

Stacks (terminology).

A stack is a functor $\text{Rings} \rightarrow \text{Groupoids}$ which is a sheaf for the fpqc topology. A stack is algebraic if it can be represented as S/Γ , where S is a scheme and Γ is ~~a~~ ^{a flat} affine groupoid acting on S ("affine" means that the morphisms $\Gamma \rightrightarrows S$ are affine). ^{same form "flat"} In practice, Γ often comes from a group scheme acting on S . The morphisms $\Gamma \rightrightarrows S$ are ^{supposed} not to have finite ^{type}, so algebraic stacks in our sense are not necessarily Artin stacks. Reason: we will work with W , which has infinite type.

In addition to algebraic stacks, we'll work with formal ones. A formal stack is a stack of the form $\varinjlim (Y_0 \hookrightarrow Y_1 \hookrightarrow \dots)$, where each Y_n is an algebraic stack and Y_n is a closed substack of Y_{n+1} defined by a nilpotent ideal.

Examples: $\text{Spt } \mathbb{Z}_p = \varinjlim \text{Spec } (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})$, $\hat{A}^1 = \hat{A}^1_{\mathbb{Z}_p} = \text{Spt } \mathbb{Z}_p[[\epsilon]]$, \hat{A}^1 / \mathbb{G}_m .

Recall: $\text{Spt } \mathbb{Z}_p$ as a functor: $R \mapsto \begin{cases} \text{point if } p \text{ is nilpotent in } R \\ \emptyset \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$
 $\text{Rings}_p := \{R \mid p \text{ is nilpotent in } R\}$. We will live over $\text{Spt } \mathbb{Z}_p$, so ~~at~~ instead of functors on Rings we consider functors on Rings_p .

Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of stacks. Say that X is algebraic over Y if for every $S \xrightarrow{\text{scheme}} Y$, $X \times_Y S$ is an algebraic stack. E.g., a p -adic formal scheme is an example of a stack algebraic over $\text{Spt } \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Now let us define $\Sigma = (\text{Spt } \mathbb{Z}_p)^{\text{A}}$. This will be a formal stack algebraic over \hat{A}^1 / \mathbb{G}_m . So there will be two "formal directions" (one of them arithmetical).

The stack Σ

$W := \{p\text{-typical Witt vectors}\}$, $W(R) = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} V^i[\xi_i] \mid \xi_i \in R \right\}$. Recall that $\text{Rings}_p := \{R \in \text{Rings} \mid p \text{ is nilpotent in } R\}$. Let us define $W^{(1)}: \text{Rings}_p \rightarrow \text{Sets}$, $W^{(1)} \subset W$. Definition: $W^{(1)}(R) := \left\{ \sum_i V^i[\xi_i] \mid \xi_0 \text{ nilpotent, } \xi_1 \in R^\times \right\}$.

This functor is (represented by) a formal scheme over $\text{Spt } \mathbb{Z}_p$: namely, take $\hat{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}$, formally complete along the locus $\xi_0 = p = 0$ and remove the locus $\xi_1 = 0$. So $W^{(1)} = \text{Spt } A$, where A is the completion of $\mathbb{Z}_p[\xi_0, \xi_1, \dots][[\xi_1^{-1}]]$ w.r.t. the ideal (p, ξ_0) . Name: $W^{(1)}$ is the formal scheme of primitive Witt vectors of degree 1.

Definition. $\Sigma := W^{(1)}/W^\times$.

The map $W^{(1)} \xrightarrow{\xi_0} \hat{A}^1$ induces $\Sigma \rightarrow \hat{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$.

Σ is algebraic and flat over \hat{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m .

The Witt vector Frobenius $F: W \rightarrow W$ induces $F: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$.

Let $R \in \text{Rings}_p$. By definition, Σ is the fpqc-sheafification of the presheaf $R \mapsto W^{(1)}(R)/W(R)^\times$. ~~Quotient in the sense of groupoids~~ Sheafification is necessary because $H_{\text{fpqc}}^1(\text{Spec } R, W^\times) \neq 0$ in general:

Exercise. $H_{\text{fpqc}}^1(\text{Spec } R, W^\times) = \text{Pic } W(R) = \text{Pic } R$.

