mathcal{F}$ implies

$$\mathrm{d}\mathcal{F} = 0 \quad\Longleftrightarrow\quad \partial_{[\rho}F_{\mu\nu]} = 0, \tag{5.2}$$

the exterior–calculus version of Faraday's flux law and the absence of magnetic monopoles. Note that we have set $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} \equiv iF_{\mu\nu}$ so that $F_{\mu\nu}$ is the usual *real* electromagnetic field tensor. Writing coordinates $x^\mu = (t, x^i)$, the spatial components of $F_{\mu\nu}$ reproduce the three-vectors

$$E_i = F_{0i}, \qquad B_i = \tfrac{1}{2}\,\varepsilon_{ijk}F_{jk}.$$

Equation (5.2) then splits into $\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\mathbf{B} = 0$ and $\boldsymbol{\nabla}\times\mathbf{E} + \partial_t\mathbf{B} = 0$. Gauge invariance forbids any quantity but the curvature in the action. The unique Lorentz- and gauge-invariant functional with mass dimension four is

$$S_{\mathrm{M}}[\mathcal{A}] = -\frac{1}{4}\int_M F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}\,\mathrm{d}^4x = -\frac{1}{4}\int_M \mathcal{F}\wedge *\mathcal{F}, \tag{5.3}$$

where $*$ is the Hodge dual defined by the spacetime metric. Varying (5.3) with respect to the connection gives

$$\delta S_{\mathrm{M}} = -\int_M \mathrm{d} * \mathcal{F}\wedge\delta\mathcal{A} \qquad\Longrightarrow\quad \mathrm{d} * \mathcal{F} = 0. \tag{5.4}$$

In components,

$$\partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = 0,$$

equivalently $\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\mathbf{E} = 0$ and $\boldsymbol{\nabla}\times\mathbf{B} - \partial_t\mathbf{E} = 0$. Together with (5.2), these four relations constitute the vacuum Maxwell equations. A striking feature of this formulation is the separation of Maxwell's equations into two purely geometric identities,

$$\mathrm{d}\mathcal{F} = 0, \tag{5.5}$$

and two dynamical equations determined by the variational principle,

$$\mathrm{d} * \mathcal{F} = 0. \tag{5.6}$$

The former reflect the topology of the bundle (here trivial), while the latter encode the local propagation of the gauge field. In the presence of a conserved current J^μ one merely replaces (5.4) by

$$\mathrm{d} * \mathcal{F} = *J, \tag{5.7}$$

retaining gauge covariance and charge conservation ($\mathrm{d} * J = 0$). We can now look at an explicit usage of the geometric formalism to perform a gauge transformation

Example 5.8. Let $P = M \times \mathrm{U}(1)$ be the trivial principal bundle for electromagnetism, and let $A \in \Omega^1(M; \mathfrak{u}(1))$ be a local connection one-form (i.e., the gauge potential).

Let $\gamma : M \to \mathrm{U}(1)$ be a smooth map, i.e., a local gauge transformation. Since $\mathrm{U}(1) \cong \{e^{i\theta(x)}\}$, we can write

$$\gamma(x) = e^{i\theta(x)}.$$

This defines a gauge transformation of the bundle via

$$f_\gamma(x, g) = (x, \gamma(x)g)\,.$$

At the level of the connection, this induces the transformation:

$$\mathcal{A} \mapsto \mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{A} + i\,\mathrm{d}\theta.$$

Remark 5.9. This matches the familiar electromagnetic gauge transformation:

$$A_\mu(x) \mapsto A_\mu(x) + \partial_\mu \theta(x).$$

Hence, gauge transformations of the principal bundle induce the local gauge transformations of the connection seen in physics. In the non-abelian case (e.g., Yang–Mills, which will be discussed soon), the transformation law becomes

$$\mathcal{A} \mapsto \mathcal{A}' = g\mathcal{A}g^{-1} + g\,\mathrm{d}g^{-1}, \quad g(x) \in G.$$

In summary, casting electromagnetism as a $\mathrm{U}(1)$ gauge theory elevates the vector potential to a connection $\mathcal{A} \in \Omega^1(M, \mathfrak{u}(1))$, unifies $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ into the curvature two–form $\mathcal{F}$, and derives Maxwell's equations from a single action principle that is manifestly Lorentz– and gauge–invariant. This geometric viewpoint not only streamlines classical theory but also sets the stage for non–Abelian generalisations, topological constructions, and ultimately the quantisation of gauge fields.

5.2. **Yang–Mills Theory.** Let us work on flat Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^4$ equipped with its standard metric $g_{\mu\nu} = \delta_{\mu\nu}$. Let the gauge group be $G = \mathrm{SU}(2)$, and consider a principal G-bundle $P(\mathbb{R}^4, G)$. Since $\mathbb{R}^4$ is contractible, the bundle is topologically trivial: $P \cong \mathbb{R}^4 \times G$. This triviality guarantees the existence of a global section and thus a globally defined gauge potential.

