

Contents

12	Regularity, differentials, and smoothness	3
1	Regular local rings	3
1.1	Regular local rings	3
1.2	Quotients of regular local rings	6
1.3	Regularity and smoothness	7
1.4	Regular local rings look alike	8
2	Kähler differentials	9
2.1	Derivations and Kähler differentials	9
2.2	Relative differentials	11
2.3	The case of a polynomial ring	11
2.4	Exact sequences of Kähler differentials	12
2.5	Kähler differentials and base change	15
2.6	Differentials and localization	16
2.7	Another construction of $\Omega_{B/A}$	17
3	Introduction to smoothness	18
3.1	Kähler differentials for fields	18
3.2	Regularity, smoothness, and Kähler differentials	20

Copyright 2011 the CRing Project. This file is part of the CRing Project, which is released under the GNU Free Documentation License, Version 1.2.

Chapter 12

Regularity, differentials, and smoothness

In this chapter, we shall introduce two notions. First, we shall discuss *regular* local rings. On varieties over an algebraically closed field, regularity corresponds to nonsingularity of the variety at that point. (Over non-algebraically closed fields, the connection is more subtle.) This will be a continuation of the local algebra done earlier in the chapter ?? on dimension theory.

We shall next introduce the module of *Kähler differentials* of a morphism of rings $A \rightarrow B$, which itself can measure smoothness (though this connection will not be fully elucidated until a later chapter). The module of Kähler differentials is the algebraic analog of the *cotangent bundle* to a manifold, and we will show that for an affine ring, it can be computed very explicitly. For a smooth variety, we will see that this module is *projective*, and hence a good candidate of a vector bundle.

Despite the title, we shall actually wait a few chapters before introducing the general theory of smooth morphisms.

§1 Regular local rings

We shall start by introducing the concept of a *regular local* ring, which is one where the embedding dimension and Krull dimension coincide.

1.1 Regular local rings

Let A be a local noetherian ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \subset A$ and residue field $k = A/\mathfrak{m}$. Endow A with the \mathfrak{m} -adic topology, so that there is a natural graded k -algebra $\text{gr}(A) = \bigoplus \mathfrak{m}^i/\mathfrak{m}^{i+1}$. This is a finitely generated k -algebra; indeed, a system of generators for the ideal \mathfrak{m} (considered as elements of $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$) generates $\text{gr}(A)$ over k . As a result, we have a natural surjective map of *graded* k -algebras.

$$\text{Sym}_k \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \rightarrow \text{gr}(A). \tag{12.1}$$

Here Sym denotes the *symmetric algebra*.

Definition 1.1 The local ring (A, \mathfrak{m}) is called **regular** if the above map is an isomorphism, or equivalently if the embedding dimension of A is equal to the Krull dimension.

We want to show the “equivalently” in the definition is justified. One direction is easy: if (12.1) is an isomorphism, then $\text{gr}(A)$ is a polynomial ring with $\dim_k \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ generators. But the dimension of A was defined in terms of the growth of $\dim_k \mathfrak{m}^i/\mathfrak{m}^{i+1} = (\text{gr } A)_i$. For a polynomial ring on r generators, however, the i th graded piece has dimension a degree- r polynomial in i (easy verification). As a result, we get the claim in one direction.

However, we still have to show that if the embedding dimension equals the Krull dimension, then (12.1) is an isomorphism. This will follow from the next lemma.

Lemma 1.2 *If the inequality*

$$\dim(A) \leq \dim_k(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)$$

is an equality, then (12.1) is an isomorphism.

Proof. Suppose (12.1) is not an isomorphism. Then there is an element $f \in \text{Sym}_k \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ which is not zero and which maps to zero in $\text{gr}(A)$; we can assume f homogeneous, since the map of graded rings is graded.

Now the claim is that if $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is a polynomial ring and $f \neq 0$ a homogeneous element, then the Hilbert polynomial of $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f)$ is of degree less than n . This will easily imply the lemma, since (12.1) is always a surjection, and because $\text{Sym}_k \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$'s Hilbert polynomial is of degree $\dim_k \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$. Now if $\deg f = d$, then the dimension of $(k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/f)_i$ (where i is a degree) is $\dim(k[x_1, \dots, x_n])_i = \dim(k[x_1, \dots, x_n])_{i-d}$. It follows that if P is the Hilbert polynomial of the polynomial ring, that of the quotient is $P(\cdot) - P(\cdot - d)$, which has a strictly smaller degree. \blacktriangle

We now would like to establish a few properties of regular local rings.

