

# Effective convergence notions for measures on the real line

Diego A. Rojas  
Joint work with Timothy McNicholl

Iowa State University

October 25, 2021  
Midwest Computability Seminar

## I. Background

- ▶ Weak and Vague Convergence of Measures
- ▶ Computable Analysis
- ▶ Computable Measure Theory

## II. Effective Weak Convergence of Measures on $\mathbb{R}$

- ▶ Definitions
- ▶ Properties
- ▶ Effective Portmanteau Theorem
- ▶ Effective Convergence in the Prokhorov Metric

## III. Effective Vague Convergence of Measures on $\mathbb{R}$

- ▶ Definitions
- ▶ Properties
- ▶ Connections with Effective Weak Convergence

## Part I: Background

# Weak and Vague Convergence of Measures

$\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ : the space of finite Borel measures on  $\mathbb{R}$

Let  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ .

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu_n = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu$$

Weak Convergence:  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a bounded continuous function

Vague Convergence:  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function with compact support

# Weak and Vague Convergence of Measures

$\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ : the space of finite Borel measures on  $\mathbb{R}$

Let  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ .

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu_n = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu$$

Weak Convergence:  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a bounded continuous function

Vague Convergence:  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function with compact support

# Weak and Vague Convergence of Measures

$\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ : the space of finite Borel measures on  $\mathbb{R}$

Let  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ .

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu_n = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu$$

Weak Convergence:  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a bounded continuous function

Vague Convergence:  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function with compact support

# Weak and Vague Convergence of Measures

$\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ : the space of finite Borel measures on  $\mathbb{R}$

Let  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ .

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu_n = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu$$

Weak Convergence:  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a bounded continuous function

Vague Convergence:  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function with compact support

# Weak and Vague Convergence of Measures

$\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ : the space of finite Borel measures on  $\mathbb{R}$

Let  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ .

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu_n = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu$$

Weak Convergence:  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a bounded continuous function

Vague Convergence:  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function with compact support



# Computable Analysis

- ▶ A *computable metric space* is a triple  $(X, d, S)$  with the following properties:
  - ▶  $(X, d)$  is a complete separable metric space
  - ▶  $S = \{s_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a countable dense subset of  $X$
  - ▶  $d(s_i, s_j)$  is computable uniformly in  $i, j$
- ▶ Examples:
  - ▶  $(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|, \mathbb{Q})$
  - ▶  $(2^\omega, d_C, S_C)$  where  $d_C(X, Y) = 2^{-\min\{n: X(n) \neq Y(n)\}}$  and  $S_C = \{\sigma 0^\omega : \sigma \in 2^{<\omega}\}$
- ▶ Throughout the talk, we will focus on  $X = \mathbb{R}$ .

# Computable Analysis

- ▶ A *computable metric space* is a triple  $(X, d, S)$  with the following properties:
  - ▶  $(X, d)$  is a complete separable metric space
  - ▶  $S = \{s_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a countable dense subset of  $X$
  - ▶  $d(s_i, s_j)$  is computable uniformly in  $i, j$
- ▶ Examples:
  - ▶  $(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|, \mathbb{Q})$
  - ▶  $(2^\omega, d_C, S_C)$  where  $d_C(X, Y) = 2^{-\min\{n: X(n) \neq Y(n)\}}$  and  $S_C = \{\sigma 0^\omega : \sigma \in 2^{<\omega}\}$
- ▶ Throughout the talk, we will focus on  $X = \mathbb{R}$ .

# Computable Analysis

- ▶ A *computable metric space* is a triple  $(X, d, S)$  with the following properties:
  - ▶  $(X, d)$  is a complete separable metric space
  - ▶  $S = \{s_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a countable dense subset of  $X$
  - ▶  $d(s_i, s_j)$  is computable uniformly in  $i, j$
- ▶ Examples:
  - ▶  $(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|, \mathbb{Q})$
  - ▶  $(2^\omega, d_C, S_C)$  where  $d_C(X, Y) = 2^{-\min\{n: X(n) \neq Y(n)\}}$  and  $S_C = \{\sigma 0^\omega : \sigma \in 2^{<\omega}\}$
- ▶ Throughout the talk, we will focus on  $X = \mathbb{R}$ .

# Computable Analysis

- ▶ A (*Cauchy*) *name* of  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  is a computable sequence of rationals  $\{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  so that  $|q_n - q_{n+1}| < 2^{-n}$ .
- ▶ A function  $f : \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is *computable* if there is a Turing functional that sends a name of  $x \in \text{dom } f$  to a name of  $f(x)$ .
- ▶ A (*compact-open*) *name* of a function  $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$  is an enumeration  $\rho_f$  of the set  $\{N_{I,J} : f \in N_{I,J}\}$ , where
  - ▶  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is a compact interval;
  - ▶  $J \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is an open interval;
  - ▶  $N_{I,J} = \{f \in C(\mathbb{R}) : f(I) \subseteq J\}$ .
- ▶ A function  $F : \subseteq C(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is *computable* if there is a Turing functional that sends a name of  $f \in \text{dom } F$  to a name of  $F(f)$ .

