

Theta Functions, Old and New

Arnaud Beauville, presented by Nat Mayer

May 7, 2020

Line bundles on abelian varieties

Definition

A *theta function* is a holomorphic function on $\mathbb{C}^g$ which is quasi-periodic with respect to a lattice of full rank. Equivalently, it is a section of a line bundle on an abelian variety.

Line bundles on abelian varieties

Definition

A *theta function* is a holomorphic function on $\mathbb{C}^g$ which is quasi-periodic with respect to a lattice of full rank. Equivalently, it is a section of a line bundle on an abelian variety.

- Let T be an abelian variety
 $T = V/\Gamma$
 $V \cong \mathbb{C}^g, \quad \mathbb{Z}^{2g} \cong \Gamma$
- Want to understand the Picard group
$$\begin{aligned} \text{Pic}(T) &:= (\{\text{Complex line bundles on } T\}, \otimes) \\ &\cong H^1(T; \mathcal{O}_T^*) \end{aligned}$$

Exponential sheaf sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_T \xrightarrow{\exp} \mathcal{O}_T^* \rightarrow 0$$

$$f \mapsto e^{2\pi i f}$$

Exponential sheaf sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_T \xrightarrow{\exp} \mathcal{O}_T^* \rightarrow 0$$

$$f \mapsto e^{2\pi i f}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{Pic}(T) & \xrightarrow{c_1} & H^2(T; \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H^2(T; \mathcal{O}_T) \\ & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ & & H^2(T; \mathbb{C}) = H^{2,0} \oplus H^{1,1} \oplus H^{0,2} & \longrightarrow & H^{0,2}(T) \end{array}$$

Exponential sheaf sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_T \xrightarrow{\exp} \mathcal{O}_T^* \rightarrow 0$$

$$f \mapsto e^{2\pi if}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{Pic}(T) & \xrightarrow{c_1} & H^2(T; \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & H^2(T; \mathcal{O}_T) \\ & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ & & H^2(T; \mathbb{C}) = H^{2,0} \oplus H^{1,1} \oplus H^{0,2} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & H^{0,2}(T) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(\mathrm{Pic}(T)) &= NS(T) := H^2(T; \mathbb{Z}) \cap H^{1,1}(T) \\ &= \{E : \Lambda^2 V \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \mathbb{Z}\text{-valued on } \Gamma, E(iz, iw) = E(z, w)\} \\ &= \{H : V^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ Hermitian} \mid E = \mathrm{Im}(H) \text{ } \mathbb{Z}\text{-valued on } \Gamma\} \end{aligned}$$

Exponential sheaf sequence, cont.

$$\mathrm{Pic}^0(T) := \ker(c_1)$$

Exponential sheaf sequence, cont.

$$\mathrm{Pic}^0(T) := \ker(c_1)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R} & \xrightarrow{\exp} & S^1 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_T & \xrightarrow{\exp} & \mathcal{O}_T^* \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Exponential sheaf sequence, cont.

$$\mathrm{Pic}^0(T) := \ker(c_1)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R} & \xrightarrow{\exp} & S^1 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_T & \xrightarrow{\exp} & \mathcal{O}_T^* \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(T; \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(T; \mathbb{R}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(T; S^1) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(T; \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H^{0,1}(T) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Pic}^0(T) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Exponential sheaf sequence, cont.

$$\mathrm{Pic}^0(T) := \ker(c_1)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R} & \xrightarrow{\exp} & S^1 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_T & \xrightarrow{\exp} & \mathcal{O}_T^* \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(T; \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(T; \mathbb{R}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(T; S^1) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(T; \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H^{0,1}(T) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Pic}^0(T) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

$$\therefore \mathrm{Pic}^0(T) \cong H^1(T; S^1) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, S^1)$$

Systems of multipliers

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} V \times \mathbb{C} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{L} & \longrightarrow & L \\ & & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ & & V & \longrightarrow & T \end{array}$$