Let A be a local ring and \hat{A} its completion. Then $\dim(A) = \dim(\hat{A})$, because $A/\mathfrak{m}^n = \hat{A}/\hat{\mathfrak{m}}^n$, so the Hilbert functions are the same. Similarly, $\text{gr}(A) = \text{gr}(\hat{A})$. However, by \hat{A} is also a local ring. So applying the above lemma, we see:

Proposition 1.3 *A noetherian local ring A is regular if and only if its completion \hat{A} is regular.*

Regular local rings are well-behaved. We are eventually going to show that any regular local ring is in fact a unique factorization domain. Right now, we start with a much simpler claim:

Proposition 1.4 *A regular local ring is a domain.*

This is a formal consequence of the fact that $\text{gr}(A)$ is a domain and the filtration on A is Hausdorff.

Proof. Let $a, b \neq 0$. Note that $\bigcap \mathfrak{m}^n = 0$ by the Krull intersection theorem (??), so there are k_1 and k_2 such that $a \in \mathfrak{m}^{k_1} - \mathfrak{m}^{k_1+1}$ and $b \in \mathfrak{m}^{k_2} - \mathfrak{m}^{k_2+1}$. Let \bar{a}, \bar{b} be the images of a, b in $\text{gr}(A)$ (in degrees k_1, k_2); neither is zero. But then $\bar{a}\bar{b} \neq 0 \in \text{gr}(A)$, because $\text{gr}(A) = \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)$ is a domain. So $ab \neq 0$, as desired. \blacktriangle

EXERCISE 12.1 Prove more generally that if A is a filtered ring with a descending filtration of ideals $I_1 \supset I_2 \supset \dots$ such that $\bigcap I_k = 0$, and such that the associated graded algebra $\text{gr}(A)$ is a domain, then A is itself a domain.

Later we will prove the aforementioned fact that a regular local ring is a factorial ring. One consequence of that will be the following algebro-geometric fact. Let $X = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_n]/I$ for some ideal I ; so X is basically a subset of \mathbb{C}^n plus some nonclosed points. Then if X is smooth, we find that $\mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_n]/I$ is locally factorial. Indeed, smoothness implies regularity, hence local factoriality. The whole apparatus of Weil and Cartier divisors now kicks in.

EXERCISE 12.2 Nevertheless, it is possible to prove directly that a regular local ring (A, \mathfrak{m}) is *integrally closed*. To do this, we shall use the fact that the associated graded $\text{gr}(A)$ is integrally closed (as a polynomial ring). Here is the argument:

- a) Let C be a noetherian domain with quotient field K . Then C is integrally closed if and only if for every $x \in K$ such that there exists $d \in A$ with $dx^n \in A$ for all n , we have $x \in A$. (In general, this fails for C non-noetherian; then this condition is called being *completely integrally closed*.)

b) Let C be a noetherian domain. Suppose on C there is an exhaustive filtration $\{C_v\}$ (i.e. such that $\bigcap C_v = 0$) and such that $\text{gr}(C)$ is a *completely* integrally closed domain. Suppose further that every principal ideal is closed in the topology on C (i.e., for each principal ideal I , we have $I = \bigcap I + C_v$.) Then C is integrally closed too. Indeed:

(a) Suppose $b/a, a, b \in C$ is such that $(b/a)^n$ is contained in a finitely generated submodule of K , say $d^{-1}A$ for some $d \in A$. We need to show that $b \in Ca + C_v$ for all v . Write $b = xa + r$ for $r \in C_w - C_{w+1}$. We will show that “ w ” can be improved to $w + 1$ (by changing x). To do this, it suffices to write $r \in Ca + C_{w+1}$.

(b) By hypothesis, $db^n \in Ca^n$ for all n . Consequently $dr^n \in Ca^n$ for all n .