# Computable Analysis

- ▶ A (*Cauchy*) *name* of  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  is a computable sequence of rationals  $\{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  so that  $|q_n - q_{n+1}| < 2^{-n}$ .
- ▶ A function  $f : \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is *computable* if there is a Turing functional that sends a name of  $x \in \text{dom } f$  to a name of  $f(x)$ .
- ▶ A (*compact-open*) *name* of a function  $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$  is an enumeration  $\rho_f$  of the set  $\{N_{I,J} : f \in N_{I,J}\}$ , where
  - ▶  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is a compact interval;
  - ▶  $J \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is an open interval;
  - ▶  $N_{I,J} = \{f \in C(\mathbb{R}) : f(I) \subseteq J\}$ .
- ▶ A function  $F : \subseteq C(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is *computable* if there is a Turing functional that sends a name of  $f \in \text{dom } F$  to a name of  $F(f)$ .

# Computable Analysis

- ▶ A (*Cauchy*) *name* of  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  is a computable sequence of rationals  $\{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  so that  $|q_n - q_{n+1}| < 2^{-n}$ .
- ▶ A function  $f : \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is *computable* if there is a Turing functional that sends a name of  $x \in \text{dom } f$  to a name of  $f(x)$ .
- ▶ A (*compact-open*) *name* of a function  $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$  is an enumeration  $\rho_f$  of the set  $\{N_{I,J} : f \in N_{I,J}\}$ , where
  - ▶  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is a compact interval;
  - ▶  $J \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is an open interval;
  - ▶  $N_{I,J} = \{f \in C(\mathbb{R}) : f(I) \subseteq J\}$ .
- ▶ A function  $F : \subseteq C(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is *computable* if there is a Turing functional that sends a name of  $f \in \text{dom } F$  to a name of  $F(f)$ .

# Computable Analysis

- ▶ A (*Cauchy*) name of  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  is a computable sequence of rationals  $\{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  so that  $|q_n - q_{n+1}| < 2^{-n}$ .
- ▶ A function  $f : \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is *computable* if there is a Turing functional that sends a name of  $x \in \text{dom } f$  to a name of  $f(x)$ .
- ▶ A (*compact-open*) name of a function  $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$  is an enumeration  $\rho_f$  of the set  $\{N_{I,J} : f \in N_{I,J}\}$ , where
  - ▶  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is a compact interval;
  - ▶  $J \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is an open interval;
  - ▶  $N_{I,J} = \{f \in C(\mathbb{R}) : f(I) \subseteq J\}$ .
- ▶ A function  $F : \subseteq C(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is *computable* if there is a Turing functional that sends a name of  $f \in \text{dom } F$  to a name of  $F(f)$ .

# Computable Analysis

$\{I_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ : effective enumeration of rational open intervals of  $\mathbb{R}$

▶ An open  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is  $\Sigma_1^0$  if  $\{i \in \mathbb{N} : I_i \subseteq U\}$  is c.e.; denote by  $\Sigma_1^0(\mathbb{R})$

▶ A closed  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is  $\Pi_1^0$  if  $\{i \in \mathbb{N} : I_i \cap C = \emptyset\}$  is c.e.; denote by  $\Pi_1^0(\mathbb{R})$

$\{W_e\}_{e \in \mathbb{N}}$ : effective enumeration of c.e. sets

▶ Index  $e$  of  $U \in \Sigma_1^0(\mathbb{R})$ :  $W_e = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : I_i \subseteq U\}$

▶ Index  $e$  of  $C \in \Pi_1^0(\mathbb{R})$ :  $W_e = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : I_i \cap C = \emptyset\}$



# Computable Analysis

$\{I_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ : effective enumeration of rational open intervals of  $\mathbb{R}$

► An open  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is  $\Sigma_1^0$  if  $\{i \in \mathbb{N} : I_i \subseteq U\}$  is c.e.; denote by  $\Sigma_1^0(\mathbb{R})$

► A closed  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is  $\Pi_1^0$  if  $\{i \in \mathbb{N} : I_i \cap C = \emptyset\}$  is c.e.; denote by  $\Pi_1^0(\mathbb{R})$

$\{W_e\}_{e \in \mathbb{N}}$ : effective enumeration of c.e. sets

► Index  $e$  of  $U \in \Sigma_1^0(\mathbb{R})$ :  $W_e = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : I_i \subseteq U\}$

► Index  $e$  of  $C \in \Pi_1^0(\mathbb{R})$ :  $W_e = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : I_i \cap C = \emptyset\}$

# Computable Analysis

$\{I_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ : effective enumeration of rational open intervals of  $\mathbb{R}$