(The exercise implies that Zariski sheafification is enough here.)

Interpreting W^\times -torsors on $\text{Spec } R$ as invertible $W(R)$ -modules, we see that an object of $\Sigma(R)$ is a pair (P, ξ) , where P is an invertible $W(R)$ -module and $\xi: P \rightarrow W(R)$ is a morphism of modules such that $\forall f \in R \ \forall$ generator e of $P \otimes_{W(R)} W(R_f)$ one has $\xi(e) \in W^{(1)}(R_f)$.

Exercise. The module $P \otimes P$ is (noncanonically) isomorphic to $W(R)$.

Hint: the map f in the diagram $W^{(1)} \rightarrow W^{(1)}/\mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{f} W^{(1)}/\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow W/W^\times = \Sigma$ admits a section (to see this, use $\xi_1: W^{(1)} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$). Here I am using the Teichmüller embedding $\mathbb{G}_m \hookrightarrow W^\times$.

Lemma. For any perfect \mathbb{F}_p -algebra R one has $\Sigma(R) = \text{point}$.

Proof. Σ is the Zariski sheafification of the presheaf $R \mapsto W^{(1)}(R)/W(R)^\times$, $R \in \text{Rings}_p$. So it remains to show that if R/\mathbb{F}_p is perfect then $W^{(1)}(R)/W(R)^\times = \text{point}$. R is reduced,

so $W^{(1)}(R) = \{ \nabla y \mid y \in W(R)^{\times} \}$. If $y \in W(R)^{\times}$ then $\exists! u \in W(R)^{\times}$ such that $u \cdot \nabla(1) = \nabla(y)$, namely $u = F^{-1}(y)$ (the map $F: W(R)^{\times} \rightarrow W(R)^{\times}$ is bijective by perfectness). ■

Fact. Let $X \in \text{Sch}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$. Let R be a perfect \mathbb{F}_p -algebra. Then $X^{\Delta}(R) = X(R)$. (Note that $X(R) = (X \otimes \mathbb{F}_p)(R)$.) In other words, X^{Δ} and X have the same image in the category of stacks up to universal homeomorphism.

Thus $\Sigma(\mathbb{F}_p) = \Sigma(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}) = \text{point}$. What about $\Sigma(\mathbb{Z}_p)$? Here \mathbb{Z}_p is considered as a topological ring (otherwise $\Sigma(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \emptyset$), so

$$\Sigma(\mathbb{Z}_p) := \text{Mor}(\text{Spt } \mathbb{Z}_p, \Sigma) = \varprojlim_n \Sigma(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}).$$

~~Thus $\Sigma(\mathbb{F}_p) = \Sigma(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}) = \text{point}$. What about $\Sigma(\mathbb{Z}_p)$? Here \mathbb{Z}_p is considered as a topological ring (otherwise $\Sigma(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \emptyset$),~~

~~so $\Sigma(\mathbb{Z}_p) := \varprojlim_n \Sigma(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}) = \text{Mor}(\text{Spt } \mathbb{Z}_p, \Sigma)$.~~

Exercise. (i) The groupoid $\Sigma(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is a set.

(ii) Give a description of $\Sigma(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ (I don't know a particularly good one). Show that $\Sigma(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ has cardinality continuum.

(iii) Show that $\forall x \in \Sigma(\mathbb{Z}_p), F(x) = p$ (here $p \in \Sigma(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is the image of $p \in W^{(1)}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$).

Beginning of solution. $\Sigma(\mathbb{Z}_p) = W^{(1)}(\mathbb{Z}_p) / W(\mathbb{Z}_p)^{\times}$. By Dwork's lemma,

$$W(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \{ (y_0, y_1, \dots) \mid y_n \in \mathbb{Z}_p, y_n \equiv y_{n-1} \pmod{p^n} \text{ for } n > 0 \},$$

(The y_i 's are the ghost components, i.e., $y_0 = \xi_0, y_1 = \xi_0 + p\xi_1$ and so on.)

