

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\Omega$  a non empty opens set of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then if  $R \subseteq \Omega$ , then there exists a rectangle  $R^\varepsilon$  such that  $\Omega \supset R^\varepsilon \supset (R^\varepsilon)^0 \supset R$ .*

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  a bounded subset. Then:*

$$\overline{\text{Vol}}(E) \geq (U) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_E \quad ; \quad \underline{\text{Vol}}(E) \leq (L) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_E .$$

*Proof.* Take a rectangle  $R \supseteq E$  containing  $E$ . By definition of  $\overline{\text{Vol}}(E)$  and  $(U) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_E$  For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$  there exist grids  $\mathcal{G}_1$  and a  $\mathcal{G}_2$  such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\text{Vol}}(E) &\leq V(\mathcal{G}_1, E) \leq \overline{\text{Vol}}(E) + \varepsilon \\ (U) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_E &\leq U(\chi_E, \mathcal{G}_2) \leq (U) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_E + \varepsilon . \end{aligned}$$

Refining both  $\mathcal{G}_1$  and  $\mathcal{G}_2$  with a third grid  $\mathcal{G}_3$  we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\text{Vol}}(E) &\leq V(\mathcal{G}_3, E) \leq \overline{\text{Vol}}(E) + \varepsilon \\ (U) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_E &\leq U(\chi_E, \mathcal{G}_3) \leq (U) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_E + \varepsilon . \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$V(\mathcal{G}_3, E) - U(\chi_E, \mathcal{G}_3) - \varepsilon \leq \overline{\text{Vol}}(E) - (U) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_E$$

Now for any grid  $\mathcal{G}$

$$V(\mathcal{G}, E) - U(\chi_E, \mathcal{G}) = \sum_{\substack{R_i \cap E \neq \emptyset \\ R_i \cap E = \emptyset}} |R_i| \geq 0 .$$

Hence in the above inequality we have:

$$-\varepsilon \leq V(\mathcal{G}_3, E) - U(\chi_E, \mathcal{G}_3) - \varepsilon \leq \overline{\text{Vol}}(E) - (U) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_E .$$

Since this is true for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we get the statement of the lemma for outer volume - upper integral. The case of the inner volume - lower integral is completely analogous.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  a bounded subset. Then:*

$$\overline{\text{Vol}}(E) = (U) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_E \quad ; \quad \underline{\text{Vol}}(E) = (L) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_E .$$

*Proof. Step 1.* Take a rectangle  $R \supseteq E$  containing  $E$ . By definition of  $\overline{\text{Vol}}(E)$  and  $(U) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_E$  For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$  there exist grids  $\mathcal{G}_1$  and a  $\mathcal{G}_2$  such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\text{Vol}}(E) &\leq V(\mathcal{G}_1, E) \leq \overline{\text{Vol}}(E) + \varepsilon \\ (U) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_E &\leq U(\chi_E, \mathcal{G}_2) \leq (U) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_E + \varepsilon . \end{aligned}$$

Refining both  $\mathcal{G}_1$  and  $\mathcal{G}_2$  with a third grid  $\mathcal{G}_3$  we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\text{Vol}}(E) &\leq V(\mathcal{G}_3, E) \leq \overline{\text{Vol}}(E) + \varepsilon \\ (U) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_E &\leq U(\chi_E, \mathcal{G}_3) \leq (U) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_E + \varepsilon . \end{aligned}$$

Then, by the preceding lemma, and by the preceding inequalities, we get:

$$0 \leq \overline{\text{Vol}}(E) - (U) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_E \leq V(\mathcal{G}_3, E) - U(\chi_E, \mathcal{G}_3) + \varepsilon .$$

Moreover for any refinement  $\mathcal{H}$  of  $\mathcal{G}_3$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\text{Vol}}(E) &\leq V(\mathcal{H}, E) \leq V(\mathcal{G}_3, E) \leq \overline{\text{Vol}}(E) + \varepsilon \\ (U) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_E &\leq U(\chi_E, \mathcal{H}) \leq U(\chi_E, \mathcal{G}_3) \leq (U) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_E + \varepsilon , \end{aligned}$$

and hence:

$$0 \leq \overline{\text{Vol}}(E) - (U) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_E \leq V(\mathcal{H}, E) - U(\chi_E, \mathcal{H}) + \varepsilon . \quad (0.1)$$

We just have to prove now that we can find a refinement  $\mathcal{H}$  of  $\mathcal{G}_3$  such that  $V(\mathcal{H}, E) - U(\chi_E, \mathcal{H}) \leq \varepsilon$ .

