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#### Abstract

We will answer the questions posed by Silverman in [1] about the sum-of-squares-of-digits function as special cases in our study of analogous functions for other bases and exponents, and propose multiple avenues for further research.


## Notation and Preliminaries

In this paper, all objects will be elements of $\mathbb{N}$ unless stated otherwise, though we may explicitly state an object's membership in $\mathbb{N}$ for emphasis. For conciseness, given any set $A$ and a map $f: A \rightarrow A$, and for an $a \in A$ and an $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we will write $f^{m}(a)$ to denote $f\left(f^{m-1}(a)\right)$, if $m>1$, and $f(a)$, if $m=1$; it is also occasionally convenient to say $f^{0}(a)=a$. In other words, $f^{m}(a)$ denotes $f$ applied to $a, m$ times. We will use the simple fact that $f^{n}\left(f^{m}(a)\right)=f^{n+m}(a)$ without proof. We will also need some definitions:

Definition 1. A cycle of a map $f: A \rightarrow A$ is a finite, non-empty subset $C \subset A$ with $\forall c_{1}, c_{2} \in C, f\left(c_{1}\right) \in C$ and $\exists m \in \mathbb{N}: f^{m}\left(c_{1}\right)=c_{2}$.
Definition 2. A fixed point of a map $f: A \rightarrow A$ is a cycle with one element. Equivalently, $\{a\}$ is a fixed point of $f$ if $f(a)=a$.

Definition 3. An element $a \in A$ reaches a cycle $C$ under the map $f: A \rightarrow A$ if $\exists m \in \mathbb{N}: f^{m}(a) \in C$.
Definition 4. If $a \in A$ reaches a cycle $C$ under the map $f: A \rightarrow A$, then the preperiod of $a$ is defined to be $\min \left\{m \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}: f^{m}(a) \in C\right\}$.

Finally, recall the system of base representation for natural numbers; for a $b \in \mathbb{N}, b \geq 2$, the base, we can examine combinations $\sum_{i=0}^{k} a_{i} b^{i}$ of the powers of $b$, whose coefficients $a_{i}$ are called digits when each $0 \leq a_{i}<b$. We will assume throughout this paper the elementary result that every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ has a unique representation in any base $b$, that is, $n=\sum_{i=0}^{k} a_{i} b^{i}$ for a unique collection of digits $0 \leq a_{i}<b$. In such a representation, $k=\left\lfloor\log _{b}(n)\right\rfloor$.

## Introduction to Problem

In [1], the function $F$ is given as $F(n)=$ the sum of the squares of the digits of $n$, where we are implicitly using the digits of $n$ in base 10. In more precise terms,
Definition 5. $F: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is the map taking $n=\sum_{i=0}^{k} a_{i} 10^{i}$ to $F(n)=\sum_{i=0}^{k} a_{i}^{2}$, where $k=\left\lfloor\log _{10}(n)\right\rfloor$ and each $a_{i}$ is an integer $0 \leq a_{i}<10$.

The sequence $F^{0}(n), F^{1}(n), F^{2}(n), \ldots$ is the subject of our investigation. One initial observation is that, because $F(1)=1,\{1\}$ is a fixed point of $F$. The specific questions we are charged with are

- Are there infinitely many numbers $n$ which reach $\{1\}$ under $F$ ?
- Are there infinitely many numbers $n$ which don't reach $\{1\}$ under $F$ ?
- For those $n$ which don't reach $\{1\}$ under $F$, what does the sequence $F^{0}(n), F^{1}(n), F^{2}(n), \ldots$ do?

Due to space considerations we will go straight to a more general formulation.

## Statement of General Problem

Let $b, e \in \mathbb{N}$, with $b \geq 2$. We will generalize the above function $F$ to consider bases other than 10 , and exponents for the digits other than 2, as follows:
Definition 6. $F_{b, e}(n): \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is the map taking $n=\sum_{i=0}^{k} a_{i} b^{i}$ to $\sum_{i=0}^{k} a_{i}^{e}$, where $k=\left\lfloor\log _{b}(n)\right\rfloor$ and each $a_{i}$ is an integer $0 \leq a_{i}<b$.