- ▶ An open  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is  $\Sigma_1^0$  if  $\{i \in \mathbb{N} : I_i \subseteq U\}$  is c.e.; denote by  $\Sigma_1^0(\mathbb{R})$
- ▶ A closed  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is  $\Pi_1^0$  if  $\{i \in \mathbb{N} : I_i \cap C = \emptyset\}$  is c.e.; denote by  $\Pi_1^0(\mathbb{R})$

$\{W_e\}_{e \in \mathbb{N}}$ : effective enumeration of c.e. sets

- ▶ Index  $e$  of  $U \in \Sigma_1^0(\mathbb{R})$ :  $W_e = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : I_i \subseteq U\}$
- ▶ Index  $e$  of  $C \in \Pi_1^0(\mathbb{R})$ :  $W_e = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : I_i \cap C = \emptyset\}$

# Computable Analysis

$\{I_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ : effective enumeration of rational open intervals of  $\mathbb{R}$

▶ An open  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is  $\Sigma_1^0$  if  $\{i \in \mathbb{N} : I_i \subseteq U\}$  is c.e.; denote by  $\Sigma_1^0(\mathbb{R})$

▶ A closed  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is  $\Pi_1^0$  if  $\{i \in \mathbb{N} : I_i \cap C = \emptyset\}$  is c.e.; denote by  $\Pi_1^0(\mathbb{R})$

$\{W_e\}_{e \in \mathbb{N}}$ : effective enumeration of c.e. sets

▶ Index  $e$  of  $U \in \Sigma_1^0(\mathbb{R})$ :  $W_e = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : I_i \subseteq U\}$

▶ Index  $e$  of  $C \in \Pi_1^0(\mathbb{R})$ :  $W_e = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : I_i \cap C = \emptyset\}$

# Computable Analysis

$\{I_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ : effective enumeration of rational open intervals of  $\mathbb{R}$

► An open  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is  $\Sigma_1^0$  if  $\{i \in \mathbb{N} : I_i \subseteq U\}$  is c.e.; denote by  $\Sigma_1^0(\mathbb{R})$

► A closed  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is  $\Pi_1^0$  if  $\{i \in \mathbb{N} : I_i \cap C = \emptyset\}$  is c.e.; denote by  $\Pi_1^0(\mathbb{R})$

$\{W_e\}_{e \in \mathbb{N}}$ : effective enumeration of c.e. sets

► Index  $e$  of  $U \in \Sigma_1^0(\mathbb{R})$ :  $W_e = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : I_i \subseteq U\}$

► Index  $e$  of  $C \in \Pi_1^0(\mathbb{R})$ :  $W_e = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : I_i \cap C = \emptyset\}$

# Computable Analysis

$\{I_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ : effective enumeration of rational open intervals of  $\mathbb{R}$

► An open  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is  $\Sigma_1^0$  if  $\{i \in \mathbb{N} : I_i \subseteq U\}$  is c.e.; denote by  $\Sigma_1^0(\mathbb{R})$

► A closed  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is  $\Pi_1^0$  if  $\{i \in \mathbb{N} : I_i \cap C = \emptyset\}$  is c.e.; denote by  $\Pi_1^0(\mathbb{R})$

$\{W_e\}_{e \in \mathbb{N}}$ : effective enumeration of c.e. sets

► Index  $e$  of  $U \in \Sigma_1^0(\mathbb{R})$ :  $W_e = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : I_i \subseteq U\}$

► Index  $e$  of  $C \in \Pi_1^0(\mathbb{R})$ :  $W_e = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : I_i \cap C = \emptyset\}$

# Computable Analysis

For a compact subset  $K$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ :

- ▶ A (*minimal cover*) name of  $K$  is an enumeration of all minimal finite open covers of  $K$ .
- ▶  $K$  is *computably compact* if it has a computable name.
- ▶ An index of  $K$  is an index of a name of  $K$ .

# Computable Analysis

For a compact subset  $K$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ :

- ▶ A (*minimal cover*) name of  $K$  is an enumeration of all minimal finite open covers of  $K$ .
- ▶  $K$  is *computably compact* if it has a computable name.
- ▶ An index of  $K$  is an index of a name of  $K$ .

# Computable Analysis

For a compact subset  $K$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ :

- ▶ A (*minimal cover*) name of  $K$  is an enumeration of all minimal finite open covers of  $K$ .
- ▶  $K$  is *computably compact* if it has a computable name.
- ▶ An index of  $K$  is an index of a name of  $K$ .



# Computable Analysis

For a compact subset  $K$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ :

- ▶ A (*minimal cover*) name of  $K$  is an enumeration of all minimal finite open covers of  $K$ .
- ▶  $K$  is *computably compact* if it has a computable name.
- ▶ An index of  $K$  is an index of a name of  $K$ .

