

On dualizable DG categories. II

Proposition II-1. Let \mathcal{D} be a cocomplete DG category and \mathcal{C} a compactly generated one. Suppose we have continuous functors $\mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} \mathcal{D}$ and an isomorphism $\mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{S} \cong \text{Id}_{\mathcal{D}}$. In addition, assume that the restriction of \mathcal{P} to \mathcal{C}^c is fully faithful. Then \mathcal{P} is a localization functor.

The proof will be based on the following lemma, which seems to be interesting in its own right.

Lemma II-2. In the situation of Proposition II-1

the map

$$\text{Maps}(\mathcal{S}\pi, \text{Id}_{\mathcal{C}}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} \text{Maps}(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{S}\pi, \mathcal{P}) = \text{Maps}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P})$$

is an equivalence.

Proof. A continuous functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ (resp. $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$) is the same as a functor $\mathcal{C}^c \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}^c \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$).

So $\text{Maps}(\mathcal{S}\pi, \text{Id}_{\mathcal{C}})$ is the "end" of the bifunctor

$$(c, c') \mapsto \text{Maps}(\mathcal{S}\pi(c), c'), \quad c, c' \in \mathcal{C}^c.$$

Similarly, $\text{Maps}(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{S}\pi, \mathcal{P})$ is the "end" of the bifunctor

$$(c, c') \mapsto \text{Maps}(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{S}\pi(c), \mathcal{P}(c')), \quad c, c' \in \mathcal{C}^c.$$

So it suffices to show that for any $c, c' \in \mathcal{C}^c$ the map

$$\text{Maps}(\mathcal{S}\pi(c), c') \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} \text{Maps}(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{S}\pi(c), \mathcal{P}(c'))$$

is an equivalence. In fact, for any $c'' \in \mathcal{C}$, $c' \in \mathcal{C}^c$ the map

$$\text{Maps}(c'', c') \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} \text{Maps}(\mathcal{P}(c''), \mathcal{P}(c'))$$

II-2

is an equivalence. Indeed, if $c'' \in C'$ this is just fully faithfulness of $\pi|_C$, and the general case follows. ■

Now let $\varepsilon \in \text{Maps}(S\pi, Id_C)$ be the preimage of $id_\pi \in \text{Maps}(\pi, \pi)$ with respect to the map $\text{Maps}(S\pi, Id_C) \rightarrow \text{Maps}(\pi, \pi)$ from Lemma II-2.

In other words, the composition

$$\pi \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi \circ S \circ \pi \xrightarrow{id \circ \varepsilon} \pi$$

equals the identity,

Remark. I do not claim that the composition

$$S \rightarrow S \circ \pi \circ S \rightarrow S$$

is isomorphic to the identity. If this were true then S would be left adjoint to π . For a given $\pi: C \rightarrow D$ there is at most one such S ; on the other hand, there is no reason to believe that the condition $\pi \circ S \simeq Id_D$ from Proposition II-1 determines S uniquely.

Remark. ^{Since $\pi \circ S = Id_D$} the functor $S \circ \pi$ is an idempotent coalgebra in the monoidal category $\text{Funct}_{\text{cont}}(C, C)$. Our $\varepsilon \in \text{Maps}(S\pi, Id_C)$ is a right counit of this coalgebra; indeed, the composition

$$S \circ \pi \xrightarrow{\sim} S \circ \pi \circ S \circ \pi \xrightarrow{id \circ \varepsilon} S \circ \pi$$

equals $id_{S \circ \pi}$ because the composition $\pi \xrightarrow{id \circ \varepsilon} \pi \circ S \circ \pi \rightarrow \pi$ equals id_π . Note that the coalgebra $S \circ \pi$ is counital (i.e., a comonad) if and only if S is left adjoint to π .

Proof of Proposition II-1, let $\pi^*: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and $s^*: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be the (discontinuous) right adjoints of $\pi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and $s: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. We have an isomorphism $s^* \circ \pi^* \simeq \text{Id}_{\mathcal{D}}$ and a morphism $\varepsilon^*: \text{Id}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \pi^* \circ s^*$ such that the composition

$$\pi^* \xrightarrow{\varepsilon^* \circ \pi^*} \pi^* \circ s^* \circ \pi^* \xrightarrow{\simeq} \pi^*$$

equals Id_{π^*} . This formally implies that π^* induces an equivalence $\mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{C}' \subset \mathcal{C}$, where \mathcal{C}' is the full subcategory of those $c \in \mathcal{C}$ for which the morphism $(\varepsilon^*)_c: c \rightarrow \pi^* s^*(c)$ is an isomorphism (the inverse functor $\mathcal{C}' \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is the restriction of $s^*: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$). Thus π^* is fully faithful, Q.E.D. ■

Remark. Define $\phi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ by $\phi := \text{Cone}(s \circ \pi \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \text{Id}_{\mathcal{C}})$.

It is easy to see that $\text{Ker } \pi$ is equal to $\phi(\mathcal{C})$, i.e., to the essential image of ϕ (so the subcategory $\phi(\mathcal{C}) \subset \mathcal{C}$ is cocomplete). Thus

$$\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{C} / \text{Ker } \pi = \mathcal{C} / \phi(\mathcal{C}) = \text{Coker } \phi.$$

Corollary II-3. Suppose that a cocomplete DG category \mathcal{D} is a retract of a compactly generated one. Then \mathcal{D} is presentable (and therefore dualizable by Theorem 1 from part I of these notes).