[^0]From this definition, we have that $F_{10,2}=F$. We also have that $\{1\}$ is a fixed point of $F_{b, e}$ for all $b$ and $e$, because the representation of 1 base $b$ is " 1 " for all bases $b$, and $1^{e}=1$, so that $F_{b, e}(1)=1$. Some of the questions about $F_{b, e}$ we will be approaching are generalizations of the ones posed in [1]; others are new. Given some $b$ and $e$,

- Do all $n$ reach a cycle under $F_{b, e}$ ?
- If $n$ reaches a cycle under $F_{b, e}$, how long is its preperiod?
- For each cycle of $F_{b, e}$ - in particular, $\{1\}$ - are there infinitely many $n$ that reach it?
- How many cycles of $F_{b, e}$ are there? What lengths can the cycles of $F_{b, e}$ have?
- How many fixed points of $F_{b, e}$ are there? How many digits do the fixed points of $F_{b, e}$ have?
- What else can be said about the action of $F_{b, e}$ ?


## Results

We first introduce some basic results that rigorize our intuition about cycles.
Proposition 1. If $a \in A$ has $a \in C$ for some cycle $C$, then $f^{m}(a) \in C$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.
Proof. We proceed by induction. By the definition of a cycle, if $a \in C$, then $f(a)=f^{1}(a) \in C$; this is the base case. Suppose that if $a \in C$, then $f^{i}(a) \in C$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then apply the base case to $f^{k}(a) \in C$ to see that $f\left(f^{k}(a)\right)=f^{k+1}(a) \in C$. Thus, $f^{m}(a) \in C$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proposition 2. If $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are two distinct cycles of $f: A \rightarrow A$, then $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are disjoint.
Proof. We prove the contrapositive. Suppose $a \in C_{1}$ and $a \in C_{2}$, but that $\exists c \in C_{1}$ which $c \notin C_{2}$. Because $c \in C_{1}$, we have $c=f^{m}(a)$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ by the definition of cycle. But by Proposition $1, f^{m}(a) \in C_{2}$ as well because $a \in C_{2}$; contradiction. Thus if two cycles $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ share one element $a$, then $C_{1}=C_{2}$.

Proposition 3. If $a \in A$ reaches a cycle $C$ under $f: A \rightarrow A$, then $a$ reaches no cycle other than $C$.
Proof. Suppose $a \in A$ reached both $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$. Then $\exists m_{1} \in \mathbb{N}: f^{m_{1}}(a) \in C_{1}$ and $\exists m_{2} \in \mathbb{N}: f^{m_{2}}(a) \in C_{2}$. Without loss of generality, $m_{1} \leq m_{2}$. If $m_{1}=m_{2}$, then $f^{m_{2}}(a) \in C_{1}$ and $f^{m_{2}}(a) \in C_{2}$, and so by Proposition 2, $C_{1}=C_{2}$. If $m_{1}<m_{2}$, then $f^{m_{2}-m_{1}}\left(f^{m_{1}}(a)\right)=f^{m_{2}}(a) \in C_{1}$ by Proposition 1 , so once again $f^{m_{2}}(a) \in C_{1}$ and $f^{m_{2}}(a) \in C_{2}$, and so by Proposition 2, $C_{1}=C_{2}$.

Proposition 4. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}, a \in A$ reaches a cycle $C$ under $f: A \rightarrow A$ iff $f^{m}(a)$ reaches $C$.
Proof. We proceed by induction. If $a \in A$ reaches $C$, then $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}: f^{n}(a) \in C$. If $n>1$, then $f^{n}(a)=f^{n-1}(f(a)) \in C$, and so $f(a)$ reaches $C$; if $n=1$, then $f^{1}(a)=f(a) \in C$, and by definition of a cycle, $f(f(a)) \in C$, so that $f(a)$ reaches $C$. Thus, if $a$ reaches $C$, then $f(a)$ reaches $C$. Conversely, if $f(a)$ reaches $C$, then $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}: f^{n}(f(a)) \in C$. Thus $f^{n+1}(a) \in C$, and thus $a$ reaches $C$. Therefore, we have $a$ reaches $C$ iff $f(a)$ reaches $C$. Now, suppose $a$ reaches $C$ iff $f^{i}(a)$ reaches $C$, for each $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then apply the base case to $f^{k}(a)$ to see that $a$ reaches $C$ iff $f^{k}(a)$ reaches $C$ iff $f\left(f^{k}(a)\right)=f^{k+1}(a)$ reaches $C$. Thus, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}, a$ reaches $C$ iff $f^{m}(a)$ reaches $C$.