(c) Let \bar{r} be the image of r in $\text{gr}(C)$ (in some possibly positive homogeneous degree; choose the unique one such that the image of r is defined and not zero). Choosing \bar{d}, \bar{a} similarly, we get $\bar{d}\bar{r}^n$ lies in the ideal of \bar{a}^n for all n . This implies \bar{r} is a multiple of \bar{a} . Deduce that $r \in Ca + C_{w+1}$.

c) The hypotheses of the previous part apply to a regular local ring, which is thus integrally closed.

The essential part of this argument is explained in [Bou98], ch. 5, §1.4. The application to regular local rings is mentioned in [GD], vol. IV, §16.

We now give a couple of easy examples. More interesting examples will come in the future. Let R be a noetherian local ring with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} and residue field k .

Example 1.5 If $\dim(R) = 0$, i.e. R is artinian, then R is regular iff the maximal ideal is zero, i.e. if R is a field. Indeed, the requirement for regularity is that $\dim_k \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 = 0$, or $\mathfrak{m} = 0$ (by Nakayama). This implies that R is a field.

Recall that $\dim_k \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ is the size of the minimal set of generators of the ideal \mathfrak{m} , by Nakayama’s lemma. As a result, a local ring is regular if and only if the maximal ideal has a set of generators of the appropriate size. This is a refinement of the above remarks.

Example 1.6 If $\dim(R) = 1$, then R is regular iff the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} is principal (by the preceding observation). The claim is that this happens if and only if R is a DVR. Certainly a DVR is regular, so only the other direction is interesting. But it is easy to see that a local domain whose maximal ideal is principal is a DVR (i.e. define the valuation of x in terms of the minimal i such that $x \notin \mathfrak{m}^i$).

We find:

Proposition 1.7 *A one-dimensional regular local ring is the same thing as a DVR.*

Finally, we extend the notion to general noetherian rings:

Definition 1.8 A general noetherian ring is called **regular** if every localization at a maximal ideal is a regular local ring.

In fact, it turns out that if a noetherian ring is regular, then so are *all* its localizations. This fact relies on a fact, to be proved in the distant future, that the localization of a regular local ring at a prime ideal is regular.

1.2 Quotients of regular local rings

We now study quotients of regular local rings. In general, if (A, \mathfrak{m}) is a regular local ring and $f_1, \dots, f_k \in \mathfrak{m}$, the quotient $A/(f_1, \dots, f_k)$ is far from being regular. For instance, if k is a field and A is $k[x]_{(x)}$ (geometrically, this is the local ring of the affine line at the origin), then $A/x^2 = k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2$ is not a regular local ring; it is not even a domain. In fact, the local ring of *any* variety at a point is a *quotient* of a regular local ring, and this is because any variety locally sits inside affine space.¹

Proposition 1.9 *If (A, \mathfrak{m}_A) is a regular local ring, and $f \in \mathfrak{m}$ is such that $f \in \mathfrak{m}_A - \mathfrak{m}_A^2$. Then $A' = A/fA$ is also regular of dimension $\dim(A) - 1$.*

Proof. First let us show the dimension part of the statement. We know from ?? that the dimension has to drop precisely by one (since f is a nonzerodivisor on A by Proposition 1.4).

Now we want to show that $A' = A/fA$ is regular. Let $\mathfrak{m}_{A'} = \mathfrak{m}/fA$ be the maximal ideal of A' . Then we should show that $\dim_{A'/\mathfrak{m}_{A'}}(\mathfrak{m}_{A'}/\mathfrak{m}_{A'}^2) = \dim(A')$, and it suffices to see that

$$\dim_{A'/\mathfrak{m}_{A'}}(\mathfrak{m}_{A'}/\mathfrak{m}_{A'}^2) \leq \dim_{A/\mathfrak{m}_A}(\mathfrak{m}_A/\mathfrak{m}_A^2) - 1. \quad (12.2)$$

In other words, we have to show that the embedding dimension drops by one.

