

Yet more divided power & S-ring material. ①

Let B be an ~~FP~~^{FP}-alg. with $x \in B$ a non-zero divisor.

Then $D_{(x)}(B) = B[y_1, \dots, y_k, \dots] / (py_1 - x^p, \dots, py_k - y_k^p, \dots)$

$$y_k = y_{p^k}(x) \cdot \text{appropriate unit}$$

$$= \frac{x^{p^k}}{(p^k)!} \cdot \text{unit}$$

$$= \frac{x^{p^k}}{p^{p^{k-1} + \dots + p + 1}}$$

$\cong B_{(x^p)}[y_1, \dots, y_k, \dots] / (y_1^p, \dots, y_k^p, \dots)$

(We saw the analogous statement when B is p.t.f. and x is a non-zero divisor on B/pB .)

\therefore If B is furthermore an A -alg., and if $B_{(x)}$ is A -flat, then $D_{(x)}(B)$ is A -flat.

(Enough to see that $B_{(x^p)}$ is A -flat: for this, consider

$$\begin{aligned} B & \\ \cup & \cong B_{(x)} \\ (x) & \\ \cup & \cong B_{(x^2)} \\ (x^2) & \\ \cup & \\ \vdots & \\ \cup & \\ (x^{p-1}) & \\ \cup & \\ (x^p) & \cong B_{(x^p)} \end{aligned}$$

bc x is a non-zero divisor on B

As usual, this generalizes to (x_1, \dots, x_r) being a regular sequence in B :

i.e. if $x_1, \dots, x_r \in B$ is a reg. sequence, and if $B/(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ is A -flat, then $D_{(x_1, \dots, x_r)}(B)$ is A -flat.

We can rephrase this as follows: if $x_1, \dots, x_r \in B$ is regular relative to A , then $D_{(x_1, \dots, x_r)}(B)$ is A -flat.

This rephrasing lets us phrase this statement as follows:

if A is an animated ring,

if $A \rightarrow B$ is p -completely flat,

if $(x_1, \dots, x_r) \in \pi_0(B)$ is p -comp. regular relative to A ,

then $D_{(x_1, \dots, x_r)}(\widehat{B})$ ^{derived p -completion}

is p -comp. flat over A .

Pf: To check p -complete flatness, have to look

$$\text{Kos}(A; p) \begin{matrix} \mathbb{Q} \\ \otimes \\ A \end{matrix} \quad \text{and check flatness}$$

and can check this after $\pi_0(\text{Kos}(A; p) \begin{matrix} \mathbb{Q} \\ \otimes \\ \text{Kos}(A; p) \end{matrix})$

Altogether, this means we can check after $\pi_0(A \begin{matrix} \mathbb{Q} \\ \otimes \\ p \end{matrix}) \otimes \text{Kos}(A; p)$

③

This base change undoes the derived p -completion, and reduces us to considering

$$\pi_0(A \hat{\otimes}_p \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow D_{(x_1, \dots, x_r)}(\pi_0(A \hat{\otimes}_p \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes_A B.)$$

This now a flat ~~alg.~~
 $\pi_0(A \hat{\otimes}_p \mathbb{F}_p)$ -alg.,

with (x_1, \dots, x_r) being a
~~regular~~ regular sequence
relative to ~~the~~ $\pi_0(A \hat{\otimes}_p \mathbb{F}_p)$

so the flatness follows from our earlier result \square

Recall that we proved: if A is a p -t.h. \mathcal{S} -ring, and if $f_1, \dots, f_r \in A$ forms a regular sequence on A/pA , then

$$A \left\{ \frac{\varphi(f_1)}{p}, \dots, \frac{\varphi(f_r)}{p} \right\} \cong D_{(f_1, \dots, f_r)}(A).$$

We combine this with the preceding result to prove the following:

If A_* is a p -complete animated \mathcal{S} -ring, if B_* is a p -completely flat animated \mathcal{S} - A_* -alg., and if $x_1, \dots, x_r \in \pi_0(B_*)$ is p -completely regular relative to A_* ,

then $C_* := B_* \left\{ \frac{x_1}{p}, \dots, \frac{x_r}{p} \right\} \xrightarrow{\text{derived } p\text{-completion}}$ is p -completely flat over A_* .

Proof: Two steps: (i) Consider

$$C := B. \left\{ \frac{\varphi(x_1)}{p}, \dots, \frac{\varphi(x_r)}{p} \right\}^\wedge$$

|| ← by the comparison of rings and D.P. envelopes that we recalled

$$D(x_1, \dots, x_r) (B.)^\wedge,$$

which is p-completely flat over A. by what we've already proved.

(ii) Replace the x_i by the $\varphi(x_i)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A. & \longrightarrow & A. \{x_1, \dots, x_r\} & \longrightarrow & B. \longrightarrow B. \left\{ \frac{x_1}{p}, \dots, \frac{x_r}{p} \right\} \\ \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow \\ A. & \longrightarrow & A. \left\{ \frac{\varphi(x_1)}{p}, \dots, \frac{\varphi(x_r)}{p} \right\} & \longrightarrow & B. \left\{ \frac{\varphi(x_1)}{p}, \dots, \frac{\varphi(x_r)}{p} \right\} \end{array}$$

map of rings defined by $x_i \mapsto \varphi(x_i)$,

which is faithfully flat middle and right

The (squares are both pushout (i.e. \otimes -product) squares, \therefore all vertical arrows are faithfully flat

desired

p-completing gives:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A. & \longrightarrow & C. \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{p-completely flat} \\ A. & \xrightarrow{\text{p-comp. flat}} & C. \end{array} \quad \therefore A. \rightarrow C. \text{ is p-comp. flat, as required. } \square$$