The ordering of $\mathbb{N}$ allows us to draw much stronger conclusions. The following is our key theorem.
Theorem 1. Suppose a map $g: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ satisfies $\exists n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}: \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n>n_{0}, g(n)<n$. Then

1. Every $n$ reaches exactly one cycle of $g$.
2. There are at most $n_{0}$ cycles of $g$.
3. Each cycle is at most $M$ long, where $M=\max \left\{g(n): n \leq n_{0}\right\}$.
4. The preperiod of $n$ is at most $M+\max \left\{0, n-n_{0}\right\}$.

Proof. Choose any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. First, we will show that $\exists m \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}: g^{m}(n) \leq n_{0}$ (that is, either $n \leq n_{0}$ or $\left.\exists m \in \mathbb{N}: g^{m}(n) \leq n_{0}\right)$. If $n \leq n_{0}$, we are done; so suppose $n>n_{0}$. Consider the numbers $n=g^{0}(n), g^{1}(n), g^{2}(n), \ldots, g^{n-n_{0}}(n)$. If all of these numbers were greater than $n_{0}$, then by hypothesis, for each $1 \leq i \leq n-n_{0}, g^{i}(n)<g^{i-1}(n)$, or equivalently, $g^{i}(n) \leq g^{i-1}(n)-1$. Combining these inequalities, we have $g^{i}(n) \leq g^{0}(n)-i=n-i$. But if this were true for each $1 \leq i \leq n-n_{0}$, then $g^{n-n_{0}}(n) \leq n-\left(n-n_{0}\right)=n_{0}$; contradiction. Thus, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, either $n \leq n_{0}$ or $\exists m \in \mathbb{N}: g^{m}(n) \leq n_{0}$, and we can combine these statements by saying that $g^{m}(n) \leq n_{0}$ for some $0 \leq m \leq \max \left\{0, n-n_{0}\right\}$.

Now we will prove that if $n \leq n_{0}$, then $g^{m}(n) \leq M$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We proceed by induction. For any $n \leq n_{0}, g(n) \leq M$ by our choice of $M$. Suppose that for all $n \leq n_{0}, g^{i}(n) \leq M$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. If $g^{k}(n) \leq n_{0}$, then $g\left(g^{k}(n)\right)=g^{k+1}(n) \leq M$, once again by our choice of $M$; if $g^{k}(n)>n_{0}$, then $g^{k+1}(n)=g\left(g^{k}(n)\right)<g^{k}(n) \leq M$ by our choice of $n_{0}$. Thus if $n \leq n_{0}$, then $g^{m}(n) \leq M$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. But this means that, for any $n \leq n_{0}$, there is at least one repeat $g^{i}(n)=g^{j}(n), i<j$ among the numbers $g^{1}(n), g^{2}(n), \ldots, g^{M+1}(n)$ because each is an integer between 1 and $M$. Choose any repeat among these for which $j-i$ is minimal; it will necessarily have $g^{i}(n) \neq g^{k}(n)$ for $i<k<j$, by minimality. Then $C=\left\{g^{i}(n), g^{i+1}(n), \ldots, g^{j-1}(n)\right\}$ is a cycle with $j-i$ elements, because for $i \leq k<j-1, g\left(g^{k}(n)\right)=$ $g^{k+1}(n) \in C$, and $g\left(g^{j-1}(n)\right)=g^{j}(n)=g^{i}(n) \in C$, so that for all $g^{k}(n) \in C, g^{k+1}(n) \in C$; and because for any $i \leq i+a<j$ and any $i \leq i+b<j, g^{j-i-a+b}\left(g^{i+a}(n)\right)=g^{j+b}(n)=g^{b}\left(g^{j}(n)\right)=g^{b}\left(g^{i}(n)\right)=g^{i+b}(n)$, so for any $g^{i+a}(n), g^{i+b}(n) \in C, \exists m \in \mathbb{N}: g^{m}\left(g^{i+a}(n)\right)=g^{i+b}(n)$. Thus $C$ satisfies the definition of cycle. Clearly, $n$ reaches $C$ under $g$ because $g^{i}(n) \in C$, and by Proposition 3, this is the only cycle $n$ goes to. Thus, we have shown that every $n \leq n_{0}$ reaches exactly one cycle. But we have also proven that every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ has some $0 \leq m \leq \max \left\{0, n-n_{0}\right\}$ for which $g^{m}(n) \leq n_{0}$, and by Proposition $4, n$ reaches whatever cycle $g^{m}(n)$ does; thus every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ reaches exactly one cycle of $g$.