Note that the residue fields $k = A/\mathfrak{m}_A, A'/\mathfrak{m}_{A'}$ are naturally isomorphic. To see (12.2), we use the natural surjection of k -vector spaces

$$\mathfrak{m}_A/\mathfrak{m}_A^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{A'}/\mathfrak{m}_{A'}^2.$$

Since there is a nontrivial kernel (the class of f is in the kernel), we obtain the inequality (12.2).▲

Corollary 1.10 *Consider elements f_1, \dots, f_m in \mathfrak{m} such that $\bar{f}_1, \dots, \bar{f}_m \in \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ are linearly independent. Then $A/(f_1, \dots, f_m)$ is regular with $\dim(A/(f_1, \dots, f_m)) = \dim(A) - m$*

Proof. This follows from Proposition 1.9 by induction. One just needs to check that in $A_1 = A/(f_1), \mathfrak{m}_1 = \mathfrak{m}/(f_1)$, we have that f_2, \dots, f_m are still linearly independent in $\mathfrak{m}_1/\mathfrak{m}_1^2$. This is easy to check. ▲

Remark In fact, note in the above result that each f_i is a *nonzerodivisor* on $A/(f_1, \dots, f_{i-1})$, because a regular local ring is a domain. We will later say that the $\{f_i\}$ form a *regular sequence*.

We can now obtain a full characterization of when a quotient of a regular local ring is still regular; it essentially states that the above situation is the only possible case. Geometrically, the intuition is that we are analyzing when a subvariety of a smooth variety is smooth; the answer is when the subvariety is cut out by functions with linearly independent images in the maximal ideal mod its square.

This corresponds to the following fact: if M is a smooth manifold and f_1, \dots, f_m smooth functions such that the gradients $\{df_i\}$ are everywhere independent, then the common zero locus of the $\{f_i\}$ is a smooth submanifold of M , and conversely every smooth submanifold of M locally looks like that.

Theorem 1.11 *Let A_0 be a regular local ring of dimension n , and let $I \subset A_0$ be a proper ideal. Let $A = A_0/I$. Then the following are equivalent*

1. A is regular.

¹Incidentally, the condition that a noetherian local ring (A, \mathfrak{m}) is a quotient of a regular local ring (B, \mathfrak{n}) imposes conditions on A : for instance, it has to be *catenary*. As a result, one can obtain examples of local rings which cannot be expressed as quotients in this way.

2. There are elements $f_1, \dots, f_m \in I$ such that $\bar{f}_1, \dots, \bar{f}_m$ are linearly independent in $\mathfrak{m}_{A_0}/\mathfrak{m}_{A_0}^2$ where $m = n - \dim(A)$ such that $(f_1, \dots, f_m) = I$.

Proof. **(2) \Rightarrow (1)** This is exactly the statement of Corollary 1.10.

(1) \Rightarrow (2) Let k be the residue field of A (or A_0 , since I is contained in the maximal ideal). We see that there is an exact sequence

$$I \otimes_{A_0} k \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{A_0}/\mathfrak{m}_{A_0}^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_A/\mathfrak{m}_A^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

We can obtain this from the exact sequence $I \rightarrow A_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ by tensoring with k .

By assumption A_0 and A are regular local, so

$$\dim_{A_0/\mathfrak{m}_{A_0}}(\mathfrak{m}_{A_0}/\mathfrak{m}_{A_0}^2) = \dim(A_0) = n$$

and

$$\dim_{A_0/\mathfrak{m}_{A_0}}(\mathfrak{m}_A/\mathfrak{m}_A^2) = \dim(A)$$

so the image of $I \otimes_{A_0} k$ in $\mathfrak{m}_{A_0}/\mathfrak{m}_{A_0}^2$ has dimension $m = n - \dim(A)$. Let $\bar{f}_1, \dots, \bar{f}_m$ be a set of linearly independent generators of the image of $I \otimes_{A_0} k$ in $\mathfrak{m}_{A_0}/\mathfrak{m}_{A_0}^2$, and let f_1, \dots, f_m be liftings to I . The claim is that the $\{f_i\}$ generate I .

