

(-1-)

Let X be a smooth connected projective curve over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic 0 (or big enough positive characteristic). Let G be an algebraic group over k .

Some notation and conventions.

Notation: if $E \rightarrow X$ is a ^(principal) G -bundle (a.k.a, G -torsor) and if M is a variety with G -action then the E -twist of M is denoted by M_E ; so $M_E := (E \times M)/G$. If M is a vector space and the action is linear we get a vector bundle. E.g., we can take $M = \mathfrak{g}$ and get the adjoint bundle \mathfrak{g}_E (also denoted by $\text{ad } E$).

Usually we identify $GL(n)$ -torsors with rank n vector bundles. In particular, a G_m -torsor is the same as a line bundle.

If $G = GL(n)$ and E is a G -bundle then $\mathfrak{g}_E = \text{End } E$ (i.e., \mathfrak{g}_E is the bundle of endomorphisms of E viewed as a vector bundle).

Higgs bundles.

Let G be reductive (and connected).

We fix a line bundle \mathcal{D} on X of large degree.

"Large" means that $\deg \mathcal{D} > 2g$, where g is the genus.

We assume that $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}'^{\otimes 2}$ for some line bundle \mathcal{D}' , and we fix a representation of \mathcal{D} as $\mathcal{D}'^{\otimes 2}$ (We will use it on p. 10)

Definition. A Higgs bundle on X is a pair (E, ϕ) , where E is a G -bundle on X and $\phi \in \Gamma(X, \mathfrak{g}_E \otimes \mathcal{D})$. ϕ is called "Higgs field".

Remark. In fact, the words "Higgs Bundle" or "Higgs field" should be in quotation marks: in the true Higgs bundle

one should have $\mathcal{D} = \Omega_X$. This also applies to the definition of Hitchin fibration below. ^(total space of the) The true Hitchin fibration (for $\mathcal{D} = \Omega_X$) is symplectic, and ^(the fibres of) the true Hitchin fibration are Lagrangian. But this is not the case if \mathcal{D} is arbitrary.

Let \mathcal{M} denote the stack of Higgs bundles on X . This is an algebraic stack (rather than a space) because Higgs bundles may have nontrivial automorphisms. In practice we will work only with Higgs bundles whose automorphism group is finite modulo the center of G . In other words, we will "live" on an open substack of \mathcal{M} which is "almost a space".

The Hitchin fibration $f: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow A$.

We have to define A and f .

Example. $G = GL(n)$. A Higgs bundle is a pair (E, ϕ) , $\phi \in \Gamma(X, (\text{End } E) \otimes \mathcal{D})$. Hitchin's idea was to associate to (E, ϕ) the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial of ϕ . Let us number them so that the first coefficient is the trace. Then the i -th coefficient is in $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{D}^{\otimes i})$.

Thus we get $f: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow A := \Gamma(X, \bigoplus_i \mathcal{D}^{\otimes i})$.

Now let G be any reductive group.

A slightly noncanonical approach. The coordinate ring of $C := t/W$ is isomorphic (as a graded algebra) to $k[u_1, \dots, u_r]$, $\deg u_i = k_i$. Choose such an isomorphism and define A to be $\Gamma(X, \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{D}^{\otimes k_i})$. Then we can define $f: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow A$ just as in the case of $GL(n)$.

The above approach is noncanonical because there is no God-given choice of u_1, \dots, u_r . Equivalently, C is not really a vector space but just an algebraic variety with

G_m -action. This G_m -variety admits a linearization, but there is no G -given linearization.

Canonical definition of A : $A := \Gamma(X, C_{\mathcal{D}})$

($C_{\mathcal{D}}$ is not a vector bundle but just a bundle, and A is the space of its sections; so A is not a vector space but a G_m -variety isomorphic to a vector space).

Definition of $f: M \rightarrow A$. The morphism $\chi: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow C$ is G_m -equivariant and G -equivariant (the action of G on C is trivial). So we can twist χ and get

$$\chi_{\mathcal{D}}: \underset{\mathcal{Y} \otimes \mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{D}}} \rightarrow C_{\mathcal{D}} \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_{\mathcal{D}, E}: \mathcal{Y}_E \otimes \mathcal{D} \rightarrow C_{\mathcal{D}, E} = C_{\mathcal{D}}$$

($C_{\mathcal{D}, E} = C_{\mathcal{D}}$ because the action of G on C is trivial).