*Step 2.* For any grid  $\mathcal{G}$  on  $R$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} V(\mathcal{G}, E) - U(\chi_E, \mathcal{G}) &= \sum_{R_i \cap \bar{E} \neq \emptyset} |R_i| - \sum_{R_i \cap E \neq \emptyset} |R_i| \\ &= \sum_{\substack{R_i \cap \bar{E} \neq \emptyset \\ R_i \cap E = \emptyset}} |R_i| \end{aligned}$$

But now we have:

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{G}, E) := \{R_i \in \mathcal{G} \mid R_i \cap \bar{E} \neq \emptyset, R_i \cap E = \emptyset\} = \{R_i \in \mathcal{G} \mid \emptyset \neq R_i \cap \bar{E} = R_i \cap \partial E \subseteq \partial R_i \cap \partial E, R_i \cap E = \emptyset\}$$

This means if  $R_i$  intersects  $\bar{E}$ , but it does not intersect  $E$ , then  $R_i$  intersects  $\bar{E}$  only at the boundary of  $E$  (which is obvious, since  $R_i$  does not intersect  $E$ ) and contemporarily at its own boundary  $\partial R_i$ . The inclusion “ $\supseteq$ ” is obvious, while the inclusion “ $\subseteq$ ” can be proved as follows: if  $x \in R_i \cap \bar{E}$ , and  $R_i \cap E = \emptyset$ , then  $x \in \partial E$  (since  $x \in \bar{E} \setminus E$ ). But if  $x \in R_i^\circ$ , then  $R_i^\circ$  would be a neighbourhood of  $x$ , and since  $x \in \partial E$ , any neighbourhood of  $x$  intersects  $E$ , Then we would have  $R_i \cap E \supseteq R_i^\circ \cap E \neq \emptyset$ , which is a contradiction. Then  $R_i \cap \bar{E} \subseteq R_i \cap \partial E \subseteq \partial R_i \cap \partial E$ .

*Step 3.* Suppose now that  $R = \prod_{i=1}^n [a_i, b_i]$ ,  $a_i < b_i$  and the grid  $\mathcal{G}_3$  is given by partitions:  $\mathcal{P}_i(\mathcal{G}_3) = \{a_i, x_1^i, \dots, x_{k_i}^i, b_i\}$ . Define the grid  $\mathcal{H}_\delta$  by partitions

$$\mathcal{P}_i(\mathcal{H}_\delta) = \mathcal{P}_i(\mathcal{G}_3) \cup \{a_i + \delta, x_1^i - \delta, x_1^i + \delta, \dots, x_{k_i}^i - \delta, x_{k_i}^i + \delta, b_i - \delta\} .$$

Take  $\delta$  so small that  $x_j^i + \delta < x_{j+1}^i - \delta$  for any  $i$  and  $j$ , and  $a_i + \delta < x_1^i - \delta$ ,  $x_{k_i}^i + \delta < b_i - \delta$ . The grid  $\mathcal{H}_\delta$  refines  $\mathcal{G}_3$ . Set  $\mathcal{G}_3 = \{R_i\}$ . Set  $\mathcal{H}_\delta = \{Q_i\}$ . There are two kinds of  $Q_i$ :