Systems of multipliers

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} V \times \mathbb{C} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{L} & \longrightarrow & L \\ & & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ & & V & \longrightarrow & T \end{array}$$

$$L \cong V \times \mathbb{C} / \Gamma$$

$$\gamma \cdot (z, t) = (z + \gamma, e_\gamma(z)t)$$

$$e_\gamma \in H^0(V; \mathcal{O}_V^*)$$

$$e_{\gamma+\delta}(z) = e_\gamma(z + \delta)e_\delta(z)$$

Systems of multipliers

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} V \times \mathbb{C} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{L} & \longrightarrow & L \\ & & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ & & V & \longrightarrow & T \end{array}$$

$$L \cong V \times \mathbb{C} / \Gamma$$

$$\gamma \cdot (z, t) = (z + \gamma, e_\gamma(z)t)$$

$$e_\gamma \in H^0(V; \mathcal{O}_V^*)$$

$$e_{\gamma+\delta}(z) = e_\gamma(z + \delta)e_\delta(z)$$

L is trivial $\iff$ it has a nonvanishing section, which lifts to $h(z)$ on V such that

$$e_\gamma(z) = \frac{h(z + \gamma)}{h(z)}.$$

Systems of multipliers

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} V \times \mathbb{C} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{L} & \longrightarrow & L \\ & & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ & & V & \longrightarrow & T \end{array}$$

$$L \cong V \times \mathbb{C} / \Gamma$$

$$\gamma \cdot (z, t) = (z + \gamma, e_\gamma(z)t)$$

$$e_\gamma \in H^0(V; \mathcal{O}_V^*)$$

$$e_{\gamma+\delta}(z) = e_\gamma(z + \delta)e_\delta(z)$$

L is trivial $\iff$ it has a nonvanishing section, which lifts to $h(z)$ on V such that

$$e_\gamma(z) = \frac{h(z + \gamma)}{h(z)}.$$

Remark. $\text{Pic}^0(T) \cong H^1(\Gamma, H^0(V; \mathcal{O}_V^*))$

Systems of multipliers associated to Hermitian forms

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P} := \{ (H, \alpha) \mid & H \text{ Hermitian on } V, \\ & E = \operatorname{Im}(H) \text{ } \mathbb{Z}\text{-valued on } \Gamma, \\ & \alpha : \Gamma \rightarrow S^1 \\ & \alpha(\gamma + \delta) = \alpha(\gamma)\alpha(\delta)(-1)^{E(\gamma, \delta)} \}\end{aligned}$$

Systems of multipliers associated to Hermitian forms

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P} := \{ (H, \alpha) \mid & H \text{ Hermitian on } V, \\ & E = \operatorname{Im}(H) \text{ } \mathbb{Z}\text{-valued on } \Gamma, \\ & \alpha : \Gamma \rightarrow S^1 \\ & \alpha(\gamma + \delta) = \alpha(\gamma)\alpha(\delta)(-1)^{E(\gamma, \delta)} \}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & \mathcal{P} & & & \\ & & \nearrow & & \searrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Hom}(\Gamma, S^1) & & & \operatorname{NS}(T) & \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \searrow & & \nearrow & & \\ & & \operatorname{Pic}(T) & & & & \end{array}$$

Systems of multipliers associated to Hermitian forms

$$\mathcal{P} := \{(H, \alpha) \mid \begin{array}{l} H \text{ Hermitian on } V, \\ E = \text{Im}(H) \text{ } \mathbb{Z}\text{-valued on } \Gamma, \\ \alpha : \Gamma \rightarrow S^1 \\ \alpha(\gamma + \delta) = \alpha(\gamma)\alpha(\delta)(-1)^{E(\gamma, \delta)} \end{array}\}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & \mathcal{P} & & & \\ & \nearrow & & \downarrow \cong L & \searrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(\Gamma, S^1) & & & \text{NS}(T) & \longrightarrow 0 \\ & \searrow & & \text{Pic}(T) & \nearrow & & \end{array}$$

$$L(H, \alpha) \text{ defined by multipliers } e_\gamma(z) = \alpha(\gamma)e^{\pi[H(\gamma, z) + \frac{1}{2}H(\gamma, \gamma)]}$$

Theorem of the square

Lemma

For $a \in V$, let $t_a: T \rightarrow T$ be translation by a . Then $t_a^ L(H, \alpha) = L(H, \alpha')$, where $\alpha'(\gamma) = \alpha(\gamma) e^{2\pi i E(\gamma, a)}$.*

Theorem of the square

Lemma

For $a \in V$, let $t_a : T \rightarrow T$ be translation by a . Then $t_a^* L(H, \alpha) = L(H, \alpha')$, where $\alpha'(\gamma) = \alpha(\gamma) e^{2\pi i E(\gamma, a)}$.