So we get $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{Y}_E \otimes \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, C_{\mathcal{D}})$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$\phi \qquad \qquad \qquad \chi_{\mathcal{D}, E}(\phi)$$

Now define $f: M \rightarrow A$ by $f(E, \phi) := \chi_{\mathcal{D}, E}(\phi)$.

The open subsets $A^{\diamond} \subset A^{\heartsuit} \subset A$.

Definition. $A^{\heartsuit} := \{ \text{sections of } C_{\mathcal{D}} \text{ which are generically } \}$
in $C_{\mathcal{D}}^{\text{reg, ss}}$

heart \nearrow

Why only generically? We have the discriminant map $\text{Discr}: C \rightarrow A^1$, which is a homogenous polynomial of degree $N := \{ \text{number of roots} \}$. It induces a map $\text{Discr}_{\mathcal{D}}: C_{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\otimes N}$. So if $a \in A$ we have $\text{Discr}_{\mathcal{D}}(a) \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{D}^{\otimes N})$. By definition, $a \in A^{\heartsuit}$ if and only if $\text{Discr}_{\mathcal{D}}(a)$ is not identically zero. Let Δ_a be the divisor of $\text{Discr}_{\mathcal{D}}(a) \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{D}^{\otimes N})$. This is an effective divisor.

-4-

It cannot happen that $\Delta_a = 0$ because $\deg \Delta_a = N \cdot \deg \mathcal{D}$.

Definition. $A^\diamond := \{ \text{sections of } \mathcal{C}_g \text{ such that } \Delta_a \text{ is} \}$
multiplicity-free

Clearly $A^\diamond \subset A^\heartsuit \subset A$ are non-empty open subsets. One can show that $A^\diamond \neq \emptyset$.

Definition. $M^\heartsuit := f^{-1}(A^\heartsuit)$, $M^\diamond := f^{-1}(A^\diamond)$.

Plan.

① If $G = GL(n)$ then to $a \in A$ one associates an n -sheeted covering $Y_a \rightarrow X$. Y_a is called the spectral curve. It may be singular. It turns out that

$a \in A^\heartsuit \iff Y_a$ is reduced

$a \in A^\diamond \iff Y_a$ is smooth, the critical points of the map $Y_a \rightarrow X$ are Morse (i.e., the ramification indices equal 2), and the critical values are distinct.

Let $\mathcal{M}_a := f^{-1}(a)$. Then for $a \in A^\heartsuit$ one has

$\mathcal{M}_a = \text{Coh}(Y_a) := \{ \text{torsion-free coherent sheaves on } Y_a \}$
of rank 1

(by definition, "rank 1" means "rank 1 on the smooth locus").

A torsion-free coherent sheaf on a smooth curve is a vector bundle, so if $a \in A^\diamond$ then $\mathcal{M}_a = \underline{\text{Pic}} Y_a$, where $\underline{\text{Pic}} Y_a$ denotes the stack of line bundles on Y_a .

In general, $\underline{\text{Pic}} Y_a \subset \mathcal{M}_a$ and the Picard stack $\underline{\text{Pic}} Y_a$ acts on \mathcal{M}_a (one can tensor a coherent sheaf by a line bundle).

"Picard stack" is Grothendieck's name for "commutative group stack". In other words, "Picard stack" is to "stack" like "commutative algebraic group" is to "scheme".

② For any reductive group G one defines a Picard stack \mathcal{P}_a acting on \mathcal{M}_a , $a \in A$ (if $G = GL(n)$ then $\mathcal{P}_a = \underline{\text{Pic}} Y_a$). As $a \in A$ varies one gets a Picard stack \mathcal{P} over A acting on \mathcal{M} (over A).

One also has $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\text{reg}} \subset \mathcal{M}$, where \mathcal{M}^{reg} is the open substack of \mathcal{M} defined by

$$\mathcal{M}^{\text{reg}} := \{ (E, \phi) \in \mathcal{M} \mid \phi \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_Y^{\text{reg}}) \}$$

One shows that if $a \in A^\diamond$ then $\mathcal{P}_a = \mathcal{M}_a$ and if $a \in A^\heartsuit$ then \mathcal{P}_a is dense in \mathcal{M}_a .

Remark. The density statement is not obvious: for $G = GL(n)$ it amounts to the Altman-Iarrobino-Kleiman theorem, which says that if Y is a curve with plane singularities then $\underline{\text{Pic}} Y$ is dense in the stack of rank 1 torsion-free coherent sheaves. "Plane singularities" means that Y is locally embeddable into A^2 (or equivalently, that $\dim T_y Y \leq 2$ for all $y \in Y$). We will see that spectral curves have plane singularities. It is also true that every curve with plane singularities is locally isomorphic to a spectral curve. Altman, Iarrobino, and Kleiman constructed a curve with more complicated singularities for which the density statement does not hold.