# Computable Measure Theory

- ▶ A measure  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$  is *computable* if  $\mu(\mathbb{R})$  is computable and  $\mu(U)$  is left-c.e. uniformly from (an index of)  $U \in \Sigma_1^0(\mathbb{R})$ .
- ▶ A sequence  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$  is *uniformly computable* if  $\mu_n$  is a computable measure uniformly in  $n$ .
- ▶ A set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is  $\mu$ -almost decidable if there is a pair  $U, V \in \Sigma_1^0(\mathbb{R})$  (called a  $\mu$ -almost decidable pair) such that  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ ,  $\mu(U \cup V) = \mu(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\overline{U \cup V} = \mathbb{R}$ , and  $U \subseteq A \subseteq \mathbb{R} \setminus V$ .
- ▶ Define an index  $e$  of a  $\mu$ -almost decidable  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  to be an index of its  $\mu$ -almost decidable pair.

# Computable Measure Theory

- ▶ A measure  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$  is *computable* if  $\mu(\mathbb{R})$  is computable and  $\mu(U)$  is left-c.e. uniformly from (an index of)  $U \in \Sigma_1^0(\mathbb{R})$ .
- ▶ A sequence  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$  is *uniformly computable* if  $\mu_n$  is a computable measure uniformly in  $n$ .
- ▶ A set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is  $\mu$ -almost decidable if there is a pair  $U, V \in \Sigma_1^0(\mathbb{R})$  (called a  $\mu$ -almost decidable pair) such that  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ ,  $\mu(U \cup V) = \mu(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\overline{U \cup V} = \mathbb{R}$ , and  $U \subseteq A \subseteq \mathbb{R} \setminus V$ .
- ▶ Define an index  $e$  of a  $\mu$ -almost decidable  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  to be an index of its  $\mu$ -almost decidable pair.

# Computable Measure Theory

- ▶ A measure  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$  is *computable* if  $\mu(\mathbb{R})$  is computable and  $\mu(U)$  is left-c.e. uniformly from (an index of)  $U \in \Sigma_1^0(\mathbb{R})$ .
- ▶ A sequence  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$  is *uniformly computable* if  $\mu_n$  is a computable measure uniformly in  $n$ .
- ▶ A set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is  $\mu$ -almost decidable if there is a pair  $U, V \in \Sigma_1^0(\mathbb{R})$  (called a  $\mu$ -almost decidable pair) such that  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ ,  $\mu(U \cup V) = \mu(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\overline{U \cup V} = \mathbb{R}$ , and  $U \subseteq A \subseteq \mathbb{R} \setminus V$ .
- ▶ Define an index  $e$  of a  $\mu$ -almost decidable  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  to be an index of its  $\mu$ -almost decidable pair.

# Computable Measure Theory

- ▶ A measure  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$  is *computable* if  $\mu(\mathbb{R})$  is computable and  $\mu(U)$  is left-c.e. uniformly from (an index of)  $U \in \Sigma_1^0(\mathbb{R})$ .
- ▶ A sequence  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$  is *uniformly computable* if  $\mu_n$  is a computable measure uniformly in  $n$ .
- ▶ A set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is  $\mu$ -almost decidable if there is a pair  $U, V \in \Sigma_1^0(\mathbb{R})$  (called a  $\mu$ -almost decidable pair) such that  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ ,  $\mu(U \cup V) = \mu(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\overline{U \cup V} = \mathbb{R}$ , and  $U \subseteq A \subseteq \mathbb{R} \setminus V$ .
- ▶ Define an index  $e$  of a  $\mu$ -almost decidable  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  to be an index of its  $\mu$ -almost decidable pair.

# Computable Measure Theory

Prokhorov metric  $\rho$  on  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ :  $\rho(\mu, \nu) :=$  the infimum over all  $\epsilon > 0$  so that  $\mu(A) \leq \nu(B(A, \epsilon)) + \epsilon$  and  $\nu(A) \leq \mu(B(A, \epsilon)) + \epsilon$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ , where

- ▶  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  is the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra of  $\mathbb{R}$ ;
- ▶  $B(A, \epsilon) = \bigcup_{a \in A} B(a, \epsilon)$ ;
- ▶  $B(a, \epsilon)$  is the open ball of radius  $\epsilon$  around  $a$ .

Work by M. Hoyrup and C. Rojas (2009) gives us the following:

- ▶  $(\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}), \rho, \mathcal{D})$  is a computable metric space, where  $\mathcal{D}$  denotes the space of finite rational linear combinations of Dirac measures on  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- ▶  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$  is computable if and only if  $I_\mu : f \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu$  is computable on computable  $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$ , uniformly from (a name of)  $f$ .

# Computable Measure Theory

Prokhorov metric  $\rho$  on  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ :  $\rho(\mu, \nu) :=$  the infimum over all  $\epsilon > 0$  so that  $\mu(A) \leq \nu(B(A, \epsilon)) + \epsilon$  and  $\nu(A) \leq \mu(B(A, \epsilon)) + \epsilon$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ , where

- ▶  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  is the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra of  $\mathbb{R}$ ;
- ▶  $B(A, \epsilon) = \bigcup_{a \in A} B(a, \epsilon)$ ;
- ▶  $B(a, \epsilon)$  is the open ball of radius  $\epsilon$  around  $a$ .