$$\mathcal{H}_\delta = \mathcal{A}_\delta \amalg \mathcal{B}_\delta ,$$

where  $\mathcal{A}_\delta = \{Q_i^\delta \in \mathcal{H}_\delta \mid Q_i^\delta \cap \partial R_j \neq \emptyset \exists R_j \in \mathcal{G}_3\}$ ; these are exactly the rectangles  $Q_i^\delta$  that have at least one of their sides containing a point in  $\mathcal{P}_i(\mathcal{G}_3)$ . The set  $\mathcal{B}_\delta$  is defined as  $\{L_i^\delta \in \mathcal{H}_\delta \mid L_i^\delta \subseteq R_j^\delta \exists R_j \in \mathcal{G}_3\}$ . These rectangles  $L_i$  are characterized by the property that all their sides do not contain any point in  $\mathcal{P}_i(\mathcal{G}_3)$ . Remark for any  $R_i \in \mathcal{G}_3$ , every side of  $R_i$  remains divided in 3 parts: hence the whole  $R_i$  remains divided in  $3^n$  rectangles, of which only one in the interior, and hence in  $\mathcal{B}_\delta$ , while the remaining  $3^n - 1$  touch the boundary  $\partial R_i$ , and hence are in  $\mathcal{A}_\delta$ . Then we have:  $R_i = L_i^\delta \cup Q_{i_1}^\delta \cup \dots \cup Q_{i_{3^n-1}}^\delta$ , where  $L_i \in \mathcal{B}_\delta$ ,  $Q_j^\delta \in \mathcal{A}_\delta$ . Remark moreover that the function  $|R_i| - |L_i^\delta|$  is continuous in  $\delta$  and is 0 for  $\delta = 0$ .

This means that for any  $\varepsilon' > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|R_i| - |L_i^\delta| \leq \varepsilon'$ . Moreover the function  $|R_i| - |L_i^\delta|$  is strictly increasing in  $[0, l]$ , where  $l$  is lower than any of the sides of  $R_i$ .

*Step 4.* The idea is now clear: we want to use a partition  $\mathcal{H}_\delta$  to get a good estimate in (0.1). We get

$$V(\mathcal{H}_\delta, E) - U(\chi_E, \mathcal{H}_\delta) = \sum_{T_i \in S(\mathcal{H}_\delta, E)} |T_i|.$$

Now we have:

$$S(\mathcal{H}_\delta, E) = (S(\mathcal{H}_\delta, E) \cap \mathcal{A}_\delta) \coprod (S(\mathcal{H}_\delta, E) \cap \mathcal{B}_\delta).$$

We show now that if  $\delta$  is sufficiently small  $(S(\mathcal{H}_\delta, E) \cap \mathcal{B}_\delta) = \emptyset$ . It is clear that if  $L_i^\delta \in (S(\mathcal{H}_\delta, E) \cap \mathcal{B}_\delta)$  then  $L_i^{\delta'} \notin (S(\mathcal{H}_\delta, E) \cap \mathcal{B}_\delta)$  for any  $\delta > \delta' > 0$ , because  $(L_i^{\delta'})^\circ \supset L_i^\delta$ , and then if  $L_i^\delta$  intersects  $E$ , then  $L_i^{\delta'}$  would intersect  $E$  ( $(L_i^{\delta'})^\circ$ ) would be a neighbourhood of any point in  $L_i^\delta$ . So decreasing  $\delta$  we are sure that elements that could be in  $(S(\mathcal{H}_\delta, E) \cap \mathcal{B}_\delta)$  would disappear.

The problem is that we could create new elements in  $(S(\mathcal{H}_\delta, E) \cap \mathcal{B}_\delta)$  from a rectangle  $L_i^\delta$  that was not in  $(S(\mathcal{H}_\delta, E) \cap \mathcal{B}_\delta)$ . But now if  $L_i^\delta \notin (S(\mathcal{H}_\delta, E) \cap \mathcal{B}_\delta)$  this means in particular that  $L_i^\delta \cap E \neq \emptyset$ , or that  $L_i^\delta \subseteq \bar{E}^c$ , and now  $\bar{E}^c$  is open. For rectangles  $L_i^\delta$  such that  $L_i^\delta \cap E \neq \emptyset$ , there is no problems, since decreasing  $\delta$ , they will continue to intersect  $E$ , and then they will remain out of  $(S(\mathcal{H}_\delta, E) \cap \mathcal{B}_\delta)$ . For the others  $L_i^\delta$ , such that  $L_i^\delta \subseteq \bar{E}^c$ , by lemma 1, there exists  $\eta_i < \delta$  such that for any  $\eta_i < \delta' < \delta$  such that  $L_i^{\delta'} \subseteq \bar{E}^c$ .