On Ngo's strategy of the proof of the fundamental lemma.

He proves a "product formula", which says that

$$\mathcal{M}_a / \mathcal{P}_a = \prod_{x \in \Delta_a} \mathcal{W}_{x,a}$$

where each stack $\mathcal{W}_{x,a}$ has "local nature" (it is described

in terms of the formal neighborhood of x in X). It turns out that the fundamental lemma is (more or less) about counting F_q -points on $W_{x,a}$. Ngo's strategy is to use $\mathcal{M}_a/\mathcal{P}_a$ to understand $W_{x,a}$.

Spectral curves.

Let $G = GL(n)$. Then

$$c = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{monic polynomials} \\ P \in k[t], \deg P = n \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{finite subschemes} \\ \text{of } A^1 \text{ of order } n \end{array} \right\}$$

G_m acts on c . So if l is a 1-dimensional vector space over k (i.e., a G_m -torsor over k) one can twist c by l and get

$$c_l = \left\{ t^n + \alpha_1 t^{n-1} + \dots + \alpha_n \mid \alpha_i \in l^{\otimes i} \right\}.$$

Exercise. $c_l = \{ \text{finite subschemes of } l \text{ of order } n \}$.

We have a line bundle $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow X$, and $A := \Gamma(X, c_{\mathcal{D}})$.

Definition. A spectral curve is a closed subscheme $Y \subset \mathcal{D}$ such that the morphism $Y \rightarrow X$ is flat, finite, and of degree n .

Applying the exercise to $l = \mathcal{D}_x$ for each $x \in X$, we see that $A := \Gamma(X, c_{\mathcal{D}})$ identifies with the space of spectral curves. The spectral curve corresponding to $a \in A$ will be denoted by Y_a .

Clearly a point $a \in A$ is in A^\diamond if and only if Y is reduced (here we are using that $\text{char } k = 0$ or $\text{char } k > n$).

Exercise. $a \in A^\diamond$ if and only if Y is smooth, the critical points of the map $Y \rightarrow X$ are Moise, and its critical values are distinct.

(-7-)

Let us describe the Hitchin fiber \mathcal{M}_a , $a \in A^\vee$, in terms of the spectral curve Y_a .

Claim. \mathcal{M}_a identifies with the stack of torsion-free coherent sheaves on Y_a of rank 1.

Construction. Given a Higgs bundle $(E, \phi: E \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \otimes E)$ we will construct a coherent sheaf on Y_a . We can think of ϕ as $\phi: \mathcal{D}^{-1} \rightarrow \text{End } E$ or as an algebra morphism $\phi: \text{Sym}(\mathcal{D}^{-1}) \rightarrow \text{End } E$, where $\text{Sym}(\mathcal{D}^{-1})$ is the symmetric algebra of \mathcal{D}^{-1} . So ϕ defines on E a structure of a sheaf of modules over $\text{Sym}(\mathcal{D}^{-1})$. Let \tilde{E} be the corresponding coherent sheaf on $\text{Spec } \text{Sym}(\mathcal{D}^{-1}) = \{\text{total space of } \mathcal{D}\}$. By the Hamilton-Cayley theorem, \tilde{E} is supported on Y_a . Let $\pi: Y_a \rightarrow X$ be the projection, then $\pi_* \tilde{E} = E$. So \tilde{E} is torsion-free.

Let us show that if $x \in X$ is a generic point and $y \in \pi^{-1}(x)$ then the fiber \tilde{E}_y has dimension 1. Fix an isomorphism $\mathcal{D}_x \xrightarrow{\sim} k$, then ϕ_x becomes an operator $E_x \rightarrow E_x$. Our y corresponds to an eigenvalue λ_y of ϕ_x , and $\tilde{E}_y = \text{Coker}(\phi_x - \lambda_y)$. If x is generic then λ_y has multiplicity 1, so $\dim \tilde{E}_y = 1$.

Exercise. (i) Describe the inverse construction.

