

S-rings and divided powers.

①

Recall $\mathbb{Z}\langle x \rangle =$ free S-ring on one variable

$$\cong \mathbb{Z}[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots]$$

$$x_n = \delta^n(x)$$

$$\text{So } \varphi(x_n) = x_n^p + px_{n+1}$$

for all n

Lemma $\varphi: \mathbb{Z}\langle x \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\langle x \rangle$ is flat.

Pf. Write φ as the direct limit of

$$\mathbb{Z}[x_0, \dots, x_n] \xrightarrow{\varphi_n} \mathbb{Z}[x_0, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}]$$

$$x_i \mapsto x_i^p + px_{i+1}$$

Factor each of these as

$$\mathbb{Z}[x_0, \dots, x_n] \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{Z}[x_0^p, x_1, \dots, x_n]$$
$$x_0 \mapsto x_0^p + px_1$$

$$\subseteq \mathbb{Z}[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n] \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$$

f. flat $x_1 \mapsto x_1^p + px_2$

(2)

$$\mathbb{Z}[x_0, x_1^p, x_2, \dots, x_n] \subseteq_{\text{f. flat}} \mathbb{Z}[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]$$

$$\stackrel{\text{ind}}{\implies} \dots \subseteq_{\text{f. flat}} \mathbb{Z}[x_0, \dots, x_n] \subseteq_{\text{f. flat}} \mathbb{Z}[x_0, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}]$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\cong \mathbb{Z}[x_0, \dots, x_n^p, x_{n+1}] \\ &\quad x_n \mapsto x_n^p + px_{n+1} \\ &\subseteq_{\text{f. flat}} \mathbb{Z}[x_0, \dots, x_n] \end{aligned}$$

Thus φ_n is faithfully flat, and passing to the inductive limit, so is φ . □

Cor If A is a \mathbb{Z} -ring and $a \in A$,
 \exists a faithfully flat map of \mathbb{Z} -rings
 $A \rightarrow B$ s.t. image of a in B equals
 $\varphi(b) \exists b \in B$.

Pf: Let $B = A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}\{x\}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & a & \\ & \uparrow & \\ & \mathbb{Z}\{x\} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} \\ & \downarrow x & \end{array}$$

Then $\varphi(1 \otimes x) = 1 \otimes \varphi(x) = a$. □

We now work over $\mathbb{Z}/(p)$. Note that if A is a $\mathbb{Z}/(p)$ -alg., then $A[\frac{1}{p}] = A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is a \mathbb{Q} -algebra. (3)

On \mathbb{Q} -algebras, we define "divided powers" via

$$\gamma_n(x) = \frac{x^n}{n!} \quad n \geq 0$$

If A is a p -torsion free (\rightarrow flat) $\mathbb{Z}/(p)$ -alg., then $A \hookrightarrow A[\frac{1}{p}]$.

Typically A won't be preserved by the γ_n .

Ex: $\gamma_p(a) = \frac{a^p}{p!} = u \cdot \frac{a^p}{p} \quad u \in \mathbb{Z}/(p)^\times$

$\therefore \gamma_p(a) \in A \quad \text{iff} \quad a^p \in pA.$

Lemma: If A is a p -t.f. $\mathbb{Z}/(p)$ -~~alg.~~^{S-~~alg.~~} and if $a \in A$, then TFAE :

- (1) $a^p \in pA$
- (2) $\varphi(a) \in pA$
- (3) $\gamma_p(a) \in A$
- (4) ~~$\gamma_n(a) \in A \quad \forall n \geq 0$~~ $\gamma_p(a), \gamma_{p^2}(a) \in A$
- (5) $\gamma_n(a) \in A \quad \forall n \geq 0$

(4)

Proof: First recall p -adic properties of factorials:

$$v_p(n!) = \frac{n - s(n)}{p-1} \quad \text{sum of base } p \text{ digits of } n$$

\therefore if $n = pq + r$, with $0 \leq r \leq p-1$, we have

$$\gamma_n(a) = u \cdot \gamma_q(\gamma_p(a)) \cdot \gamma_r(a) \quad \text{with } u \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}^\times$$

$$\left[v_p(n!) = \frac{pq+r - s(pq+r)}{p-1} \right]$$

$$= \frac{pq+r - s(q) - s(r)}{p-1}$$

$$= q + \frac{q-s(q)}{p-1} + \frac{r-s(r)}{p-1}$$

$$= v_p((p!)^q \cdot q! \cdot r!) \quad]$$

Note $\gamma_r(a) = \frac{a^r}{r!} \in A$, for any $a \in A$
 \uparrow
a unit in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$!