5.2.1. *The Gauge Potential and Field Strength.* A connection on P is described by a Lie-algebra-valued 1-form $\mathcal{A} \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathfrak{su}(2))$, called the *gauge potential.* In a local trivialization:

$$\mathcal{A} = A^a_\mu(x) T_a\, dx^\mu, \tag{5.10}$$

where $\{T_a\}$ form a basis of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ obeying $[T_a, T_b] = \epsilon_{abc} T_c$, and $\mu = 1, \ldots, 4$.

The associated curvature (field strength) is the *Lie algebra–valued 2-form*

$$\mathcal{F} = d\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{A} = \tfrac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}(x)\, dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu, \tag{5.11}$$

with components

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu + [A_\mu, A_\nu] = \mathcal{F}^a_{\mu\nu} T_a. \tag{5.12}$$

This 2-form satisfies the non-Abelian Bianchi identity:

$$D\mathcal{F} = d\mathcal{F} + [\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F}] = 0, \tag{5.13}$$

which reflects the geometric statement that the curvature of a connection satisfies a generalized version of $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$.

5.2.2. *Geometric Setup.* Let $\mathfrak{A}$ denote the affine space of all connections on P, modeled on the vector space $\Omega^1(M, \operatorname{ad} P)$. The gauge group $\mathcal{G} = \operatorname{Aut}(P)$ acts on $\mathfrak{A}$ via

$$\mathcal{A} \mapsto \mathcal{A}^g = g\mathcal{A}g^{-1} + g\,dg^{-1}, \qquad g : M \to G.$$

The physically meaningful field configurations live in the quotient space $\mathfrak{A}/\mathcal{G}$, known as the *moduli space of connections.*

The curvature transforms covariantly:

$$\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F}^g = g\mathcal{F}g^{-1},$$

and thus the gauge-invariant quantity used to construct dynamics is built from traces over powers of $\mathcal{F}$.

5.2.3. *Yang–Mills Action and Equations of Motion.* The Yang–Mills action is:

$$S_{\mathrm{YM}}[A] = \frac{1}{2g^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{F} \wedge *\mathcal{F}) = \frac{1}{4g^2}\int d^4x\, \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}), \tag{5.14}$$

where the Hodge star is defined using $g_{\mu\nu}$, and the trace is taken in the fundamental representation, normalized as $\operatorname{Tr}(T_aT_b) = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ab}$.

Varying the connection $\mathcal{A} \mapsto \mathcal{A} + \delta\mathcal{A}$ and integrating by parts, we find

$$\delta S_{\mathrm{YM}} = \frac{1}{g^2}\int \operatorname{Tr}(\delta\mathcal{A} \wedge D{*}\mathcal{F}), \tag{5.15}$$

which yields the Yang–Mills equation:

$$D{*}\mathcal{F} = 0, \tag{5.16}$$

a second-order PDE for the connection 1-form A. In local coordinates, this becomes:

$$\nabla^\mu \mathcal{F}^a_{\mu\nu} + \epsilon_{abc}A^{\mu b}\mathcal{F}^c_{\mu\nu} = 0. \tag{5.17}$$

5.2.4. *Functional and Topological Viewpoint.* We interpret the action as a functional:

$$S_{\mathrm{YM}} : \mathfrak{A} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

with gauge-invariance $S_{\mathrm{YM}}[\mathcal{A}] = S_{\mathrm{YM}}[\mathcal{A}^g]$. Solutions to the Yang–Mills equations correspond to critical points of this functional. However, $\mathfrak{A}/\mathcal{G}$ is typically an infinite-dimensional orbifold with rich topology. In four dimensions, the space of connections breaks into distinct topological sectors labeled by:

$$k = \frac{1}{8\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{F}) \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{5.18}$$

This quantity is a characteristic class—the second Chern number—indicating that the curvature carries topological information. The Yang–Mills action satisfies the bound:

$$S_{\mathrm{YM}}[\mathcal{A}] \geq \frac{8\pi^2}{g^2}|k|,$$

which is saturated by special solutions called *instantons.* We turn to them next.

5.3. **Instantons.** (as self-dual connections)

In four Euclidean dimensions, the Hodge star operator squares to the identity on 2-forms: $** = 1$, so the curvature 2-form $\mathcal{F}$ can be decomposed as:

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}^+ + \mathcal{F}^-, \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{F}^\pm := \tfrac{1}{2}(\mathcal{F} \pm *\mathcal{F}),$$

where $\mathcal{F}^+$ is the self-dual part and $\mathcal{F}^-$ the anti-self-dual part.