Theorem (Theorem of the square)

Let $L \in \text{Pic}(T)$ with Chern class $E \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda^2 \Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$ a unimodular form. Then the map

$$\lambda_L : T \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(T)$$

$$\lambda_L(a) = t_a^* L \otimes L^{-1}$$

is an isomorphism.

Theta functions, polarizations

Definition

A *theta function* for the system of multipliers $\{e_\gamma\}$ defining is a holomorphic function θ on V satisfying

$$\theta(z + \gamma) = e_\gamma(z)\theta(z).$$

Equivalently, it is a section of the line bundle defined by those multipliers.

Theta functions, polarizations

Definition

A *theta function* for the system of multipliers $\{e_\gamma\}$ defining is a holomorphic function θ on V satisfying

$$\theta(z + \gamma) = e_\gamma(z)\theta(z).$$

Equivalently, it is a section of the line bundle defined by those multipliers.

Definition

A *polarization* of T is a *positive definite* Hermitian form on V such that $E = \text{Im}(H)$ is $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued on Γ . The polarization is *principal* if E is unimodular. We abbreviate “principally polarized abelian variety” by p.p.a.v.

Counting theta functions

Theorem

Let H be a principal polarization on T . Then for any α ,

$$h^0(T; L(H, \alpha)^{\otimes d}) = d^g.$$

These sections are called “theta functions of degree d ” for $L(H, \alpha)$.

Counting theta functions

Theorem

Let H be a principal polarization on T . Then for any α ,

$$h^0(T; L(H, \alpha)^{\otimes d}) = d^g.$$

These sections are called “theta functions of degree d ” for $L(H, \alpha)$.

Proof idea.

- α is irrelevant by the theorem of the square.
- Choose a symplectic basis $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_g, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_g\}$ for E
- By choosing a particular α and modifying by a coboundary, find a system of multipliers for $L(H, \alpha)$ with $e_{\gamma_i}(z) = 1$.
- Fourier expand and use quasi-periodicity to relate the coefficients.



Explicit formulas

Definition

Let (T, H) be a p.p.a.v., and $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_g, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_g\}$ be a symplectic basis for E . Then the γ_i form a basis for V over $\mathbb{C}$, and the matrix τ expressing the δ_j in terms of the γ_i is the *period matrix*.

Explicit formulas

Definition

Let (T, H) be a p.p.a.v., and $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_g, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_g\}$ be a symplectic basis for E . Then the γ_i form a basis for V over $\mathbb{C}$, and the matrix τ expressing the δ_j in terms of the γ_i is the *period matrix*.

Corollary

Having chosen a symplectic basis for a p.p.a.v., the unique theta function of degree 1 has formula

$$\theta(z) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^g} e^{2\pi i (\text{}^t m z + \frac{1}{2} \text{}^t m \tau m)}.$$

A basis for the theta functions of degree d is given by

$$\theta[\varepsilon](z) = \sum_{m \in \varepsilon} e^{2\pi i (\text{}^t m z + \frac{1}{2d} \text{}^t m \tau m)}, \quad \varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}^g / d\mathbb{Z}^g$$

Consequences

- The existence of theta functions shows that any p.p.a.v. is a projective variety.

Consequences

- The existence of theta functions shows that any p.p.a.v. is a projective variety.
- A *Theta divisor* Θ is the vanishing locus of a theta function. By the theorem of the square, “the” theta divisor of a p.p.a.v. is well defined up to translation (but not up to linear equivalence).

Consequences

- The existence of theta functions shows that any p.p.a.v. is a projective variety.
- A *Theta divisor* Θ is the vanishing locus of a theta function. By the theorem of the square, “the” theta divisor of a p.p.a.v. is well defined up to translation (but not up to linear equivalence).
- The explicit formula above is invariant under $i_T : z \mapsto -z$, so the corresponding theta divisor (denoted Θ_τ) is symmetric. Again from the theorem of the square we can deduce that $t_a^* \Theta_\tau$ is symmetric if and only if a is a 2-torsion point.

