

Distinguished elements

(1)

We ^{usually} assume from now on that our rings A are "p-local", i.e. that $p \in \text{Rad}(A)$.

(Recall that $\text{Rad}(A)$, the Jacobson radical of A , is the intersection of all the maximal ideals of A . It has another characterization which is more important for our purposes:

$$a \in \text{Rad}(A) \quad \text{iff} \quad 1+ax \text{ is a unit in } A \\ \forall x \in A.$$

If A is I -adically complete, then

$$I \subseteq \text{Rad}(A) : \quad \text{if } r \in I, \text{ then } y := ax \in I \quad \forall x \in A,$$

and $1+y$ is a unit: its inverse is

$$1 - y + y^2 - y^3 + \dots$$

If $I \subseteq \text{Rad}(A)$, then the diagram (2)
is an ideal

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^\times & \longrightarrow & (A/I)^\times \\ \cap & & \cap \\ A & \longrightarrow & A/I \end{array}$$

is Cartesian (i.e. $a \in A$ is a unit
iff it is a unit mod I),

and conversely, if this diagram is Cartesian,
then $I \subseteq \text{Rad}(A)$.

If $I \subseteq A$ is any ideal, and
 $S \subseteq A$ is the preimage of $(A/I)^\times$ under
the quotient map, then

S is a multiplicative subset of A ,
and $I \cdot A_S \subseteq \text{Rad}(A_S)$.

The localization A_S is an initial object
in the category of A -algebras B for which
 $I B \subseteq \text{Rad}(B)$. [Also, it is equivalent to localize
at $T = 1 + I$])

We call this process "localizing along I ".

Before getting to distinguished elements,
we note a few more δ -ring facts.

(i) S and \mathcal{C} commute.

(This makes intuitive sense if you think of

$$S \text{ as } \mathcal{C}(x) := \frac{\mathcal{C}(x) - x^p}{p}$$

and can be checked formally from the axioms
for δ and the formula $\mathcal{C}(x) = x^p + p\delta(x)$.

You can also check it in the universal case
of $\mathbb{Z}\langle x \rangle$, which is torsion free, so that the intuitive
~~argument is valid~~ argument is valid.

(ii) If I is an ideal of A for which $p \in I$, (4)
 then $\varphi(I) \subseteq I$.

(Indeed, $\varphi(a) = a^p + p f(a) \equiv a^p \pmod{I}$, for any $a \in A$)

In particular

(iii) If A is p -local, i.e. if $p \in \text{Rad}(A)$, then

$\varphi(\text{Rad}(A)) \subseteq \text{Rad}(A)$. In fact $\varphi^{-1}(\text{Rad}(A)) = \text{Rad}(A)$

$(\varphi(a) = a^p + p f(a) \in \text{Rad}(A) \Leftrightarrow a^p \in \text{Rad}(A) \Leftrightarrow a \in \text{Rad}(A)$, since $\text{Rad}(A)$ is a radical ideal.)

Also

(iv) If A is p -local, then $a \in A^\times$ iff $\varphi(a) \in A^\times$

iff $(a, \varphi(a)) = A$.

(Since $p \in \text{Rad}(A)$, we know that $a \in A^\times$

iff $\bar{a} := a \pmod{p}$ is a unit in A/p , and

similarly for $\overline{\varphi(a)} = \varphi(\bar{a}) = \bar{a}^p$.

Also $(a, \varphi(a)) = A$ iff $(a, \varphi(a), p) = A$ iff

$(\bar{a}, \overline{\varphi(a)}) = A/p$.

"
 (\bar{a}, \bar{a}^p)

Thus the claim reduces to the obvious claim that

$\bar{a} \in (A/p)^\times$ iff $\bar{a}^p \in (A/p)^\times$ iff $(\bar{a}) \in (\overline{(\bar{a}, \bar{a}^p)}) = A/p$

(v) If $S \subseteq A$ is a multiplicative subset that is φ -stable (i.e. $\varphi(S) \subseteq S$) then there is a unique δ -ring structure on the localization A_S that is compatible with the δ -ring structure on A .

(Use free δ -rings to check a surjection

$B \xrightarrow{\pi} A$ of δ -rings, with B being p -torsion free. Let $T := \pi^{-1}(S)$; $\varphi|_T$ is a φ -stable multiplicative subset of B . Then $\varphi|_T$ extends uniquely to $\tilde{\varphi}$ on B_T , and $\tilde{\varphi}$ again lifts to $\tilde{\varphi}$ mod p . B/c B_T is p -torsion free, we get $\tilde{\delta}$ on B_T , giving it a δ -ring structure. [And since $\tilde{\varphi}$ is the unique extension of φ , we see $\tilde{\delta}$ is the unique extension of δ .] Then $A_S = A \otimes_B B_T$ gets the coproduct δ -ring structure.)