A connection $\mathcal{A}$ is called *(anti-)self-dual* if its curvature satisfies:

$$\mathcal{F} = \pm * \mathcal{F}. \tag{5.19}$$

Such connections automatically satisfy the Yang–Mills equation, since:

$$D * \mathcal{F} = \pm D\mathcal{F} = 0,$$

by the Bianchi identity.

The Yang–Mills action can be rewritten using the self-dual/anti-self-dual decomposition then minimized:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\mathrm{YM}}[\mathcal{A}] &= \frac{1}{2g^2} \int \mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{F}^+ \wedge *\mathcal{F}^+ + \mathcal{F}^- \wedge *\mathcal{F}^-) \\ &= \frac{1}{2g^2} \int \left(\|\mathcal{F}^+\|^2 + \|\mathcal{F}^-\|^2\right) d^4x \\ &\geq \frac{1}{g^2} \left| \int \mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{F}) \right| = \frac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |k|. \end{aligned} \tag{5.20}$$

Equality is achieved when $\mathcal{F}^- = 0$ or $\mathcal{F}^+ = 0$. Thus, instantons are absolute minima of the Yang–Mills functional in their topological sector.

As a side note beyond our scope in this paper, the set of self-dual connections modulo gauge is known as the *instanton moduli space*:

$$\mathcal{M}_k = \{\mathcal{A} \in \mathfrak{A} : \mathcal{F}_A = *\mathcal{F}_A, \text{ with instanton number } k\} \big/ \mathcal{G}. \tag{5.21}$$

This space has finite dimension (given by the Atiyah–Singer index theorem) and rich geometric structure. For example, for $G = \mathrm{SU}(2)$, the dimension of $\mathcal{M}_k$ is $8k - 3$. These moduli spaces are crucial in Donaldson theory and related areas of differential geometry.

Instantons are non-perturbative, localized, finite-action configurations. In quantum field theory, they contribute to the path integral with weight $e^{-S_{\mathrm{YM}}}$, and are responsible for phenomena like tunneling between vacua, anomalous symmetry breaking (e.g., $U(1)_A$ anomaly in QCD), and non-trivial vacuum structure.

They embody the deep link between geometry, topology, and quantum physics: self-duality is a geometric condition, but its consequences are physical, observable, and even measurable.

5.4. **Dirac Monopoles.** The Dirac monopole provides a physically motivated example where the electromagnetic potential $\mathcal{A}$ cannot be defined globally, despite the field strength $\mathcal{F}$ being globally smooth and closed. This signals a nontrivial topology in the underlying principal bundle, characterized by the first Chern class.

To set this up, consider the magnetic field of a point monopole at the origin in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Removing the origin, the base manifold becomes $M = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\} \cong \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+$. We want to define a U(1)–connection over M whose curvature $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \mathcal{F} = n \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{5.22}$$

so that the total magnetic charge is quantized.

Remark 5.23. If $\mathcal{F} = d\mathcal{A}$ for a globally defined 1-form $\mathcal{A}$, then by Stokes' theorem, the integral of $\mathcal{F}$ over any closed surface must vanish. Hence, nonzero magnetic charge implies $\mathcal{A}$ cannot be globally defined, and the U(1)–bundle is nontrivial.

We then do a patchwise construction where we cover $\mathbb{S}^2$ with two open sets:

$$U_N = \mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \{\text{South Pole}\}, \qquad U_S = \mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \{\text{North Pole}\}.$$

Define local connections $\mathcal{A}_N$, $\mathcal{A}_S$ on each patch such that

$$\mathcal{F} = d\mathcal{A}_N = d\mathcal{A}_S \quad \text{on } U_N \cap U_S.$$

On the overlap $U_N \cap U_S$, the two potentials differ by a gauge transformation:

$$\mathcal{A}_N = \mathcal{A}_S + d\lambda, \qquad \lambda : U_N \cap U_S \to \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}.$$

The transition function $g = e^{i\lambda}$ defines a map

$$g : U_N \cap U_S \to \mathrm{U}(1),$$

and its winding number classifies the U(1)–bundle.

Definition 5.24. The first Chern class $c_1(P) \in H^2(\mathbb{S}^2, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ of the bundle is defined by

$$c_1(P) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \mathcal{F},$$

and represents the magnetic charge in units of the Dirac quantum.

Example 5.25 (Dirac Quantization Condition)**.** Suppose $\mathcal{A}_N = \frac{g}{4\pi}(1 - \cos\theta)\, d\phi$, defined away from the south pole, and $\mathcal{A}_S = -\frac{g}{4\pi}(1 + \cos\theta)\, d\phi$, defined away from the north pole. Then

$$\mathcal{A}_N - \mathcal{A}_S = \frac{g}{2\pi}\, d\phi,$$

which implies a transition function $g(\phi) = e^{ig\phi/2\pi}$ with winding number $n = \frac{g}{2\pi} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, the magnetic charge is quantized:

$$g \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}.$$

This construction illustrates how nontrivial topology obstructs the existence of a global potential, even in an abelian theory. The topology of the bundle enforces quantization of the field—linking geometry, gauge invariance, and physical observables in a deep way.