- It follows from the above that the linear system $|2\Theta|$ is the same for any of the 2^g symmetric divisors Θ , so this linear system is canonically associated to a p.p.a.v.

Theorem

For Θ symmetric and irreducible, the canonical map $\phi_{2\Theta} : T \rightarrow |2\Theta|^$ factors through $T/i_T = K$ (the Kummer variety of T) and gives an embedding of K .*

Jacobians

Let C be a genus g curve. Its *Jacobian*

$$JC := H^{0,1}(C)/H^1(C; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{Pic}^0(C)$$

is a g -dimensional abelian variety with a canonical principal polarization given by the cup product.

Jacobians

Let C be a genus g curve. Its *Jacobian*

$$JC := H^{0,1}(C)/H^1(C; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{Pic}^0(C)$$

is a g -dimensional abelian variety with a canonical principal polarization given by the cup product.

For each d , after fixing a degree d divisor D_d we can define the *Abel-Jacobi map*

$$\begin{aligned} C^{(d)} := C^d/S_d &\xrightarrow{\alpha_d} JC \\ E &\longmapsto \mathcal{O}_C(E - D_d) \end{aligned}$$

Riemann's Theorem

Theorem

α_d is generically injective for $d \leq g$. In particular it is birational for $d = g$.

Riemann's Theorem

Theorem

α_d is generically injective for $d \leq g$. In particular it is birational for $d = g$.

Theorem (Riemann)

The image of $\alpha_{g-1} : C^{(g-1)} \rightarrow JC$ is a theta divisor.

Riemann's Theorem

Theorem

α_d is generically injective for $d \leq g$. In particular it is birational for $d = g$.

Theorem (Riemann)

The image of $\alpha_{g-1} : C^{(g-1)} \rightarrow JC$ is a theta divisor.

Writing $L = \mathcal{O}_C(D_{g-1})$, this gives us another way of describing the theta divisor:

$$\Theta_L = \{M \in JC \mid H^0(M \otimes L) \neq 0\}$$

Higher rank

The role analogous to that of the Jacobian will be played by $\mathcal{M}(r)$, the moduli space of polystable rank r vector bundles E on C such that $\det(E) := \Lambda^r E = \mathcal{O}_C$.

Higher rank

The role analogous to that of the Jacobian will be played by $\mathcal{M}(r)$, the moduli space of polystable rank r vector bundles E on C such that $\det(E) := \Lambda^r E = \mathcal{O}_C$.

Facts:

- $\dim \mathcal{M}(r) = (r^2 - 1)(g - 1)$
- $\mathcal{M}(r)$ is irreducible, normal, projective, with only mild singularities.
- $\mathcal{M}(r)$ is unirational. This is *very* far from being an abelian variety.

Generalized theta functions

Let J^d denote the space of line bundles of degree d . Fix some $L \in J^{g-1}$. In analogy with the theta divisor of the Jacobian, we define

$$\Delta_L = \{E \in \mathcal{M}(r) \mid H^0(E \otimes L) \neq 0\}.$$

Also, for each $E \in \mathcal{M}(r)$ we define the locus

$$\theta(E) = \{L \in J^{g-1} \mid H^0(E \otimes L) \neq 0\}$$

Theorem

$|\theta(E)|$ is either all of J^{g-1} or is a divisor in the linear system $|r\Theta|$.

Generalized theta functions, cont.

Theorem

$|\theta(E)|$ is either all of J^{g-1} or is a divisor in the linear system $|r\Theta|$.

It follows from the proof that θ defines a rational map $\mathcal{M}(r) \rightarrow |r\Theta|$. The corresponding linear system on $\mathcal{M}(r)$ is $|\Delta_L|$.

Generalized theta functions, cont.

Theorem

$|\theta(E)|$ is either all of J^{g-1} or is a divisor in the linear system $|r\Theta|$.

It follows from the proof that θ defines a rational map $\mathcal{M}(r) \rightarrow |r\Theta|$. The corresponding linear system on $\mathcal{M}(r)$ is $|\Delta_L|$.

This map is reasonably well understood for $r = 2$. For example:

- For $g = 2$, $\theta : \mathcal{M}(2) \rightarrow |2\Theta|$ is an isomorphism.
- For $g \geq 3$, C not hyperelliptic, θ is an embedding.

Beyond that, very little is known!