The other parts of the theorem follow easily from our construction above. Because for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is an $x \leq n_{0}$ which reaches the same cycle as $n$, the set of cycles which the numbers less than $n_{0}$ reach necessarily comprises all the cycles any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ can reach. The "worst case scenario" is if each $x \leq n_{0}$ has a distinct cycle, in which case there would be $n_{0}$ cycles; if some of the numbers $\leq n_{0}$ share a cycle, there are less than $n_{0}$ cycles. Similarly, because all cycles of $g$ are necessarily subsets of $\{1,2, \ldots, M\}$ (by our construction), the longest one could possibly be is $M$. Finally, our construction showed that it takes at most $0 \leq m \leq \max \left\{0, n-n_{0}\right\}$ applications of $g$ to $n$ to reach a number $\leq n_{0}$; after that point, the "worst case scenario" would be that the only cycle is a single fixed point $c$, and that we would have to go through all $M-1$ of the other numbers $\leq M$ before we reached it. Thus, it takes at most $M+\max \left\{0, n-n_{0}\right\}$ applications of $g$ to $n$ to reach its cycle.

Theorem 2. For all $b, e \in \mathbb{N}, b \geq 2, \exists n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}: \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n>n_{0}, F_{b, e}(n)<n$. Thus, $F_{b, e}$ has all the properties described in Theorem 1.
Proof. Fix some $b, e \in \mathbb{N}, b \geq 2$. Recall that for $n=\sum_{i=0}^{k} a_{i} b^{i}, F_{b, e}(n)=\sum_{i=0}^{k} a_{i}^{e}$, where $k=\left\lfloor\log _{b}(n)\right\rfloor$. We want to find an $n_{0}$ such that, for all $n>n_{0}, n=\sum_{i=0}^{k} a_{i} b^{i}>\sum_{i=0}^{k} a_{i}^{e}=F_{b, e}(n)$. Because each $a_{i}$ has $0 \leq a_{i}<b$, if we find an $n_{0}$ such that for all $n>n_{0}, n=\sum_{i=0}^{k} a_{i} b^{i}>\sum_{i=0}^{k} b^{e}$, that $n_{0}$ would certainly have $n>F_{b, e}(n)$ for all $n>n_{0}$. Because $k=\left\lfloor\log _{b}(n)\right\rfloor$, this is equivalent to $n>\left(\left\lfloor\log _{b}(n)\right\rfloor+1\right) b^{e}$; we can drop the $\left\rfloor\right.$, because doing so only makes the condition for $n_{0}$ stronger; thus, we want a point $n_{0}$ for which $\frac{n}{\log _{b}(n)+1}>b^{e}$ for all $n>n_{0}$. Because the left side is monotonically increasing and unbounded and the right side is just a constant, there is obviously such an $n_{0}$.