Work by M. Hoyrup and C. Rojas (2009) gives us the following:

- ▶  $(\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}), \rho, \mathcal{D})$  is a computable metric space, where  $\mathcal{D}$  denotes the space of finite rational linear combinations of Dirac measures on  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- ▶  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$  is computable if and only if  $I_\mu : f \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu$  is computable on computable  $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$ , uniformly from (a name of)  $f$ .

# Computable Measure Theory

Prokhorov metric  $\rho$  on  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ :  $\rho(\mu, \nu) :=$  the infimum over all  $\epsilon > 0$  so that  $\mu(A) \leq \nu(B(A, \epsilon)) + \epsilon$  and  $\nu(A) \leq \mu(B(A, \epsilon)) + \epsilon$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ , where

- ▶  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  is the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra of  $\mathbb{R}$ ;
- ▶  $B(A, \epsilon) = \bigcup_{a \in A} B(a, \epsilon)$ ;
- ▶  $B(a, \epsilon)$  is the open ball of radius  $\epsilon$  around  $a$ .

Work by M. Hoyrup and C. Rojas (2009) gives us the following:

- ▶  $(\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}), \rho, \mathcal{D})$  is a computable metric space, where  $\mathcal{D}$  denotes the space of finite rational linear combinations of Dirac measures on  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- ▶  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$  is computable if and only if  $I_\mu : f \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu$  is computable on computable  $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$ , uniformly from (a name of)  $f$ .



# Computable Measure Theory

Prokhorov metric  $\rho$  on  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ :  $\rho(\mu, \nu) :=$  the infimum over all  $\epsilon > 0$  so that  $\mu(A) \leq \nu(B(A, \epsilon)) + \epsilon$  and  $\nu(A) \leq \mu(B(A, \epsilon)) + \epsilon$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ , where

- ▶  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  is the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra of  $\mathbb{R}$ ;
- ▶  $B(A, \epsilon) = \bigcup_{a \in A} B(a, \epsilon)$ ;
- ▶  $B(a, \epsilon)$  is the open ball of radius  $\epsilon$  around  $a$ .

Work by M. Hoyrup and C. Rojas (2009) gives us the following:

- ▶  $(\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}), \rho, \mathcal{D})$  is a computable metric space, where  $\mathcal{D}$  denotes the space of finite rational linear combinations of Dirac measures on  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- ▶  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$  is computable if and only if  $I_\mu : f \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu$  is computable on computable  $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$ , uniformly from (a name of)  $f$ .

## Part II: Effective Weak Convergence of Measures on $\mathbb{R}$

- Let  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ .

## Definition.

$\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  *effectively weakly converges* to  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$  if for every bounded computable function  $f : \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\lim_n \int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu_n = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu$  and it is possible to compute an index of a modulus of convergence for  $\{\int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  from an index of  $f$  and a bound  $B \in \mathbb{N}$  on  $|f|$ .

- Let  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ .

## Definition.

$\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  *effectively weakly converges* to  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$  if for every bounded computable function  $f : \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\lim_n \int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu_n = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu$  and it is possible to compute an index of a modulus of convergence for  $\{\int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  from an index of  $f$  and a bound  $B \in \mathbb{N}$  on  $|f|$ .

## Definition.

$\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  *uniformly effectively weakly converges* to  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$  if it weakly converges to  $\mu$  and there is a uniform procedure that computes for any bounded continuous function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a modulus of convergence for  $\{\int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  from a name of  $f$  and a bound  $B \in \mathbb{N}$  on  $|f|$ .

## Example (1)

Fix  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $E \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ , a uniformly computable sequence  $\{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathbb{Q}$  that decreases to 0. The sequence  $\mu_n(E) = \lambda(E \cap [a - q_n, b + q_n])$  effectively weakly converges to  $\mu(E) = \lambda(E \cap [a, b])$ , where  $\lambda$  is Lebesgue measure on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ .

## Example (2)

For a uniformly computable sequence  $\{r_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathbb{Q}$  that converges to some computable  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , the sequence of Dirac measures  $\{\delta_{r_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  effectively weakly converges to  $\delta_r$ .

## Example (1)

Fix  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $E \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ , a uniformly computable sequence  $\{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathbb{Q}$  that decreases to 0. The sequence  $\mu_n(E) = \lambda(E \cap [a - q_n, b + q_n])$  effectively weakly converges to  $\mu(E) = \lambda(E \cap [a, b])$ , where  $\lambda$  is Lebesgue measure on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ .

## Example (2)

For a uniformly computable sequence  $\{r_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathbb{Q}$  that converges to some computable  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , the sequence of Dirac measures  $\{\delta_{r_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  effectively weakly converges to  $\delta_r$ .

