

Sites, topoi, and Čech theory - a rapid overview ①

A site is a category in which certain classes of morphisms are declared to be "covers".

The basic model is the following:

If X is a top. space, then the category \mathcal{T}_X whose objects are open subsets of X , and for which

$$\text{Hom}(U, V) = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } U \not\subseteq V \\ * & \text{if } U \subseteq V \end{cases}$$

↑
1-pt. set

is a site, with covers being defined as usual.

This category is ~~not~~ a subset of Top , the category of top. spaces, but is not full.

(There might be lots of maps $U \rightarrow V$ that are not related to the inclusion $U \subseteq V$ when we think of U & V as subsets of X).

②

We can remedy this by thinking about Top/X , the cat. of top. spaces equipped with a map to X .

Then if $U \subseteq_{\text{open}} X$, we regard U as an object of Top/X via the inclusion.

Then \mathcal{T}_X is a full subcat of Top/X

— it is equivalent to the full subcat where objects are $f: Y \rightarrow X$ for which Y is an open immersion of top. spaces.

Top/X can also be made into a site:

A collection of morphisms $\{Y_i \rightarrow Y\}_{i \in I}$ is a cover if it is an open cover.

\mathcal{T}_X is what is usually called a "small site"

Top/X is a "big site".

On any category \mathcal{C} we have presheaves: ③

$$\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}) =: \text{PreSh}(\mathcal{C})$$

On a site we have a full subcategory of sheaves $=: \text{Sh}(\mathcal{C})$.

We call $\text{Sh}(\mathcal{C})$ a ^(Grothendieck) "topos" — it is a category with lots of properties that make it behave like the cat. of sets.

Very often, representable functors are sheaves:
 — (we say \mathcal{C} has a "subcanonical topology" if this holds)

$$\text{Then } \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\text{Yoneda}} \text{Sh}(\mathcal{C})$$

(Eg. this is true for Top/X — note that this big site has many more representable sheaves

than τ_X .) There is a largest subcanonical top., ~~usually~~ called the "canonical top." on \mathcal{C} .

The topos $\text{Sh}(\mathcal{C})$ has a nice feature:

The sheaves on $\text{Sh}(\mathcal{C})$ with respect to its canonical topology are precisely the representable sheaves

$$\therefore \mathcal{O}_h(\mathcal{C}) = \Omega(\mathcal{O}_h(\mathcal{C}))$$

\uparrow shears w.r.t. in topology \uparrow shears w.r.t. the canonical top.

Now we can take etymology, etc. on a site, just like on a top. space!

On a ^{top.} space, X is the final object (of \mathcal{T}_X , or \mathcal{T}_{op}/X), so has a

categorical meaning, and $\Gamma(\mathbb{F}) = \mathbb{F}(X)$

= value of \mathbb{F} on final object of \mathcal{C} .

In a general site, \mathcal{C} may not have a final object, but $\mathcal{O}_h(\mathcal{C})$ does: the constant sheaf $\{*\}$.

So define $\Gamma(\mathbb{F})$ = $\mathbb{F}(\{*\})$

\downarrow sheaf on \mathcal{C} \uparrow final object of $\mathcal{O}_h(\mathcal{C})$

now think of \mathbb{F} as sheaf on $\mathcal{O}_h(\mathcal{C})$

def'n of representable sheaf $\Rightarrow \text{Maps}_{\mathcal{O}_h(\mathcal{C})}(\{*\}, \mathbb{F})$

• Now $\text{Map}_{\Omega(\mathcal{C})}(\{*\}, \mathbb{F})$

$\cong \text{Map}_{\text{Pred}(\mathcal{C})}(\{*\}, \mathbb{F})$

$\Omega(\mathcal{C})$ is full in $\text{Pred}(\mathcal{C})$

= choice of a section $f \in \mathbb{F}(U)$

for all ~~objects~~ objects $U \in \mathcal{C}$,
compatible with all morphisms $U \rightarrow U'$ in \mathcal{C} .

Now can define RP for a sheaf of ab. grp, etc.

Čech theory works quite generally:

if $\{Y_i\}_{i \in I}$ covers the final object $\{*\}$

(some collection of sheaves - which in practice will be representable (or maybe Ind-representable))

then we form the Čech resolution

$$\rightarrow \prod_{i_0, \dots, i_n \in I} \mathbb{F}(Y_{i_0} \times \dots \times Y_{i_n}) \rightarrow \dots$$

and (replacing \mathcal{F} by an injective resolution)
we set

$$E_1^{p,q} := \prod_{\substack{i_0, \dots, i_p \\ \in I}} H^q(Y_{i_0} \times \dots \times Y_{i_p}, \mathcal{F})$$

$R^{p,q}$ on $\mathcal{E} / Y_{i_0} \times \dots \times Y_{i_p}$

$$\Rightarrow H^{p+q}(\mathcal{F})$$

" R^{p+q} on \mathcal{E} itself

Often we can just replace $\{Y_i\}$ by
the ~~class~~ single object $\bigsqcup_{i \in I} Y_i =: Y$.

$$\text{Then } \underbrace{Y \times \dots \times Y}_{p \text{ times}} = \bigsqcup_{i_0, \dots, i_p} Y_{i_0} \times \dots \times Y_{i_p}$$

and get the same complex, just phrased more
succinctly as

$$H^q(\underbrace{Y \times \dots \times Y}_{p \text{-times}}, \mathcal{F}) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(\mathcal{F})$$

To elaborate: ~~It~~ It we let

h_U denote the sheaf represented by U .

Then we can replace the cover h_{Y_i} of $\{x\}$

by $\coprod h_{Y_i}$.

Now $\coprod h_{Y_i} = h_{\coprod Y_i}$ exactly if

\uparrow coproduct in \mathcal{C}

$\coprod Y_i$ is disjoint, in the sense that

~~for each~~ each $Y_i \rightarrow \coprod Y_i$ is a monomorphism,

and $Y_i \times_{\coprod Y_i} Y_j = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$.

\uparrow initial object of \mathcal{C}

Indeed, in this case, the sheaf axiom shows that

$$\mathcal{F}(\coprod Y_i) = \prod \mathcal{F}(Y_i) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X(\mathcal{C})}(\coprod h_{Y_i}, \mathcal{F})$$

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X(\mathcal{C})}(h_{\coprod Y_i}, \mathcal{F})$$

for any sheaf \mathcal{F} ,

implying that indeed $\bigsqcup_i Y_i = \bigsqcup Y_i$.

If $\mathcal{C} = \tau_X$ (for example), then \mathcal{C} admits coproducts, but these are simply unions of subsets of X , and so typically not disjoint ($U \sqcup V = UV$,
↑
coproduct in τ_X

$$\therefore U \times V = \bigsqcup_{U \sqcup V} U \times V = UV, \neq \emptyset \text{ in general}$$

\therefore can't replace $\{Y_i\}$ by $\bigsqcup Y_i$ in Čech completion.

But if eg. $\mathcal{C} = \tau_{\text{disj} X}$, then coproducts are just disjoint unions, and so can replace Y by $\bigsqcup Y_i$.

This is why Čech completions are often just phrased as involving $Y, Y \times Y, \dots, \underbrace{Y \times \dots \times Y}_n, \dots$ rather than mentioning a cover explicitly.