Definition 7. Define the function $n_{0}(b, e)$ to be the least $m \in \mathbb{N}$ for which $\frac{m}{\log _{b}(m)+1}>b^{e}$.
Remark. Note that $n_{0}(b, e)$ is not the least number $m$ with the property that $n>F_{b, e}(n)$ for all $n>m$, because we replaced the digits with $b$ 's and so our answer is larger than necessary. There is also no elementary expression for $n_{0}(b, e)$ - an analytic solution requires the Lambert W-function - but Mathematica is able to provide a good numerical approximation.

Thus, to find all cycles of $F_{b, e}$, we simply have Mathematica compute the bound $n_{0}(b, e)$, and find which cycles the numbers less than $n_{0}(b, e)$ reach. I wrote a Mathematica program to do this ${ }^{2}$, and it determined for $b=10, e=2$ that $n_{0}=356$ and $M=166$. It then found the only cycles reached by numbers less than 356 were $\{1\}$ and $\{4,16,37,58,89,145,42,20\}$ (certainly consistent with Theorem 1's upper bound of 356 cycles, and upper bound of cycles having 166 elements), and by Theorem 1, these are the only cycles of $F_{10,2}$, and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ reaches one or the other. Also by Theorem 1 , the preperiod of $n$ under $F_{10,2}$ is at most $166+\max \{0, n-356\}$. Given any other choice of $b$ and $e$, we can do these calculations, though because this is only an algorithm, there is no "formula" in terms of $b$ and $e$.

We now present some results on fixed points ${ }^{3}$ for the case of $e=2$.
Proposition 5. If $b \in \mathbb{N}, b \geq 2$ is odd, then $F_{b, 2}$ has at least 3 fixed points.
Proof. Besides the fixed point 1, which is fixed for any base $b$, if $b=2 r+1$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$, then $r b+(r+1)$ and $(r+1) b+(r+1)$ are fixed points because $r^{2}+(r+1)^{2}=2 r^{2}+2 r+1=r(2 r+1)+(r+1)=r b+(r+1)$ and $(r+1)^{2}+(r+1)^{2}=2 r^{2}+4 r+2=(r+1)(2 r+1)+(r+1)=(r+1) b+(r+1)$, respectively.

We can actually vastly generalize such an analysis, via the following theorem:
Theorem 3. For all $b \in \mathbb{N}, b \geq 2$, a fixed point $n$ of $F_{b, 2}$ has either that $n=1$, or that $n$ has 2 base- $b$ digits. There are an odd number of fixed points of $F_{b, 2}$. There are at most $b^{2}-b+1$ fixed points of $F_{b, 2}$.

Proof. First, we check this for $b=2,3,4$. By following the approach outlined above, Mathematica determined that the only cycle of $F_{2,2}$ is $\{1\}$; that the only cycles of $F_{3,2}$ are $\{1\},\{5\},\{8\},\{2,4\}$; and that the only cycle of $F_{4,2}$ is $\{1\}$. Written in their respective bases, the fixed points of the above are 1 for $b=2$; $1,12,22$ for $b=3$; and 1 for $b=4$. Thus, we can see that there are an odd number of fixed points of $F_{b, 2}$ for $b=2,3,4$, and that other than 1 , each fixed point has 2 base- $b$ digits. Now, we assume $b>4$. Note that $n_{0}=b^{3}$ works as a bound in Theorem 2, because $\frac{b^{3}}{3+1}>b^{2}$ (since $b>4$ ). Thus, any fixed points of $F_{b, 2}$ will have to be $\leq b^{3}$. $b^{3}$ itself is not a fixed point, because $F_{b, 2}\left(b^{3}\right)=1^{2}+0^{2}+0^{2}+0^{2}=1$; thus any fixed points of $F_{b, 2}$ have to be $<b^{3}$, i.e. have at most 3 base- $b$ digits. Suppose $a_{2} b^{2}+a_{1} b+a_{0}$ were a fixed point, with $a_{2} \neq 0$ and each $0 \leq a_{i}<b$. Then $a_{2} b^{2}+a_{1} b+a_{0}=a_{2}^{2}+a_{1}^{2}+a_{0}^{2}$, so that $a_{2}\left(b^{2}-a_{2}\right)+a_{1}\left(b-a_{1}\right)=a_{0}\left(a_{0}-1\right)$. The term $a_{2}\left(b^{2}-a_{2}\right) \geq\left(b^{2}-1\right)$ because the derivative of $a_{2}\left(b^{2}-a_{2}\right)$ is positive on the interval $(0, b)$ (since $b>4$ ), so that the minimum value of $a_{2}\left(b^{2}-a_{2}\right)$ is given by the minimum value of $a_{2}$, which is 1 . In addition, the term $a_{1}\left(b-a_{1}\right) \geq 0$ by a similar analysis (the difference being that $a_{1}$ could be 0 ). However, the term $a_{0}\left(a_{0}-1\right)$ is at most $(b-1)(b-2)$, because $a_{0}\left(a_{0}-1\right)$ reaches its maximum value for the maximum value of $a_{0}$, which is $b-1$. Thus the left side of the equation is $\geq\left(b^{2}-1\right)$, and the right side is $\leq(b-1)(b-2)$; contradiction. Thus, no fixed points of $F_{b, 2}$ have 3 base- $b$ digits, so fixed points of $F_{b, 2}$ can only have either 1 or 2 base- $b$ digits. If $0 \leq a_{0}<b$ is a fixed point, then $a_{0}=F_{b, e}\left(a_{0}\right)=a_{0}^{2}$; thus the only fixed point with 1 base- $b$ digit is 1 , and thus all other fixed points of $F_{b, 2}$ must have 2 base- $b$ digits.

