

### Algebra 1 : Third homework — due Monday, October 17

Do the following exercises from Fulton and Harris:

4.17, 4.50

Also do the following exercises:

**1.** If  $V$  is a three dimensional representation of a group  $G$  over a field  $k$ , and  $\wedge^2 V$  is reducible, prove that  $V$  itself is reducible.

**2.** Let  $H$  be a subgroup of a group  $G$ , and let  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  be two  $H$ -representations over a field  $k$ . If  $f_1 \in \text{Ind}_H^G U_1$  and  $f_2 \in \text{Ind}_H^G U_2$  (so  $f_i$  is a function  $G \rightarrow U_i$  such that  $f_i(hg) = hf_i(g)$  for all  $g \in G, h \in H$ ), define  $f_1 \cdot f_2 : G \rightarrow U_1 \otimes U_2$  via

$$(f_1 \cdot f_2)(g) := f_1(g) \otimes f_2(g).$$

Show that  $f_1 \otimes f_2 \mapsto f_1 \cdot f_2$  defines a  $G$ -equivariant morphism

$$(\text{Ind}_H^G U_1) \otimes (\text{Ind}_H^G U_2) \rightarrow \text{Ind}_H^G (U_1 \otimes U_2).$$

**3.** Let  $G$  be a finite group, let  $H$  be a subgroup, and let  $\underline{1}_H$  (resp.  $\underline{1}_G$ ) denote the trivial representation of  $H$  (respectively  $G$ ). Prove that there is a  $G$ -equivariant surjection  $\text{Ind}_H^G \underline{1}_H \rightarrow \underline{1}_G$ , which is unique up to scaling.

**4.** Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $H$  a subgroup, and let  $U$  be a finite-dimensional representation of  $H$  over  $\mathbf{C}$ . If  $U^*$  denotes the contragredient to  $U$ , then note that there is a natural  $H$ -equivariant map

$$(*) \quad U \otimes U^* \rightarrow \underline{1}_H.$$

Combining this with (2) and (3), one obtains  $G$ -equivariant maps

$$(\text{Ind}_H^G U) \otimes (\text{Ind}_H^G U^*) \rightarrow \text{Ind}_H^G (U \otimes U^*) \rightarrow \text{Ind}_H^G \underline{1}_H \rightarrow \underline{1}_G.$$

(The first map arises from (2), the second from functoriality of induction applied to (\*), and the third from (3).) Show that this map gives a non-degenerate pairing between  $\text{Ind}_H^G U$  and  $\text{Ind}_H^G U^*$ , and hence realizes the latter as the contragredient of the former.

**5.** Use (4) to prove that any permutation representation of a finite group over  $\mathbf{C}$  is isomorphic its own contragredient.