## Proposition. (McNicholl, R. 2021+)

If  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is uniformly computable and effectively weakly converges to  $\mu$ , then  $\mu$  is a computable measure.

## Nonexample

*Let  $\{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a uniformly computable increasing sequence in  $\mathbb{Q}$  that converges to an incomputable left-c.e.  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . For  $E \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\lambda$  Lebesgue measure on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ , the sequence  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  defined by  $\mu_n(E) = \lambda(E \cap [0, q_n])$  weakly converges to  $\mu(E) = \lambda(E \cap [0, \alpha])$ , but fails to effectively weakly converge since  $\mu(\mathbb{R}) = \lambda([0, \alpha]) = \alpha$  is not computable.*



## Proposition. (McNicholl, R. 2021+)

If  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is uniformly computable and effectively weakly converges to  $\mu$ , then  $\mu$  is a computable measure.

## Nonexample

Let  $\{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a uniformly computable increasing sequence in  $\mathbb{Q}$  that converges to an incomputable left-c.e.  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . For  $E \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\lambda$  Lebesgue measure on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ , the sequence  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  defined by  $\mu_n(E) = \lambda(E \cap [0, q_n])$  weakly converges to  $\mu(E) = \lambda(E \cap [0, \alpha])$ , but fails to effectively weakly converge since  $\mu(\mathbb{R}) = \lambda([0, \alpha]) = \alpha$  is not computable.

## Theorem. (McNicholl, R. 2021+)

Suppose  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is uniformly computable. The following are equivalent:

- (1)  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is effectively weakly convergent;
- (2)  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is uniformly effectively weakly convergent.

## Portmanteau Theorem (Alexandroff 1941)

For a sequence  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ , the following are equivalent.

- (1)  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  weakly converges to  $\mu$
- (2) For every uniformly continuous  $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$ ,  
$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu_n = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu.$$
- (3) For every closed  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(C) \leq \mu(C)$ .
- (4) For every open  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(U) \geq \mu(U)$ .
- (5) For every  $\mu$ -continuity  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(A) = \mu(A)$ .

# Effective Portmanteau Theorem

To help us formulate an effective version of the aforementioned theorem, we need the following definition.

## Definition.

Suppose  $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of reals, and let  $g : \subseteq \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ .

1. We say  $g$  witnesses that  $\liminf_n a_n$  is not smaller than  $a$  if  $\text{dom}(g)$  is the left Dedekind cut of  $a$  and if  $r < a_n$  whenever  $r \in \text{dom}(g)$  and  $n \geq g(r)$ .
2. We say  $g$  witnesses that  $\limsup_n a_n$  is not larger than  $a$  if  $\text{dom}(g)$  is the right Dedekind cut of  $a$  and if  $r > a_n$  whenever  $r \in \text{dom}(g)$  and  $n \geq g(r)$ .

# Effective Portmanteau Theorem

To help us formulate an effective version of the aforementioned theorem, we need the following definition.

## Definition.

Suppose  $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of reals, and let  $g : \subseteq \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ .

1. We say  $g$  witnesses that  $\liminf_n a_n$  is not smaller than  $a$  if  $\text{dom}(g)$  is the left Dedekind cut of  $a$  and if  $r < a_n$  whenever  $r \in \text{dom}(g)$  and  $n \geq g(r)$ .
2. We say  $g$  witnesses that  $\limsup_n a_n$  is not larger than  $a$  if  $\text{dom}(g)$  is the right Dedekind cut of  $a$  and if  $r > a_n$  whenever  $r \in \text{dom}(g)$  and  $n \geq g(r)$ .

# Effective Portmanteau Theorem

## Theorem. (McNicholl, R. 2021+)

For a uniformly computable sequence  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ , the following are equivalent.

- (1)  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  effectively weakly converges to  $\mu$
- (2) From  $\epsilon, B \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $\epsilon$  indexes a uniformly continuous  $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$  with  $|f| \leq B$ , it is possible to compute a modulus of convergence of  $\{\int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  with limit  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu$ .
- (3)  $\mu$  is computable, and from an index of  $C \in \Pi_1^0(\mathbb{R})$  it is possible to compute an index of a witness that  $\limsup_n \mu_n(C)$  is not larger than  $\mu(C)$ .
- (4)  $\mu$  is computable, and from an index of  $U \in \Sigma_1^0(\mathbb{R})$  it is possible to compute an index of a witness that  $\liminf_n \mu_n(U)$  is not smaller than  $\mu(U)$ .
- (5)  $\mu$  is computable, and for every  $\mu$ -almost decidable  $A$ ,  $\lim_n \mu_n(A) = \mu(A)$  and an index of a modulus of convergence of  $\{\mu_n(A)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  can be computed from an index of  $A$ .

