

Algebra 1 : Third homework — due Monday, October 20

Do the following exercises from Fulton and Harris:

2.33 (b) and (c), 3.2, 3.4, 3.5, 3.11, 3.16, 3.24, 3.25

Also do the following exercises:

1. If V is a three dimensional representation of a group G over a field k , and $\wedge^2 V$ is reducible, prove that V itself is reducible.

2. Let H be a subgroup of a group G , and let U_1 and U_2 be two H -representations over a field k . If $f_1 \in \text{Ind}_H^G U_1$ and $f_2 \in \text{Ind}_H^G U_2$ (so f_i is a function $G \rightarrow U_i$ such that $f_i(hg) = hf_i(g)$ for all $g \in G, h \in H$), define $f_1 \cdot f_2 : G \rightarrow U_1 \otimes U_2$ via

$$(f_1 \cdot f_2)(g) := f_1(g) \otimes f_2(g).$$

Show that $f_1 \otimes f_2 \mapsto f_1 \cdot f_2$ defines a G -equivariant morphism

$$(\text{Ind}_H^G U_1) \otimes (\text{Ind}_H^G U_2) \rightarrow \text{Ind}_H^G(U_1 \otimes U_2).$$

Recall the following from class: Let G be a finite group, let H be a subgroup, and let $\underline{1}_H$ (resp. $\underline{1}_G$) denote the trivial representation of H (respectively G). Prove that there is a G -equivariant surjection $\text{Ind}_H^G \underline{1}_H \rightarrow \underline{1}_G$, which is unique up to scaling.

3. Let G be a finite group and H a subgroup, and let U be a finite-dimensional representation of H over \mathbf{C} . If U^* denotes the contragredient to U , then note that there is a natural H -equivariant map

$$(*) \quad U \otimes U^* \rightarrow \underline{1}_H.$$

Combining this with (2) and the result from class we just recalled, one obtains G -equivariant maps

$$(\text{Ind}_H^G U) \otimes (\text{Ind}_H^G U^*) \rightarrow \text{Ind}_H^G(U \otimes U^*) \rightarrow \text{Ind}_H^G \underline{1}_H \rightarrow \underline{1}_G.$$

(The first map arises from (2), the second from functoriality of induction applied to (*), and the third from (3).) Show that this map gives a non-degenerate pairing between $\text{Ind}_H^G U$ and $\text{Ind}_H^G U^*$, and hence realizes the latter as the contragredient of the former.

4. Use (5) to prove that any permutation representation of a finite group over \mathbf{C} is isomorphic to its own contragredient. Can you give another, different, proof?