(5)

Now to the proof:

Since $\varphi(a) \equiv a^2 \pmod{p}$,

we see (1) \Leftrightarrow (2), and we've seen (2) \Leftrightarrow (3).

~~By~~ Clearly (5) \Rightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (3).

Suppose we show (3) \Rightarrow (4).

Then we can use the identities on p. 4 to show that (3) \Rightarrow (5) by induction.

(To see that $\gamma_n(a) \in A$, it suffices, — in the notation of p. 4 — to see that

$$\gamma_q(\gamma_p(a)) \in A.$$

But if we know (3) \Rightarrow (4), then we find that

$$\gamma_p(\gamma_p(a)) = \text{unit} \cdot \gamma_{p^2}(a)$$

$\in A$

and so since $q < n$, we have that $\gamma_q(\gamma_p(a)) \in A$ by induction.)

6

So it remains to show that

(3) \Rightarrow (4), or equivalently

(using the equivalence (1) \Leftrightarrow (3)
and the formula $\delta_p^2(x) = \text{unit} \cdot \delta_p\left(\frac{x}{p}\right)$)

That $\varphi\left(\frac{a^p}{p}\right) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ if $\delta_p(a) \in A$
i.e. if $\frac{a^p}{p} \in A$.

indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \text{But } \varphi\left(\frac{a^p}{p}\right) &= \frac{\varphi(a)^p}{p} \\ &= \frac{(p \cdot b)^p}{p} \end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi(a) = pb$

$$\begin{aligned} &= p^{p-1} b^p \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \end{aligned}$$

since $p \geq 2$.

□

(7)

Here is the key application of the previous lemma:

Lemma: $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \{x, \frac{\varphi(x)}{p}\}$

$= \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \{x\} [\varphi_n(x)]_{n \geq 0}$

Pf: First we show $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \{x, \frac{\varphi(x)}{p}\}$ is p-t.f.; it then gives us

$\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \{x\} [\frac{1}{p}] = \mathbb{Q}_p \{x\}$

~~and it is in this latter ring~~

$\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \{x\} [\varphi_n(x)]_{n \geq 0}$

also sits inside

$\mathbb{Q}_p \{x\}$

claimed

and then the equality makes sense as an equality of subrings of $\mathbb{Q}_p \{x\}$.

8

For the p -torsion freeness, we consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}\{y\} & \xrightarrow{y \mapsto pz} & \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}\{z\} \\
 \downarrow \varphi(x) & & \downarrow \\
 \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}\{x\} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}\{x, z\} / (\varphi(x) = pz) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}\{x, \frac{\varphi(x)}{p}\}
 \end{array}$$

This is a pushout diagram, which means, in terminology I'm more comfortable with, that

$$\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}\{x, \frac{\varphi(x)}{p}\} = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}\{x\} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}\{y\}} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}\{z\}$$

Now we've seen that $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}\{x\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p\{x\}$, ~~is~~ is flat,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \xrightarrow{x=y} & \parallel \\
 & \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}\{y\} & \nearrow \varphi(x) \\
 & & \downarrow y \mapsto pz
 \end{array}$$

$$\therefore \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}\{x, \frac{\varphi(x)}{p}\}$$

is flat over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}\{z\}$.

The latter is p -t.f., hence so is the former.

(9)

Now we know that both sides of the claimed inequality are contained in $\mathbb{Q}_p\{x\}$.

So $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}\{x, \frac{\varphi(x)}{p}\}$ is the smallest δ -stable subring of $\mathbb{Q}_p\{x\}$ containing $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}\{x\}[\frac{\varphi(x)}{p}]$.

