

6-24-20

THE COFFEE CUP AND BELT TRICKS AND THEIR HOMOTOPY THEORETIC EXPLANATIONS

The coffee cup + belt move in a closed path in a certain space. The cup moves in \mathbb{R}^3 , but we are more interested in its ORIENTATION, which is an angle, i. e. an element in $SO(2)$, the group of orthogonal 2×2 -matrices with determinant 1. Each such matrix has the form $\begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix}$ for angle θ .

Let $SO(3)$ denote the group of

Let $SO(3)$ denote the group of 3×3 orthogonal matrices with determinant 1. There is an inclusion homomorphism

$$SO(2) \rightarrow SO(3)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta & 0 \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The coffee cup describes a closed path in $SO(2)$

$$t \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} \cos 4\pi t & \sin 4\pi t \\ -\sin 4\pi t & \cos 4\pi t \end{bmatrix} \text{ for } 0 \leq t \leq 1$$

Claim This closed path in $SO(2)$ cannot be continuously deformed to the constant path

" " $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ $2, 11$

map

$$x \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ BUT}$$

its image in $SO(2)$ can be.

Both $SO(2)$ and $SO(3)$ can be topologized as follows.

$$SO(2) \subset \mathbb{R}^4 = \text{set of all } 2 \times 2 \text{ matrices}$$

$$SO(3) \subset \mathbb{R}^9 = \text{set of all } 3 \times 3 \text{ matrices.}$$

Definition Two continuous maps

$$f_0, f_1: X \rightarrow Y \text{ are HOMOTOPIC}$$

$$\text{if } \exists \text{ continuous map } h: X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$$

such that

$$h(x, 0) = f_0(x)$$

$$\text{and } h(x, 1) = f_1(x).$$

h is a HOMOLOGY between f_0 and f_1 .

h is a HOMOTOPY between f_0 and f_1

We can define $\forall s \in [0, 1]$

$$f_s(x) = h(x, s) \in Y$$

These f_s form a family of continuous $X \rightarrow Y$ parametrized by s .

Another interpretation:

Consider the space $\text{Map}(X, Y)$ of all continuous maps $X \rightarrow Y$.

$$f_0, f_1 \in \text{Map}(X, Y)$$

h defines a path in $\text{Map}(X, Y)$ from f_0 to f_1 .

Variation Suppose we have $x_0 \in X$
and $y \in Y$ (BASE POINTS)

and $y_0 \in Y$ (BASE POINTS)
 and $f_0(x_0) = f_1(x_0) = y_0$. We can
 require that $h(x_0, s) = y_0$
 for all $0 \leq s \leq 1$. Then h is a
 BASE POINT PRESERVING
 HOMOTOPY.

The claim is that the path
 $S^1 \rightarrow SO(2)$ described above is
 not homotopic to the constant
 map $S^1 \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, but

$$S^1 \rightarrow SO(2) \rightarrow SO(3)$$

IS HOMOTOPIC TO

$$S^1 \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Each point in my body follows

some closed paths $\in O(\mathbb{S}^1)$.

My feet did not move, so a path in my body from my right hand to my foot gives a path in the mapping space of closed paths $S^1 \rightarrow SO(\mathbb{S}^1)$.

We get the desired homotopy this way.

Consider set of homotopy classes of closed paths in (Y, y_0) , i.e. homotopy classes of maps $(S^1, *) \rightarrow (Y, y_0)$, i.e. closed paths starting and

(f homotopic to f') and $g \simeq g'$ then $(f * g) \simeq (f' * g')$

Can show that

$$(f_1 * f_2) * f_3 \simeq f_1 * (f_2 * f_3)$$

ASSOCIATIVITY

The identity element is the constant y_0 -valued path

Inverse of f is \bar{f} defined by $\bar{f}(t) = f(1-t)$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$.

This makes $\pi_1(Y, y_0)$ a group.

It is natural in that

a map $(Y, y_0) \rightarrow (Y', y'_0)$

induces a group homomorphism

$$\pi_1(Y, y_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y', y'_0)$$

$$\pi_1(Y, Y_0) \xrightarrow{\nu} \pi_1(Y', Y_0')$$

Theorem

$$\pi_1(SO(2), I) \cong \mathbb{Z} = \text{integers}$$

$$\pi_1(SO(3), I) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2 = \text{integers mod } 2$$

The inclusion map $SO(2) \rightarrow SO(3)$
induces a nontrivial
homomorphism