Now, suppose $a_{1} b+a_{0}$ is a fixed point of $F_{b, 2}$ with $a_{1} \neq 0$ and $0 \leq a_{0}, a_{1}<b$; then $\left(b-a_{1}\right) b+a_{0}$ is also a fixed point, because $F_{b, 2}\left(\left(b-a_{1}\right) b+a_{0}\right)=\left(b-a_{1}\right)^{2}+a_{0}^{2}=b^{2}-2 a_{1} b+a_{1}^{2}+a_{0}^{2}=b^{2}-2 a_{1} b+\left(a_{1} b+a_{0}\right)=$ $\left(b-a_{1}\right) b+a_{0}$. This suffices to show that there are an even number of fixed points with 2 base- $b$ digits if we can prove that there are no fixed points with $a_{1}=b-a_{1}$, i.e. $b=2 a_{1}$. If $a_{1}\left(2 a_{1}\right)+a_{0}$ were a fixed point, then $a_{1}\left(2 a_{1}\right)+a_{0}=a_{1}^{2}+a_{0}^{2}$, so that $a_{1}^{2}=a_{0}\left(a_{0}-1\right)$, but $a_{0}$ and $a_{0}-1$ cannot both be squares; contradiction. Thus, we can pair off fixed points having 2 base- $b$ digits (pairing each $a_{1} b+a_{0}$ with $\left(b-a_{1}\right) b+a_{0}$ ) and combined with the fixed point 1 , we have shown there are an odd number of fixed points of $F_{b, 2}$. Finally, if every number with 2 base- $b$ digits, and 1 , were fixed points, we would have $(b-1) b+1=b^{2}-b+1$ fixed points (because there are $(b-1) b$ numbers with 2 base- $b$ digits); thus there are at most that many.

[^1]Theorem 4. Given any $b, e \in \mathbb{N}, b \geq 2$, for all cycles $C$ of $F_{b, e}, \exists$ infinitely many $m \in \mathbb{N}: m$ reaches $C$.
Proof. We can actually prove something much stronger: for all $n, b, e \in \mathbb{N}, b \geq 2, \exists$ infinitely many $m \in \mathbb{N}: F_{b, e}(m)=n$ (thus, choosing any $c \in C$, there are infinitely many $m \in \mathbb{N}: F_{b, e}(m)=c$ and so infinitely many $m \in \mathbb{N}$ which reach $C$ in a single application of $F_{b, e}$ ). We simply construct infinitely many. Let $h(b, n, x)=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b^{x+i}$. Written in base $b$, this number is a string of $n 1$ 's followed by $x 0$ 's. Thus, we have $F_{b, e}(h(b, n, x))=\underbrace{1^{e}+\cdots+1^{e}}_{n}+\underbrace{0^{e}+\cdots 0^{e}}_{x}=n$ for all $x \geq 0$.