Take now  $\delta > \delta' > \max\{\eta_i\}$ , for all  $i$ . Then we have that the two conditions are satisfied and  $(S(\mathcal{H}_{\delta'}, E) \cap \mathcal{B}_{\delta'}) = \emptyset$ .

*Step 6.* For this grid  $\mathcal{H}_{\delta'}$ , refining  $\mathcal{G}_3$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} V(\mathcal{H}_{\delta'}, E) - U(\chi_E, \mathcal{H}_{\delta'}) &= \sum_{T_i \in S(\mathcal{H}_{\delta'}, E)} |T_i| \\ &\leq \sum_{Q_i^\delta \in S(\mathcal{H}_{\delta'}, E) \cap \mathcal{A}_\delta} |Q_i^\delta| \\ &\leq \sum_i |R_i| - |L_i^{\delta'}| \leq \varepsilon' C \end{aligned}$$

where  $C$  is the number of rectangles  $R_i$ . If in step 3,  $\varepsilon'$  was chosen smaller than  $\varepsilon/C$ , we now have  $V(\mathcal{H}_{\delta'}, E) - U(\chi_E, \mathcal{H}_{\delta'}) \leq \varepsilon$ , as small as we want. This estimate gives:

$$0 \leq \overline{\text{Vol}}(E) - (U) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_E \leq V(\mathcal{H}_{\delta'}, E) - U(\chi_E, \mathcal{H}_{\delta'}) + \varepsilon \leq 2\varepsilon.$$

This proves the theorem for the equality outer volume - upper integral.

For the statement on inner volume - lower integral the proof is completely analogous: with the same notations as above:

1) we can take a grid  $\mathcal{G}_3$  such that

$$0 \leq (L) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_E - \underline{\text{Vol}}(E) \leq L(\chi_E, \mathcal{G}_3) - v(\mathcal{G}_3, E) + \varepsilon.$$

2) We remark that for any grid  $\mathcal{G}$  we have

$$L(\chi_E, \mathcal{G}) - v(\mathcal{G}, E) = \sum_{\substack{R_i \subseteq E \\ R_i \cap \partial E \neq \emptyset}} |R_i|$$

- 3) We remark that if  $R_i \subseteq E$ ,  $R_i \cap \partial E \neq \emptyset$ , then  $R_i \cap \partial E \subseteq \partial R_i \cap \partial E$ . Setting  $R(\mathcal{G}, E) = \{R_i \in \mathcal{G} \mid R_i \subseteq E, R_i \cap \partial E \neq \emptyset\}$
- 4) Take  $\mathcal{H}_\delta$ , refinement of  $\mathcal{G}_3$ , for  $\delta$  small, and decompose it in  $\mathcal{A}_\delta$  and  $\mathcal{B}_\delta$ . Then  $R(\mathcal{H}_\delta, E) = (R(\mathcal{H}_\delta, E) \cap \mathcal{A}_\delta) \sqcup (R(\mathcal{H}_\delta, E) \cap \mathcal{B}_\delta)$ .
- 5) We can then choose  $\delta' < \delta$  such that  $R(\mathcal{H}_{\delta'}, E) \cap \mathcal{B}_{\delta'} = \emptyset$ .
- 6) Then

$$L(\chi_E, \mathcal{H}_{\delta'}) - v(\mathcal{H}_{\delta'}, E) = \sum_{Q_i \in R(\mathcal{H}_{\delta'}, E) \cap \mathcal{A}_{\delta'}} |Q_i| \leq \sum_{Q_i \in \mathcal{A}_{\delta'}} |Q_i| \leq \sum_i |R_i| - |L_i^{\delta'}| \leq \varepsilon' C \leq \varepsilon$$

if  $\varepsilon'$  is chosen sufficiently small.

Then, since  $\mathcal{H}_{\delta'}$  is a refinement of  $\mathcal{G}_3$ , we get:

$$0 \leq (L) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_E - \underline{\text{Vol}}(E) \leq L(\chi_E, \mathcal{H}_{\delta'}) - v(\mathcal{H}_{\delta'}, E) + \varepsilon \leq 2\varepsilon$$

□

**Corollary 4.** *Let  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  a bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $E$  is a Jordan region if and only if  $\chi_E \in \text{Ri}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .*

*In this case*

$$\text{Vol}(E) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_E = \int_E 1.$$