# Effective Convergence in the Prokhorov Metric

- ▶ We say  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  *converges effectively in the Prokhorov metric*  $\rho$  to  $\mu$  if there is a computable function  $\epsilon : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \geq \epsilon(N)$  implies  $\rho(\mu_n, \mu) < 2^{-N}$  for all  $n, N$ .
- ▶ Note:  $\rho$  metrizes the topology of weak convergence of measures on  $\mathcal{M}(X)$  for a separable metric space  $X$ .
- ▶ The following result is a consequence of the Effective Portmanteau Theorem.

## Theorem. (R. 2021+)

For a uniformly computable sequence  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ , the following are equivalent.

- (1)  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  effectively weakly converges to  $\mu$
- (2)  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges effectively in  $\rho$  to  $\mu$

# Effective Convergence in the Prokhorov Metric

- ▶ We say  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  *converges effectively in the Prokhorov metric*  $\rho$  to  $\mu$  if there is a computable function  $\epsilon : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \geq \epsilon(N)$  implies  $\rho(\mu_n, \mu) < 2^{-N}$  for all  $n, N$ .
- ▶ Note:  $\rho$  metrizes the topology of weak convergence of measures on  $\mathcal{M}(X)$  for a separable metric space  $X$ .
- ▶ The following result is a consequence of the Effective Portmanteau Theorem.

## Theorem. (R. 2021+)

For a uniformly computable sequence  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ , the following are equivalent.

- (1)  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  effectively weakly converges to  $\mu$
- (2)  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges effectively in  $\rho$  to  $\mu$



# Effective Convergence in the Prokhorov Metric

- ▶ We say  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  *converges effectively in the Prokhorov metric*  $\rho$  to  $\mu$  if there is a computable function  $\epsilon : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \geq \epsilon(N)$  implies  $\rho(\mu_n, \mu) < 2^{-N}$  for all  $n, N$ .
- ▶ Note:  $\rho$  metrizes the topology of weak convergence of measures on  $\mathcal{M}(X)$  for a separable metric space  $X$ .
- ▶ The following result is a consequence of the Effective Portmanteau Theorem.

## Theorem. (R. 2021+)

For a uniformly computable sequence  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ , the following are equivalent.

- (1)  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  effectively weakly converges to  $\mu$
- (2)  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges effectively in  $\rho$  to  $\mu$

# Effective Convergence in the Prokhorov Metric

- ▶ We say  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  *converges effectively in the Prokhorov metric*  $\rho$  to  $\mu$  if there is a computable function  $\epsilon : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \geq \epsilon(N)$  implies  $\rho(\mu_n, \mu) < 2^{-N}$  for all  $n, N$ .
- ▶ Note:  $\rho$  metrizes the topology of weak convergence of measures on  $\mathcal{M}(X)$  for a separable metric space  $X$ .
- ▶ The following result is a consequence of the Effective Portmanteau Theorem.

## Theorem. (R. 2021+)

For a uniformly computable sequence  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ , the following are equivalent.

- (1)  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  effectively weakly converges to  $\mu$
- (2)  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges effectively in  $\rho$  to  $\mu$

## Part III: Effective Vague Convergence of Measures on $\mathbb{R}$

- Let  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ .

## Definition.

$\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  *effectively vaguely converges* to  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$  if for every compactly-supported computable function  $f : \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\lim_n \int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu_n = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu$  and it is possible to compute an index of a modulus of convergence for  $\{\int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  from an index of  $f$  and an index of  $\text{supp } f$ .

- ▶ Let  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ .

## Definition.

$\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  *effectively vaguely converges* to  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$  if for every compactly-supported computable function  $f : \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\lim_n \int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu_n = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu$  and it is possible to compute an index of a modulus of convergence for  $\{\int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  from an index of  $f$  and an index of  $\text{supp } f$ .

## Definition.

$\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  *uniformly effectively vaguely converges* to  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$  if it vaguely converges to  $\mu$  and there is a uniform procedure that computes for any compactly-supported continuous function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a modulus of convergence for  $\{\int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  from a name of  $f$  and a name of  $\text{supp } f$ .

In contrast to effective weak convergence:

**Proposition.** (R. 2021+)

There is a uniformly computable sequence in  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$  that effectively vaguely converges but such that the limit measure  $\mu$  has the property that  $\mu(\mathbb{R})$  is an incomputable real.

**Sketch.**

Let  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$  be an incomputable c.e. set, and let  $\{a_i\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an effective enumeration of  $A$ . The sequence  $\mu_n = \sum_{i=0}^n 2^{-(a_i+1)} \delta_i$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  effectively vaguely converges to the measure  $\mu = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{-(a_i+1)} \delta_i$ .

Note that  $\mu(\mathbb{R}) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{-(a_i+1)}$  is incomputable since it is the limit of a Specker sequence.  $\square$

In contrast to effective weak convergence:

**Proposition. (R. 2021+)**

There is a uniformly computable sequence in  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$  that effectively vaguely converges but such that the limit measure  $\mu$  has the property that  $\mu(\mathbb{R})$  is an incomputable real.