If we combine (ii) and (v), we find

(6)

(vi) If $I \subseteq A$ is an ideal s.t. $p \in I$,
and if $f' := \text{preimage in } A \text{ of } (A/I)^*$, then
 $A_{f'}$ has a unique f -ring structure compatible
with the one on A .

We now make the following def'n.

(And we assume that our f -rings are
 p -local, ~~so~~ ^{i.e.} that $p \in \text{Rad}(A)$).

Def'n: An element $d \in A$ is called
distinguished if $f(d)$ lies in A^* .

By (i) and (iv) above, d is distinguished
iff $\varphi(d)$ is distinguished.

We will often assume that $d \in \text{Rad}(A)$. By (iii) above,
this holds iff $\varphi(d) \in \text{Rad}(A)$.

The following lemma gives an ideal theoretic characterization of distinguished elements. ⑦

↳ [Lemma 1.8 of Blatt's 3rd lecture]

Lemma If $p, d \in \text{Rad}(A)$, then d is distinguished iff $p \in (d, \varphi(d))$.

Pf: If $f(d)$ is a unit, then the formula $\varphi(d) = d^p + p f(d)$ shows that $p \in (d, \varphi(d))$.

Conversely, suppose $p = a d + b \varphi(d)$
 $\exists a, b \in A$.

Applying f , we find

$$1 - p^{p-1} \in a^p S(d) + b^p \varphi(S(d)) + \text{Rad}(A)$$

$$\therefore 1 \in (S(d), \varphi(S(d)))$$

(iv) above now shows that $S(d) \in A^\times$. □

Our next result will let us move the "distinguished" property from principal ideals to locally principal ideals. One subtlety in doing this is that the property of being a \mathcal{D} -ring does not localize over $\text{Spec } A$.

Rather, property ^(V) ~~(VI)~~ above constrains the kinds of localizations we can perform.

For purposes of orientation, we recall some background facts related to radicals and localization:

- If A is a ring, and $\bar{I} \subseteq \text{Rad}(A)$, then the only open subset of $\text{Spec } A$ that contains $V(\bar{I}) := \text{Spec } A/\bar{I}$ is $\text{Spec } A$ itself.

(Indeed, any non-empty closed subset of $\text{Spec } A$ contains a closed pt.,

and thus has non-empty intersection with $V(I)$,

since $I \subseteq \text{Rad } A$, i.e. $I \subseteq$ every maximal ideal of A .)

• Another way to phrase the previous point is that if $U \xrightarrow{j} \text{Spec } A$ is an open immersion whose image contains $V(I)$, then j is surjective. This formulation generalizes to arbitrary flat morphisms, as we explain.

• First recall that flat morphisms satisfy "going down": if $\text{Spec } B \xrightarrow{f} \text{Spec } A$ is flat, then the image of f is closed under generalization.

(Proof: Let $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ be prime ideals of A , corresponding to pts. $y \rightsquigarrow x$ of $\text{Spec } A$, and assume x is the image of f . Pulling back over $\text{Spec } A_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$, we may assume that x is closed. Then pulling back over $\overline{\{y\}}$ with its reduced induced structure,

we may assume that A is a ~~local~~ domain,
and that y ~~corresponds~~ is the generic point of $\text{Spec } A$.

By assumption $\text{Spec } B$ has non-empty image, so

$B \neq 0$. Then, by flatness, each element $a \in A - \{0\}$

is a non-zer divisor on B , so if we write $S = A - \{0\}$,

then $B_S \neq 0$, and the image of $\text{Spec } B_S \rightarrow \text{Spec } B \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$
is exactly the generic pt. y .)

• ~~Now~~ Now we see that if $V(I) \hookrightarrow \text{Spec } A$

contains all the closed pts. of $\text{Spec } A$, and

if $\text{Spec } B \xrightarrow{f} \text{Spec } A$ is flat and contains

$V(I)$ in its image, then f is in fact surjective

(i.e. faithfully flat).

(Any $y \in \text{Spec } A$ specializes to a closed point,
namely any closed point in $\overline{\{y\}}$. Now apply
"going down".)

Now suppose that $\text{Spec } A = \bigcup_{i=1}^n D(g_i)$ (11)

is an open cover of $\text{Spec } A$ by distinguished opens (i.e. $g_i \in A$, and $D(g_i) := \text{Spec } A_{g_i} \xrightarrow{\text{open}} \text{Spec } A$)

Let $\mathfrak{J} \subseteq \text{Rad}(A)$ be an ideal, and write

$$\bar{A} = A/\mathfrak{J}, \quad \bar{g}_i = g_i \text{ mod } \mathfrak{J} \in \bar{A}. \quad \text{Then } \text{Spec } \bar{A} \cap D(g_i) = D(\bar{g}_i),$$

and so $\text{Spec } \bar{A} = \bigcup D(\bar{g}_i)$.