5.5. **Dictionary of Equivalences.** The mathematical structures introduced in this paper provide a geometric framework for understanding gauge theories. We conclude by summarizing key correspondences between geometric objects and their physical interpretations in the general setting of gauge theories.

In geometric terms, spacetime is a smooth manifold M and the internal symmetry is a Lie group G. A principal G–bundle $\pi : P \to M$ encodes the local gauge structure, and choosing a local section (trivialization) is a gauge choice. A connection 1–form ω on P pulls back to the local gauge potential $\mathcal{A} = s^*\omega \in \Omega^1(M, \mathfrak{g})$. Its curvature $\mathcal{F} = d\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{A}$ is the field strength, and matter fields (sections of associated bundles) are differentiated by the covariant derivative $D = d + [\mathcal{A}, \cdot\,]$.

Gauge transformations $g : M \to G$ act by $\mathcal{A} \mapsto g^{-1}\mathcal{A}g + g^{-1}dg, \qquad \mathcal{F} \mapsto g^{-1}\mathcal{F}g$, while holonomy along loops gives gauge–invariant Wilson observables. Global structure is captured by characteristic classes (e.g. for $U(1)$, the first Chern class is

$c_1 \propto [\mathcal{F}]$) and by the instanton number $k = \frac{1}{8\pi^2}\int \mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{F})$, which classifies sectors and quantizes charges. Physically distinct configurations are connections modulo gauge (the moduli of gauge fields).

This dictionary makes explicit the bridge between the language of differential geometry and the physics of gauge theories. In practice, this could be a good starting point for modern treatments of higher–form and non–invertible symmetries, anomaly inflow, and dualities.

Acknowledgements

I was supported by the Jeff Metcalf Fellowship.

I would like to thank Zijian Yao for his mentorship and support. I'm extremely grateful for your excellent and one-of-a-kind MATH 205 course, introducing me to differential forms and inspiring me to explore related concepts further.

I am grateful to Peter May for organizing the University of Chicago Math REU, for thorough feedback on this paper's first draft, and for welcoming my suggestion to include a series of lectures at the intersection of mathematics and theoretical physics. And I thank Professors Jeff Harvey and Clay Córdova for generously accepting the invitation to speak.

I owe special thanks to my professors in the physics department. I am especially grateful to Lian-Tao Wang, my research advisor, who introduced me to Nakahara's textbook which opened a new world at the intersection of geometry and physics to me. I would also like to thank David Kutasov, who is always generous with his time, and whose thoughtful conversations about physics and life mean a great deal to me. Finally, I'm very lucky to be learning QFT from Clay Córdova, whose great class has been overlapping with the editing of this final draft and who has been teaching me a lot in and outside of class.

Most importantly, I thank my family who, from across the globe, are always by my side. Their love and sacrifices keep making it possible for me to pursue my dreams.

References

[1] M. Nakahara. *Geometry, Topology and Physics* (2nd ed.). Institute of Physics Publishing. 2003.

[2] J. M. Figueroa-O'Farrill. *Gauge Theory* (6th ver.). University of Edinburgh. 2019. https://empg.maths.ed.ac.uk/Activities/EKC/GaugeTheory.pdf

[3] A. Haydys. *Introduction to Gauge Theory*. IAS/PCMI Summer School Lecture Notes. 2019. https://haydys.net/misc/IntroGaugeTheory_LectNotes.pdf

[4] C. Bär. *Gauge Theory*. University of Potsdam. 2011. https://www.math.uni-potsdam.de/fileadmin/user_upload/Prof-Geometrie/Dokumente/Lehre/Lehrmaterialien/skript-Eichtheo.pdf

[5] M. E. Peskin and D. V. Schroeder. *An Introduction to Quantum Field Theory*. Perseus Books Publishing. 1995.

[6] D. Tong. *Quantum Field Theory*. University of Cambridge. https://www.damtp.cam.ac.uk/user/tong/qft.html

[7] M. J. D. Hamilton. *Mathematical Gauge Theory: With Applications to the Standard Model of Particle Physics*. Springer International Publishing. 2017.

[8] E. Rischke. *Symmetries in Quantum Mechanics and Particle Physics*. Frankfurt Digital Summer School. 2021. https://itp.uni-frankfurt.de/~drischke/Script_Symmetries_GU2021.pdf

[9] S. Nawata. *Differential Geometry and Topology in Physics*. 2021. https://sites.google.com/site/snawata/teaching/differential-geometry-and-topology-in-physics-spring-2021