## Sketch.

Let  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$  be an incomputable c.e. set, and let  $\{a_i\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an effective enumeration of  $A$ . The sequence  $\mu_n = \sum_{i=0}^n 2^{-(a_i+1)} \delta_i$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  effectively vaguely converges to the measure  $\mu = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{-(a_i+1)} \delta_i$ .

Note that  $\mu(\mathbb{R}) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{-(a_i+1)}$  is incomputable since it is the limit of a Specker sequence. □



In contrast to effective weak convergence:

**Proposition. (R. 2021+)**

There is a uniformly computable sequence in  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$  that effectively vaguely converges but such that the limit measure  $\mu$  has the property that  $\mu(\mathbb{R})$  is an incomputable real.

## Sketch.

Let  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$  be an incomputable c.e. set, and let  $\{a_i\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an effective enumeration of  $A$ . The sequence  $\mu_n = \sum_{i=0}^n 2^{-(a_i+1)} \delta_i$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  effectively vaguely converges to the measure  $\mu = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{-(a_i+1)} \delta_i$ .

Note that  $\mu(\mathbb{R}) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{-(a_i+1)}$  is incomputable since it is the limit of a Specker sequence. □

## Proposition. (R. 2021+)

If  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a uniformly computable sequence that effectively vaguely converges to  $\mu$  and  $\mu(\mathbb{R})$  is computable, then  $\mu$  is computable.

## Theorem. (R. 2021+)

Suppose  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is uniformly computable. The following are equivalent:

- (1)  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is effectively vaguely convergent;
- (2)  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is uniformly effectively vaguely convergent.

## Proposition. (R. 2021+)

If  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a uniformly computable sequence that effectively vaguely converges to  $\mu$  and  $\mu(\mathbb{R})$  is computable, then  $\mu$  is computable.

## Theorem. (R. 2021+)

Suppose  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is uniformly computable. The following are equivalent:

- (1)  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is effectively vaguely convergent;
- (2)  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is uniformly effectively vaguely convergent.

# Connections with Effective Weak Convergence

## Theorem. (R. 2021+)

Suppose  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is uniformly computable. Suppose further that there is a computable modulus of convergence for  $\{\mu_n(\mathbb{R})\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . The following are equivalent:

- (1)  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is effectively vaguely convergent;
- (2)  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is effectively weakly convergent.

## Corollary.

Suppose  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a uniformly computable sequence of probability measures. The following are equivalent:

- (1)  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is effectively vaguely convergent;
- (2)  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is effectively weakly convergent.

# Connections with Effective Weak Convergence

## Theorem. (R. 2021+)

Suppose  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is uniformly computable. Suppose further that there is a computable modulus of convergence for  $\{\mu_n(\mathbb{R})\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . The following are equivalent:







- (1)  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is effectively vaguely convergent;
- (2)  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is effectively weakly convergent.

## Corollary.






Suppose  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a uniformly computable sequence of probability measures. The following are equivalent:

- (1)  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is effectively vaguely convergent;
- (2)  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is effectively weakly convergent.

# References I

-  Alexandroff, A. “Additive set-functions in abstract spaces”. In: *Matematicheskii Sbornik* 9.52 (3 1941), pp. 563–628.
-  Bogachev, V. *Weak convergence of measures*. Vol. 234. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2018.
-  Gács, P. “Uniform test of algorithmic randomness over a general space”. In: *Theoretical Computer Science* 341.1 (2005), pp. 91–137.
-  Hoyrup, M. and C. Rojas. “Computability of probability measures and Martin-Löf randomness over metric spaces”. In: *Information and Computation* 207 (2009), pp. 830–847.
-  Kallianpur, G. “The Topology of Weak Convergence of Probability Measures”. In: *Journal of Mathematics and Mechanics* 10 (6 1961), pp. 947–969.
-  McNicholl, T. and D. Rojas. “Effective notions of weak convergence of measures on the real line”. In: *arxiv.org/abs/2106.00086* (2021).

# References II

-  Mori, T., Y. Tsujii, and M. Yasugi. “Computability of Probability Distributions and Distribution Functions”. In: *6th International Conference on Computability and Complexity in Analysis (CCA'09)*. Vol. 11. 2009.
-  Prokhorov, Y. “Convergence of random processes and limit theorems in probability theory”. In: *Theory of Probability and Its Applications* 1 (2 1956), pp. 157–214.
-  Rute, J. “Computable randomness and betting for computable probability spaces”. In: *Mathematical Logic Quarterly* 62.4-5 (2016), pp. 335–366.
-  Schröder, M. “Admissible representations for probability measures”. In: *Mathematical Logic Quarterly* 53.4-5 (2007), pp. 431–445.
-  Weihrauch, K. *Computable Analysis: An Introduction*. Springer-Verlag, 2000.

Thank you!