In particular, infinitely many numbers reach both $\{1\}$ and $\{4,16,37,58,89,145,42,20\}$ under $F_{10,2}$. Using a similar style of clever reasoning, we can prove
Proposition 6. For all $n, e \in \mathbb{N}, \exists$ infinitely many $b \in \mathbb{N}, b \geq 2: n$ reaches $\{1\}$ under $F_{b, e}$.
Proof. We construct infinitely many. Let $b(n, e, x)=n^{e^{x}}$. We have that $n^{e^{i}}<b(n, e, x)$ for all $0 \leq$ $i<x$, so $F_{b(n, e, x), e}(n)=n^{e}$ because $n$ is represented by a single digit in base $b(n, e, x)$ (namely, itself); similarly, $F_{b(n, e, x), e}^{2}(n)=F_{b(n, e, x), e}\left(n^{e}\right)=n^{e^{2}}$ because $n^{e}$ is represented by a single digit; we continue until we get $F_{b(n, e, x), e}^{x}(n)=n^{e^{x}}=b(n, e, x)$, which is the first point at which we get a number whose base $b(n, e, x)$ representation isn't just itself considered as a digit - instead, the base $b(n, e, x)$ representation of $F_{b(n, e, x), e}^{x}(n)=b(n, e, x)$ is " 10 ", so that $F_{b(n, e, x), e}^{x+1}(n)=1^{e}+0^{e}=1$. Thus, for any $n, e \in \mathbb{N}, n$ reaches $\{1\}$ under $F_{b(n, e, x), e}$ for all $x \geq 0$.

We have answered all the questions we stated at the beginning; here are some more ideas.

## Suggested Topics For Further Exploration

- Asymptotic density of $n$ which reach a given cycle of $F_{b, e}$ ? I ran a Mathematica evaluation of the numbers less than $1,000,000$ under $F_{10,2}$, which determined that approximately $13.3 \%$ went to $\{1\}$.
- Mixed-radix representations? Zeckendorf (Fibonacci) representation? These representation systems can likely be tackled by the analysis in this work. In particular, I hypothesize that in a mixed-radix system where $b_{i}$ is the least radix used and $b_{j}$ is the greatest, all we need to do to prove an analogous version of Theorem 2 is to use $\log _{b_{i}}(n)$ as an upper bound of the number of digits and $b_{j}$ as an upper bound on the size of each digit.
- Balanced base $2 b+1$ representations? This works similarly to base $2 b+1$, but it allows digits $a_{i}$ from $-b \leq a_{i} \leq b$ instead of from $0 \leq a_{i} \leq 2 b$. Thus, if $e$ is odd, it may very well happen that the sum of the $e$ th powers of the digits is negative, in which case we would need to expand the scope of our function to all of $\mathbb{Z}$.
- Negative exponents $e$ ? For example, $e=-1$ would yield the sum of the reciprocals of the digits. For negative $e$, we would need to work in $\mathbb{Q} \cup\{\infty\}$, because we would need to have multiplicative inverses of the digits, and if the sum of their $e$ th powers yielded a non-terminating base-b representation (e.g. 3 maps to $0 . \overline{1}$ under $F_{10,-2}$ ), the next step would be an infinite sum.
- Other functions of the digits, besides power sums? It would appear from our work that the analytic properties of the function, such as the existence of a bound like the one described in Theorem 1, are very important. Thus, it seems unlikely that applying number theoretic functions ( $\varphi, \sigma$, etc.) to the digits would yield much insight, while polynomial functions seem more promising. In particular, I wonder if there might be a distinct difference in the action of symmetric polynomials vs. non-symmetric polynomials of the digits.
- Any application to Benford's Law? This concerns the leading digits in naturally occuring data.
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