Let $I' \subset A_0$ be the ideal generated by f_1, \dots, f_m and consider $A' = A_0/I'$. Then by Corollary 1.10, we know that A' is a regular local ring with dimension $n - m = \dim(A)$. Also $I' \subset I$ so we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I/I' \rightarrow A' \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

But Proposition 1.4, this means that A' is a domain, and we have just seen that it has the same dimension as A . Now if $I/I' \neq 0$, then A would be a proper quotient of A' , and hence of a *smaller* dimension (because quotienting by a nonzerodivisor drops the dimension). This contradiction shows that $I = I'$, which means that I is generated by the sequence $\{f_i\}$ as claimed. \blacktriangle

So the reason that $k[x]_{(x)}/(x^2)$ was not regular is that x^2 vanishes to too high an order: it lies in the square of the maximal ideal.

We can motivate the results above further with:

Definition 1.12 In a regular local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) , a **regular system of parameters** is a minimal system of generators for \mathfrak{m} , i.e. elements of \mathfrak{m} that project to a basis of $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$.

So a quotient of a regular local ring is regular if and only if the ideal is generated by a portion of a system of parameters.

1.3 Regularity and smoothness

We now want to connect the intuition (described in the past) that, in the algebro-geometric context, regularity of a local ring corresponds to smoothness of the associated variety (at that point).

Namely, let R be the (reduced) coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I$ of an algebraic variety. Let \mathfrak{m} be a maximal ideal corresponding to the origin, so generated by (x_1, \dots, x_n) . Suppose $I \subset \mathfrak{m}$, which is to say the origin belongs to the corresponding variety. Then $\text{MaxSpec} R \subset \text{Spec} R$ is the corresponding subvariety of \mathbb{C}^n , which is what we apply the intuition to. Note that 0 is in this subvariety.

Then we claim:

Proposition 1.13 $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is regular iff $\text{MaxSpec} R$ is a smooth submanifold near 0 .

Proof. We will show that regularity implies smoothness. The other direction is omitted for now.

Note that $S = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is clearly a regular local ring of dimension n (\mathbb{C}^n is smooth, intuitively), and $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is the quotient S/I . By Theorem 1.11, we have a good criterion for when $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is regular. Namely, it is regular if and only if I is generated by elements (without loss of generality, polynomials) f_1, \dots, f_k whose images in the quotient $\mathfrak{m}_S/\mathfrak{m}_S^2$ (where we write \mathfrak{m}_S to emphasize that this is the maximal ideal of S).

But we know that this “cotangent space” corresponds to cotangent vectors in \mathbb{C}^n , and in particular, we can say the following. There are elements $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n \in \mathfrak{m}_S/\mathfrak{m}_S^2$ that form a basis for this space (namely, the images of $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathfrak{m}_S$). If f is a polynomial vanishing at the origin, then the image of f in $\mathfrak{m}_S/\mathfrak{m}_S^2$ takes only the linear terms—that is, it can be identified with

$$\sum \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \Big|_0 \epsilon_i,$$

which is essentially the gradient of f .

It follows that $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is regular if and only if I is generated (in $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$, so we should really say $IR_{\mathfrak{m}}$) by a family of polynomials vanishing at zero with linearly independent gradients, or if the variety is cut out by the vanishing of such a family of polynomials. However, we know that this implies that the variety is locally a smooth manifold (by the inverse function theorem). \blacktriangle

The other direction is a bit trickier, and will require a bit of “descent.” For now, we omit it. But we have shown *something* in both directions: the ring $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is regular if and only if I is generated locally (i.e., in $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ by a family of polynomials with linearly independent gradients). Hartshorne uses this as the definition of smoothness in [Har77], and thus obtains the result that a variety over an algebraically closed field (not necessarily \mathbb{C} !) is smooth if and only if its local rings are regular.

Remark (Warning) This argument proves that if $R \simeq K[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I$ for K algebraically closed, then $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is regular local for some maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} if the corresponding algebraic variety is smooth at the corresponding point. We proved this in the special case $K = \mathbb{C}$ and \mathfrak{m} the ideal of the origin.

If K is not algebraically closed, we **can’t assume** that any maximal ideal corresponds to a point in the usual sense. Moreover, if K is not perfect, regularity does **not** imply smoothness. We have not quite defined smoothness, but here’s a definition: smoothness means that the local ring you get by base-changing K to the algebraic closure is regular. So what this means is that regularity of affine rings over a field K is not preserved under base-change from K to \overline{K} .