Let $(y_0, y_1, \dots) \in W(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. Then

$$(y_0, y_1, \dots) \in W(\mathbb{Z}_p)^{\times} \iff y_n \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} \text{ for all (or some) } n,$$

$$(y_0, y_1, \dots) \in W^{(1)}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \iff y_0 \in p\mathbb{Z}_p, y_1 \notin p^2\mathbb{Z}_p \text{ (then } y_n \in p\mathbb{Z}_p \text{ for all } n, y_n \notin p^2\mathbb{Z}_p \text{ for } n > 0).$$

The rest is straightforward. ■

We mostly care about two elements of $\Sigma(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, namely about $p, \nabla(1) \in \Sigma(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ (i.e., the images of $p, \nabla(1) \in W^{(1)}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$). These two elements of $\Sigma(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ are different. One has $F(\nabla(1)) = p, F(p) = p$.

Recall the flat algebraic morphism $\xi_0: \Sigma \rightarrow \hat{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$.

Definition. $\Delta_0 := \xi_0^{-1}(\{0\}/\mathbb{G}_m)$ is called the Hodge-Tate locus.

$\Delta_0 \subset \Sigma$ is an effective Cartier divisor (by flatness of ξ_0).

Remark. $V(1) \in \Delta_0(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, $P \notin \Delta_0(\mathbb{Z}_p)$.

For $n \geq 0$ define $\Delta_n \subset \Sigma$ by $\Delta_n := (F^n)^{-1}(\Delta_0)$. These are effective Cartier divisors on Σ (because $F: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ is flat).

Fact. $\text{Cart-Div}(\Sigma) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z} \cdot \Delta_n \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cdot (\text{special fiber})$

Exercise. $m < n \Rightarrow \Delta_m \cap \Delta_n = \Delta_m \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$.

Solution. We can assume that $m=0$. The divisor $\Delta_n \times_{\Sigma} W^{(1)}$ is defined by the equation $\xi_0^{p^n} + p \xi_1^{p^{n-1}} + \dots + p^n \xi_n = 0$. So $(\Delta_0 \cap \Delta_n) \times_{\Sigma} W^{(1)}$ is given by the equations $\xi_0 = 0, pu = 0$, where $u = \xi_1^{p^{n-1}} + p \xi_2^{p^{n-2}} + \dots + p^{n-1} \xi_{n-1}$. u is invertible because ξ_1 is. ■

Lemma. $\Delta_0 = (\text{Spt } \mathbb{Z}_p) / (W^x)^{(F)}$ (classifying stack), where

$(W^x)^{(F)} := \text{Ker}(W^x \xrightarrow{F} W^x)$.

Proof. We have an isomorphism of ~~top~~ p -adic schemes $W^x \xrightarrow{\sim} \{\xi \in W^{(1)} \mid \xi_0 = 0\}$, namely $x \mapsto Vx$. If $x, u \in W^x$ then $u \cdot Vx = V((Fu) \cdot x)$. So $\Delta_0 = \text{Cone}(W^x \xrightarrow{F} W^x) = (\text{Spt } \mathbb{Z}_p) / (W^x)^{(F)}$ (because $F: W^x \rightarrow W^x$ is faithfully flat). ■

Remark. $(W^x)^{(F)} = \mathbb{G}_m^{\#}$, where $\mathbb{G}_m^{\#}$ is the PD hull of $\{1\} \subset \mathbb{G}_m$.

Indeed, in winter we proved that $W^{(F)}$ and $\mathbb{G}_m^{(F)}$ are canonically isomorphic as (non-unital) rings (there is a shorter proof based on Joyal's approach to W). Passing to the multiplicative groups of these rings, we get $(W^x)^{(F)} = \mathbb{G}_m^{\#}$.