The preceding lemma shows that

$$\delta_n(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}\{x, \frac{\varphi(x)}{p}\}$$

$$\therefore \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}\{x\}[\delta_n(x)]_{n \geq 1} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}\{x, \frac{\varphi(x)}{p}\}$$

To get the reverse inclusion, we first note

$$\text{that } \varphi(x) \equiv x^p \pmod{p \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}\{x\}}$$

$$\therefore \frac{\varphi(x)}{p} \equiv \delta_p(x) \pmod{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}\{x\}}$$

$$\therefore \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}\{x\}[\frac{\varphi(x)}{p}] \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}\{x\}[\delta_n(x)]_{n \geq 1}$$

$$\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}\{x\}[\delta_p(x)]$$

So we have to show that

$\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}\{x\}[\gamma_n(x)]_{n \geq 1}$ is δ -stable.

Equivalently, we have to show that it is φ -stable, and that

$$\varphi(y) \equiv y^p \pmod{p \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}\{x\}[\gamma_n(x)]_{n \geq 1}}$$

$$\forall y \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}\{x\}[\gamma_n(x)]_{n \geq 1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } \varphi(\gamma_n(x)) &= \gamma_n(\varphi(x)) \\ &= \gamma_n(x^p + p\delta(x)) \\ &= \gamma_n\left(p \cdot \left(\frac{x^p}{p} + \delta(x)\right)\right) \\ &= \frac{p^n}{n!} \left(\frac{x^p}{p} + \delta(x)\right)^n \\ &= 0 \pmod{p \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}\{x\}[\gamma_n(x)]_{n \geq 1}} \end{aligned}$$

(In particular $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}\{x\}[\gamma_n(x)]_{n \geq 1}$ is φ -stable).

Also, since $\gamma_p(\gamma_n(x)) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}\{x\}[\gamma_n(x)]_{n \geq 1}$,
 $\text{"unit-}\gamma_p(x)$

(4)

we find that

$$f_n(x)^p = \text{unit} \cdot p \cdot \delta_p(f_n(x))$$

$$\equiv 0 \quad (p \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \setminus \mathbb{Z} \mid [f_n(x)]_{n \geq 1})$$

\therefore indeed

$$\varphi(f_n(x)) \equiv f_n(x)^p \quad (p \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \setminus \mathbb{Z} \mid [f_n(x)]_{n \geq 1})$$

(both are $\equiv 0$)

Since the $f_n(x)$ generate $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \setminus \mathbb{Z} \mid [f_n(x)]_{n \geq 1}$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$, we indeed have that

$$\varphi(y) \equiv y^p \quad (p) \quad \text{on } \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \setminus \mathbb{Z} \mid [f_n(x)]_{n \geq 1}.$$

This gives the required \mathfrak{f} -stability. \square

Concretely, $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \setminus \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} [x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots]$

$$\frac{\varphi(x)}{p} = \frac{x_0^p + px_1}{p} = \frac{x_0^p}{p} + x_1,$$

$$\therefore \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \setminus \mathbb{Z} \left[\frac{\varphi(x)}{p} \right] = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \left[x_0, \frac{x_0^p}{p}, x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots \right]$$

$$S\left(\frac{x_0^p}{p}\right) = \frac{1}{p} \left\{ \varphi\left(\frac{x_0^p}{p}\right) - \left(\frac{x_0^p}{p}\right)^p \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{p} \left\{ \frac{\varphi(x_0)^p}{p^p} - \frac{x_0^p}{p^p} \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{p} \left\{ \frac{(x_0^p + px_1)^p}{p^p} - \frac{x_0^p}{p^p} \right\}$$

$$= x_0^{p^2} \left(\frac{1}{p^2} - \frac{1}{p^{p+1}} \right) + \text{elt. d. } \mathcal{Z}[x_0, x_1]$$

$$= \frac{x_0^{p^2}}{p^{p+1}} \cdot \text{unit} + \text{elt. d. } \mathcal{Z}[x_0, x_1]$$

In general, the Lemma shows that to get δ -stability, we just have to add the $\frac{x_0^n}{n!}$

E.g. we don't need denominators in the x_i for $i \geq 1$.

The preceding computations illustrate this.