Example 1.14 Let K be non-perfect of characteristic p . Let a not have a p th root. Consider $K[x]/(x^p - a)$. This is a regular local ring of dimension zero, i.e. is a field. If K is replaced by its algebraic closure, then we get $\overline{K}[x]/(x^p - a)$, which is $\overline{K}[x]/(x - a^{1/p})^p$. This is still zero-dimensional but is not a field. Over the algebraic closure, the ring fails to be regular.

1.4 Regular local rings look alike

So, as we’ve seen, regularity corresponds to smoothness. Complex analytically, all smooth points are the same though—they’re locally \mathbb{C}^n . Manifolds have no local invariants. We’d like an algebraic version of this. The vague claim is that all regular local rings of the same dimension “look alike.” We have already seen one instance of this phenomenon: a regular local ring’s associated graded is uniquely determined by its dimension (as a polynomial ring). This was in fact how we defined the notion, in part. Now we would like to transfer this to statements about things closer to R .

Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a regular local ring. **Assume now for simplicity that the residue field of $k = R/\mathfrak{m}$ maps back into R .** In other words, R contains a copy of its residue field, or there is a section of $R \rightarrow k$. This is always true in the case we use for geometric intuition—complex

algebraic geometry—as the residue field at any maximal ideal is just \mathbb{C} (by the Nullstellensatz), and one works with \mathbb{C} -algebras.

Choose generators $y_1, \dots, y_n \in \mathfrak{m}$ where $n = \dim_k \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ is the embedding dimension. We get a map in the other direction

$$\phi : k[Y_1, \dots, Y_n] \rightarrow R, \quad Y_i \mapsto y_i,$$

thanks to the section $k \rightarrow R$. This map from the polynomial ring is not an isomorphism (the polynomial ring is not local), but if we let $\mathfrak{m} \subset R$ be the maximal ideal and $\mathfrak{n} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$, then the map on associated graded is an isomorphism (by definition). That is, $\phi : \mathfrak{n}^t/\mathfrak{n}^{t+1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}^t/\mathfrak{m}^{t+1}$ is an isomorphism for each $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Consequently, ϕ induces an isomorphism

$$k[Y_1, \dots, Y_n]/\mathfrak{n}^t \simeq R/\mathfrak{m}^t$$

for all t , because it is an isomorphism on the associated graded level. So this in turn is equivalent, upon taking inverse limits, to the statement that ϕ induces an isomorphism

$$k[[Y_1, \dots, Y_n]] \rightarrow \hat{R}$$

at the level of completions.

We can now conclude:

Theorem 1.15 *Let R be a regular local ring of dimension n . Suppose R contains a copy of its residue field k . Then, as k -algebras, $\hat{R} \simeq k[[Y_1, \dots, Y_n]]$.*

Finally:

Corollary 1.16 *A complete noetherian regular local ring that contains a copy of its residue field k is a power series ring over k .*

It now makes sense to say:

All complete regular local rings of the same dimension look alike. (More precisely, this is true when R is assumed to contain a copy of its residue field, but this is not a strong assumption in practice. One can show that this will be satisfied if R contains *any* field.²)

We won't get into the precise statement of the general structure theorem, when the ring is not assumed to contain its residue field, but a safe intuition to take away from this is the above bolded statement. Note that “looking alike” requires the completeness, because completions are intuitively like taking analytically local invariants (while localization corresponds to working *Zariski* locally, which is much weaker).

§2 Kähler differentials

2.1 Derivations and Kähler differentials

Let R be a ring with the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . Then there is a R/\mathfrak{m} -vector space $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$. This is what we would like to think of as the “cotangent space” of $\text{Spec } R$ at \mathfrak{m} . Intuitively, the cotangent space is what you get by differentiating functions which vanish at the point, but differentiating functions that vanish twice should give zero. This is the moral justification. (Recall that on a smooth

²This is not always satisfied—take the p -adic integers, for instance.

manifold M , if \mathcal{O}_p is the local ring of smooth functions defined in a neighborhood of $p \in M$, and $\mathfrak{m}_p \subset \mathcal{O}_p$ is the maximal ideal consisting of “germs” vanishing at p , then the cotangent space T_p^*M is naturally $\mathfrak{m}_p/\mathfrak{m}_p^2$.)

