

(-1-)

Let  $G$  be a connected split reductive group over a field  $k$ . We assume that  $\text{char } k$  is not a divisor of the order of the Weyl group  $W$  (e.g.,  $\text{char } k = 0$ ). We fix a framing ("Épinglage") of  $G$ , i.e., a split maximal torus  $T \subset G$ , a Borel  $B \supset T$ , and a nonzero element  $x_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$  for each  $\alpha \in \Delta$ . Here  $\Delta$  denotes the set of simple roots of  $T$  w.r.t.  $B$ .

For each  $\alpha \in \Delta$  define  $x_{-\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$  so that  $x_\alpha, x_{-\alpha}$ , and  $h_\alpha := [x_\alpha, x_{-\alpha}]$  form an  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -triple. Let  $x_- := \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} x_{-\alpha}$ ,  $x_+ := \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} x_\alpha$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g}^{x_+}$  be the centralizer of  $x_+$ . Let  $c$  be the geometric quotient of  $\mathfrak{g}$  by the adjoint action of  $G$ ;  $c$  is also the geometric quotient  $\mathfrak{g}/W$ .

Kostant's Theorem (if  $\text{char } k \neq 0$  it is due to Veldkamp).

- (i) The map  $x_- + \mathfrak{g}^{x_+} \rightarrow c$  is an isomorphism,
- (ii) All elements of  $x_- + \mathfrak{g}^{x_+}$  are regular.

Corollary. Every regular element of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is geometrically conjugate to a unique element of  $x_- + \mathfrak{g}^{x_+}$ .

Remark. One shows that  $\mathfrak{g}^{x_+} \subset \mathfrak{n}_+ \cup \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})$ , where  $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})$  is the center of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

(-2-)

A proof in characteristic 0 can be found in Kostant's article or on p. 28 of e-print 0501398 by Beilinson and me (in the e-print we work not with  $x_+$  but with the element  $x'_+ \in \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$  such that  $x'_+$ ,  $x_-$ , and  $[x'_+, x_-]$  form an  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -triple).

Variant: instead of  $x_+ + \mathfrak{g}^{x_+}$  consider  $x_- + \mathfrak{b}$ ,  $\mathfrak{b} := \text{Lie}(B)$ . Note that  $x_- + \mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  is stable w.r.t.  $N$ -conjugation.

Variant of Kostant's theorem. The action of  $N$  on  $x_- + \mathfrak{b}$  is free and the maps  $x_- + \mathfrak{g}^{x_+} \rightarrow (x_- + \mathfrak{b})/N \rightarrow \mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{u}$  are isomorphisms of algebraic varieties.

Moreover, if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is equipped with the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading such that  $\deg f = 0$  and  $\deg e_{\pm\alpha} = \pm 1$  for  $\alpha \in \Delta$  then for every graded subspace  $V \subset \mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\mathfrak{b} = [e_-, n] \oplus V$  the maps

$$x_- + V \rightarrow (x_- + \mathfrak{b})/N \rightarrow \mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{f}/W$$

are isomorphisms of algebraic varieties. All elements of  $x_- + \mathfrak{b}$  are regular.

Remarks. (i) One shows that the condition on  $V$  is satisfied for  $V = \mathfrak{g}^{x_+}$ .

(ii) According to my experience, the isomorphism  $(x_- + \mathfrak{b})/N \cong \mathfrak{c}$  is more useful than the isomorphism  $x_- + V \cong \mathfrak{c}$  for any particular choice of  $V$  (including the choice  $V = \mathfrak{g}^{n_+}$ ).

Example:  $G = GL(n)$ . An element  $A \in \mathfrak{gl}(n)$  is regular if and only if the operator  $A$  has a cyclic vector (or equivalently, iff for every eigenvalue  $\lambda$  the Jordan normal form of  $A$  has only one Jordan block corresponding to  $\lambda$ ). For a suitable framing of  $G = GL(n)$ , the set  $x_- + \mathfrak{b}$  consists of matrices  $(a_{ij})$  such that  $a_{i+1,i} = 1$  and  $a_{ij} = 0$  for  $i > j+1$ , while  $x_- + \mathfrak{g}^{e_+}$  consists of matrices  $(a_{ij})$  from  $x_- + \mathfrak{b}$  such that  $a_{ij}$  depends only on  $i-j$ .

In this example the fact that all elements of  $x_+ \oplus b$  are regular is clear (the first vector of the basis is cyclic). It is also easy to prove that the map  $x_+ \oplus b \rightarrow c$  is surjective, i.e., each monic polynomial  $f \in k[t]$  of degree  $n$  is the characteristic polynomial of a matrix from  $x_+ \oplus b$ .

Namely, consider the matrix of multiplication by  $t$  in  $k[t]/(f)$  w.r.t. the basis  $\{1, t, \dots, t^{n-1}\}$ . In fact, this argument gives an isomorphism of algebraic varieties  $x_+ \oplus V \xrightarrow{\sim} c$ , where  $V \subset b$  is the subspace of matrices  $(a_{ij})$  with  $a_{ij} = 0$  for  $j \neq n$ . (Matrices from  $x_+ \oplus V$  are sometimes called companion matrices).