Let $S_i = \text{preimage of } \{1, \bar{g}_i, \bar{g}_i^2, \dots\} \text{ in } A$.

$$\text{Then } A_{S_i} / \mathfrak{J} \cdot A_{S_i} = (A/\mathfrak{J})_{S_i} = \bar{A}_{\bar{g}_i},$$

\therefore the morphism $\text{Spec } A_{S_i} \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ contains $D(\bar{g}_i)$ in its image, and hence the morphism

$\coprod \text{Spec } A_{S_i} \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ contains $\text{Spec } \bar{A}$ in its

image. It is also flat (all localizations are flat!)

and $\mathfrak{J} \subseteq \text{Rad}(A)$ by assumption, so $\text{Met } \text{Spec } \bar{A}$ contains all closed

points of $\text{Spec } A$. Thus $\coprod \text{Spec } A_{S_i} \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ is faithfully flat.

Of course $g_i \in S_i$ (but typically S_i will be larger than just $\{1, g_i, g_i^2, \dots\}$), and so

$\text{Spec } A_{S_i} \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ factors through $\mathcal{D}(g_i)$.

So $\coprod \text{Spec } A_{S_i}$ is a replacement for $\coprod \mathcal{D}(g_i)$; it is only a faithfully flat cover, rather than an open cover, but it has some important properties:

- $\mathcal{J} \cdot A_{S_i} \subseteq \text{Rad}(A_{S_i})$

(If $s \in S_i$, $x \in \mathcal{J}$, then $s+x \equiv \bar{g}_i^n \pmod{\mathcal{J}}$ for some n , by det $\neq 0$ of S_i , $\therefore s+x \in S_i$, $\therefore 1 + \frac{x}{s} \in A_{S_i}^\times$.)

- If A is a S -ring ^{and if $p \in \mathcal{J}$} , then A_{S_i} has a S -ring structure extending that on A .

(This follows from (v), b/c $\mathcal{C}(S_i) \subseteq S_i$; indeed, since $p \in \mathcal{J}$, we see that \mathcal{C} induces the p th power map on \bar{A} . Since $\{1, g_i, g_i^2, \dots\}$ is stable under the p th power map, S_i is \mathcal{C} -stable.)

We can now prove

(13)

Corollary (Cor. 1.9 of Bhatt's 3rd lecture)

Let A be a \mathcal{F} -ring, let $I \subseteq A$ be locally principal, and suppose that $(p, I) \in \text{Rad}(A)$.

Then TFAE:

(1) $p \in (I, \varphi(I))$

(2) \exists a finite collection of localizations $\{A_{S_i}\}$

of A s.t. $\coprod \text{Spec } A_{S_i} \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ is

surjective, s.t. each A_{S_i} admits a \mathcal{F} -ring structure ~~extending~~ compatible with the \mathcal{F} -ring structure on A , and s.t. for each i , the ideal $I \cdot A_{S_i}$ is principal of the form (d_i) for a distinguished element d_i with $(p, d_i) \in \text{Rad}(A_{S_i})$.

Proof: (2) \Rightarrow (1) follows by faithfully flat descent: indeed, the condition $p \in (I, \varphi(I))$ may be checked

on a faithfully flat cover, and so it suffices to verify it for each A_{S_i} , where it follows from our assumption that $I \cdot A_{S_i} = (d_i)$, and our earlier lemma applied to the distinguished element d_i of the ring A_{S_i} .

(1) \Rightarrow (2) : By assumption we can find an open cover

$$\text{Spec } A = \bigcup_{i=1}^n D(g_i) \quad \text{st.}$$

$I \cdot A_{S_i}$ is principal for each i .

Now set $\mathcal{J} = (p, I)$, and apply our preceding construction to obtain

$$\coprod \text{Spec } A_{S_i} \longrightarrow \text{Spec } A.$$

Since $\text{Spec } A_{S_i} \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ factors through $D(g_i) = \text{Spec } A_{S_i}$,

we see that $I \cdot A_{S_i}$ is principal, say of the form

(d_i) . Our construction ensures that $\mathcal{J} \cdot A_{S_i} \subseteq \text{Rad}(A_{S_i})$,

i.e. that $(p, d_i) \subseteq \text{Rad}(A_{S_i})$. Base-changing the hypothesis

that $p \in (I, \varphi(I))$, we find that $p \in (d_i, \varphi(d_i))$. Our earlier lemma then shows that d_i is distinguished. \square