A goal might be to generalize this. What if you wanted to think about all points at once? We’d like to describe the “cotangent bundle” to $\text{Spec } R$ in an analogous way. Let’s try and describe what would be a section to this cotangent bundle. A section of $\Omega_{\text{Spec } R}^*$ should be the same thing as a “1-form” on $\text{Spec } R$. We don’t know what a 1-form is yet, but at least we can give some examples. If $f \in R$, then f is a “function” on $\text{Spec } R$, and its “differential” should be a 1-form. So there should be a “ df ” which should be a 1-form. This is analogous to the fact that if g is a real-valued function on the smooth manifold M , then there is a 1-form dg .

We should expect the rules $d(fg) = df + dg$ and $d(fg) = f(dg) + g(df)$ as the usual rules of differentiation. For this to make sense, 1-forms should be an R -module. Before defining the appropriate object, we start with:

Definition 2.1 Let R be a commutative ring, M an R -module. A **derivation** from R to M is a map $D : R \rightarrow M$ such that the two identities below hold:

$$D(fg) = Df + Dg \tag{12.3}$$

$$D(fg) = f(Dg) + g(Df). \tag{12.4}$$

These equations make sense as M is an R -module.

Whatever a 1-form on $\text{Spec } R$ might be, there should be a derivation

$$d : R \rightarrow \{1\text{-forms}\}.$$

An idea would be to *define* the 1-forms or the “cotangent bundle” Ω_R by a universal property. It should be universal among R -modules with a derivation.

To make this precise:

Proposition 2.2 *There is an R -module Ω_R and a derivation $d_{\text{univ}} : R \rightarrow \Omega_R$ satisfying the following universal property. For all R -modules M , there is a canonical isomorphism*

$$\text{Hom}_R(\Omega_R, M) \simeq \text{Der}(R, M)$$

given by composing the universal d_{univ} with a map $\Omega_R \rightarrow M$.

That is, any derivation $d : R \rightarrow M$ factors through this universal derivation in a unique way. Given the derivation $d : R \rightarrow M$, we can make the following diagram commutative in a unique way such that $\Omega_R \rightarrow M$ is a morphism of R -modules:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{d} & M \\ \downarrow d_{\text{univ}} & \nearrow & \\ \Omega_R & & \end{array}$$

Definition 2.3 Ω_R is called the module of **Kähler differentials** of R .

Let us now verify this proposition.

Proof. This is like the verification of the tensor product. Namely, build a free gadget and quotient out to enforce the desired relations.

Let Ω_R be the quotient of the free R -module generated by elements da for $a \in R$ by enforcing the relations

1. $d(a + b) = da + db$.
2. $d(ab) = adb + bda$.

By construction, the map $a \rightarrow da$ is a derivation $R \rightarrow \Omega_R$. It is easy to see that it is universal. Given a derivation $d' : R \rightarrow M$, we get a map $\Omega_R \rightarrow M$ sending $da \rightarrow d'(a), a \in R$. \blacktriangle

The philosophy of Grothendieck says that we should do this, as with everything, in a relative context. Indeed, we are going to need a slight variant, for the case of a *morphism* of rings.

2.2 Relative differentials

On a smooth manifold M , the derivation d from smooth functions to 1-forms satisfies an additional property: it maps the constant functions to zero. This is the motivation for the next definition:

Definition 2.4 Let $f : R \rightarrow R'$ be a ring-homomorphism. Let M be an R' -module. A derivation $d : R' \rightarrow M$ is **R -linear** if $d(f(a)) = 0, a \in R$. This is equivalent to saying that d is an R -homomorphism by the Leibnitz rule.

Now we want to construct an analog of the “cotangent bundle” taking into account linearity.

Proposition 2.5 Let R' be an R -algebra. Then there is a universal R -linear derivation $R' \xrightarrow{d_{\text{univ}}} \Omega_{R'/R}$.