Proof. We have

$$\mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{s} \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{D}, \quad \pi \circ s \simeq \text{Id}_{\mathcal{D}},$$

where \mathcal{C} is compactly generated and π, s are continuous. Without loss of generality, we can

(II-4)

assume that the restriction of π to C^c is fully faithful (otherwise replace C by $\text{Ind}(A)$, where $A \subset D$ is a small triangulated full subcategory such that $\pi(C^c) \subset A$). Then the previous Remark yields a "presentation" $D = \text{Coker}(C \xrightarrow{\Phi} C)$, which shows that D is presentable. ■

Remark. Presentability of D (without a concrete "presentation" as a cokernel of a continuous functor between compactly generated DG categories) follows from the very general fact that a retract of a presentable category is presentable. Indeed, such a retract is the limit (a priori, in DGCat) of a certain functor $\text{Idem} \rightarrow \text{DGCat}_{\text{cont}}$ (here Idem is the ∞ -category from §4.4.5 of "Higher Topos Theory" and the functor $\text{Idem} \rightarrow \text{DGCat}_{\text{cont}}$ takes the unique object of Idem to $C \in \text{DGCat}_{\text{cont}}$). Such a limit belongs to $\text{DGCat}_{\text{cont}}$. (The above argument also works for not necessarily stable ∞ -categories instead of DG categories.)

Question 1. Do Proposition II-1 and Lemma II-2 hold for not necessarily stable ∞ -categories (instead of DG categories)?

Another proof of Proposition 1 (which uses more familiar "stable" arguments). Set $\bar{C} = C / \text{Ker } \pi$. Let $\bar{\pi}: \bar{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be induced by $\pi: C \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$. Let $\bar{s}: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \bar{C}$ be the composition $\mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{s} C \rightarrow \bar{C}$. We have $\bar{\pi} \circ \bar{s} \simeq \text{Id}_{\mathcal{D}}$. It remains to show that $\bar{s} \circ \bar{\pi} \simeq \text{Id}_{\bar{C}}$. The cone of the morphism $\varepsilon: s\bar{\pi} \rightarrow \text{Id}_C$ maps C to $\text{Ker } \pi$, so ε induces an isomorphism $\bar{s} \circ \bar{\pi} \simeq \text{Id}_{\bar{C}}$. ■

Question 2. Let \mathcal{D} be a dualizable DG category.

Does there exist a diagram

$$C \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\pi} \\ \xleftarrow{s} \end{array} \mathcal{D}, \quad \pi \circ s \simeq \text{Id}_{\mathcal{D}}$$

with C compactly generated and π, s continuous such that s is left adjoint to π with the isomorphism $\text{Id}_{\mathcal{D}} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \pi \circ s$ being the adjunction?

(In this case \mathcal{D} is a colocalization of C .)

Example. The answer to Question 2 is "yes" for $\mathcal{D} = \text{QCoh}(Y)$, where Y is an eventually coconnected QCA stack locally almost of finite type over a field of characteristic 0; moreover, one can take $C = \text{IndCoh}(Y)$. This is explained in §4.3 of the article "On some finiteness questions..." by D. Gaiitsgory and me.

Remark. If π has a continuous right adjoint then π preserves compactness, so \mathcal{D} has to be compactly generated. This is why Question 2 is about left adjoints.

Reformulation of Question 2. Let \mathcal{D} be a dualizable

DG category. Can one represent \mathcal{D} as a retract $\begin{matrix} \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathcal{D} \\ \xleftarrow{s} & & \end{matrix}$ of a compactly generated DG category \mathcal{C} so that the maps

(1) $\text{Maps}(s\pi, Id_{\mathcal{C}}) \xrightarrow{f \mapsto id_{\pi} \circ f} \text{Maps}(\pi s\pi, \pi) = \text{Maps}(\pi, \pi)$

(2) $\text{Maps}(s\pi, Id_{\mathcal{C}}) \xrightarrow{f \mapsto f \circ id_s} \text{Maps}(s\pi s, s) = \text{Maps}(s, s)$ are equivalences?

Proposition II-4. Let \mathcal{D} be a dualizable DG category.

Then \mathcal{D} admits a representation as a retract of a compactly generated DG category \mathcal{C} such that the map (1) is an equivalence. It also admits (another!) representation as a retract of a compactly generated DG category such that ^{the map} (2) is an equivalence.

Proof. (a) As mentioned in the proof of Corollary II-3,

\mathcal{D} can be represented as a retract of a compactly generated DG category \mathcal{C} so that the restriction of $\pi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ to \mathcal{C}^c is fully faithful. Then the map (1) is an equivalence by Lemma II-2.

(b) To ensure that the map (2) is an equivalence, apply the previous construction to the Lurie dual \mathcal{D}^{\vee} , i.e., represent it as a retract $\begin{matrix} \tilde{\mathcal{C}} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}} & \mathcal{D}^{\vee} \\ \xleftarrow{\tilde{s}} & & \end{matrix}$ of a

compactly generated DG category $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ so that the corresponding map (1) is an equivalence. Then the diagram $\begin{matrix} \tilde{\mathcal{C}} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{s}} & \mathcal{D} \\ \xleftarrow{\tilde{\pi}} & & \end{matrix}$ represents \mathcal{D} as a retract so

that the corresponding map (2) is an equivalence. ■