(ii) Let $a \in A$ be the polynomial $t^n + \alpha_1 t^{n-1} + \dots + \alpha_n$, $\alpha_i \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{D}^{\otimes i})$. Show that the trivial line bundle on Y_a corresponds to the following Higgs bundle $(E, \phi: E \rightarrow E \otimes \mathcal{D})$ on X :

$$E = \mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{D}^{-1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{D}^{1-n},$$

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & -\alpha_n \\ i & 0 & & \dots \\ & i & & \\ 0 & & 0 & -\alpha_2 \\ & & i & -\alpha_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Remark. In Exercise (ii) $\det E$ is nontrivial, which is unpleasant. To get rid of this drawback, one can modify the above correspondence between Higgs bundles on E and rank 1 torsion-free coherent sheaves on Y_a as follows: to a Higgs bundle (E, ϕ) associate $\tilde{E} \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{D}^{\frac{1-n}{2}}$ rather than \tilde{E} . (If n is even then one needs here a square root of \mathcal{D}).

Definition of \mathcal{P}_a and the action $\mathcal{P}_a \times \mathcal{M}_a \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_a$.

Now let G be any reductive group. Let $a \in A = P(X, C_{\mathcal{D}})$. We have the group scheme of regular centralizers $J \rightarrow C$. Since G_m acts on $J \rightarrow C$ we can twist by the G_m -torsor \mathcal{D} and get

$$J_{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow C_{\mathcal{D}} \xrightarrow{\downarrow a}$$

Define $J_a := a^* J_{\mathcal{D}}$, let P_a denote the stack of J_a -torsors. Since the group scheme J_a is commutative P_a is a Picard stack.

Example. Let $G = GL(n)$. Then we have the spectral curve Y_a and the projection $\pi: Y_a \rightarrow X$. The group $(J_a)_x$ identifies with the group of invertible functions on $\pi^{-1}(x)$. So a section of J_a over an open subset $U \subset X$ is the same as an invertible function on $Y_a \times_U X$. So a J_a -torsor on X is the same as a G_m -torsor on Y_a , i.e., $P_a = \underline{\text{Pic}} Y_a$.

Now let us define the action $P_a \times \mathcal{M}_a \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_a$. It will come from a certain action of J_a on (E, ϕ) , ^(which will be defined) for any $(E, \phi) \in \mathcal{M}_a$; namely, given such action we can twist (E, ϕ) by any J_a -torsor.

It remains to define an action of J_a on (E, ϕ) , i.e., a morphism $J_a \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}(E, \phi) \subset \underline{\text{Aut}} E = G_E$. The question is local on X , so we can assume that E and \mathcal{D} are trivial. Fix a trivialization of E and that of \mathcal{D} . Then ϕ becomes a map $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$, $\underline{\text{Aut}}(E, \phi)$ ^{becomes} a group subscheme of $G \times X$, and the fiber of $\underline{\text{Aut}}(E, \phi)$ over $x \in X$ equals the centralizer $I_{\phi(x)}$. So what we need is a morphism $J_{a(x)} \rightarrow I_{\phi(x)}$, $a(x) = \mathcal{X}(\phi(x))$. We defined it last time.

On the isomorphism $\mathcal{P}_a \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}_a^{\text{reg}}$.

The essential thing is to define a morphism $\mathcal{P}_a \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_a^{\text{reg}}$ (proving that it is an isomorphism is easy). Since \mathcal{P}_a acts on \mathcal{M}_a this amounts to specifying the image of $0 \in \mathcal{P}_a$. It is here that one needs a square root of \mathcal{D} .

Example (cf. Exercise (ii) on p. 8). Let us define a canonical section $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ for $G = \text{SL}(n)$. Let $a \in \mathcal{A}$ be the polynomial $t^n + \alpha_1 t^{n-1} + \dots + \alpha_n$, $\alpha_i \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{D}^{\otimes i})$. How to write the corresponding Higgs bundle (E, ϕ) ? We take ϕ to be the "companion matrix"

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} & & & -\alpha_n \\ & & & \dots \\ & & & \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & -\alpha_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

But we need a rank n vector bundle E with trivial determinant such that ϕ makes sense as an operator $E \rightarrow E \otimes \mathcal{D}$. Answer: $E = \bigoplus_{i \in S} \mathcal{D}^{\otimes i}$, where $S = \left\{ \frac{n-1}{2}, \frac{n-3}{2}, \dots, \frac{1-n}{2} \right\}$. So if n is even we need $\mathcal{D}' := \mathcal{D}^{1/2}$ to define E . A more scientific explanation of why one needs a square root of \mathcal{D} can be found in §2.2.3 of Ngô's article.

Generalization. Let G be any reductive group. To define the canonical section $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ take E to be the pushforward of the G_m -torsor $\mathcal{D}' = \mathcal{D}^{1/2}$ via $2\check{\gamma}: G_m \rightarrow G$. Here $2\check{\gamma}$ is the sum of the positive coroots.