Proof. Use the same construction as in the absolute case. We get a map $R' \rightarrow \Omega_{R'}$ as before. This is not generally R -linear, so one has to quotient out by the images of $d(f(r)), r \in R$. In other words, $\Omega_{R'/R}$ is the quotient of the free R' -module on symbols $\{dr', r' \in R'\}$ with the relations:

1. $d(r'_1 r'_2) = r'_1 d(r'_2) + d(r'_1) r'_2$.
2. $d(r'_1 + r'_2) = dr'_1 + dr'_2$.
3. $dr = 0$ for $r \in R$ (where we identify r with its image $f(r)$ in R' , by abuse of notation). \blacktriangle

Definition 2.6 $\Omega_{R'/R}$ is called the module of **relative Kähler differentials**, or simply Kähler differentials.

Here $\Omega_{R'/R}$ also corepresents a simple functor on the category of R' -modules: given an R' -module M , we have

$$\text{Hom}_{R'}(\Omega_{R'/R}, M) = \text{Der}_R(R', M),$$

where Der_R denotes R -derivations. This is a *subfunctor* of the functor $\text{Der}_R(R', \cdot)$, and so by Yoneda’s lemma there is a natural map $\Omega_{R'} \rightarrow \Omega_{R'/R}$. We shall expand on this in the future.

2.3 The case of a polynomial ring

Let us do a simple example to make this more concrete.

Example 2.7 Let $R' = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n], R = \mathbb{C}$. In this case, the claim is that there is an isomorphism

$$\Omega_{R'/R} \simeq R'^n.$$

More precisely, $\Omega_{R'/R}$ is free on dx_1, \dots, dx_n . So the cotangent bundle is “free.” In general, the module $\Omega_{R'/R}$ will not be free, or even projective, so the intuition that it is a vector bundle will be rather loose. (The projectivity will be connected to *smoothness* of R'/R .)

Proof. The construction $f \rightarrow \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}\right)$ gives a map $R' \rightarrow R'^n$. By elementary calculus, this is a derivation, even an R -linear derivation. We get a map

$$\phi : \Omega_{R'/R} \rightarrow R'^n$$

by the universal property of the Kähler differentials. The claim is that this map is an isomorphism. The map is characterized by sending df to $\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}\right)$. Note that dx_1, \dots, dx_n map to a basis of R'^n because differentiating x_i gives 1 at i and zero at $j \neq i$. So we see that ϕ is surjective.

There is a map $\psi : R'^n \rightarrow \Omega_{R'/R}$ sending (a_i) to $\sum a_i dx_i$. It is easy to check that $\phi \circ \psi = 1$ from the definition of ϕ . What we still need to show is that $\psi \circ \phi = 1$. Namely, for any f , we want to show that $\psi \circ \phi(df) = df$ for $f \in R'$. This is precisely the claim that $df = \sum \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} dx_i$. Both sides are additive in f , indeed are derivations, and coincide on monomials of degree one, so they are equal. \blacktriangle

By the same reasoning, one can show more generally:

Proposition 2.8 *If R is any ring, then there is a canonical isomorphism*

$$\Omega_{R[x_1, \dots, x_n]/R} \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^n R[x_1, \dots, x_n] dx_i,$$

i.e. it is $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ -free on the dx_i .

This is essentially the claim that, given an $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ -module M and elements $m_1, \dots, m_n \in M$, there is a *unique* R -derivation from the polynomial ring into M sending $x_i \mapsto m_i$.

2.4 Exact sequences of Kähler differentials

We now want to prove a few basic properties of Kähler differentials, which can be seen either from the explicit construction or in terms of the functors they represent, by formal nonsense. These results will be useful in computation.

Recall that if $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ is a map of rings, we can define a B -module $\Omega_{B/A}$ which is generated by formal symbols $dx|_{x \in B}$ and subject to the relations $d(x+y) = dx + dy$, $d(a) = 0, a \in A$, and $d(xy) = xdy + ydx$. By construction, $\Omega_{B/A}$ is the receptacle from the universal A -linear derivation into a B -module.

Let $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$ be a triple of maps of rings. There is an obvious map $dx \rightarrow dx$

$$\Omega_{C/A} \rightarrow \Omega_{C/B}$$

where both sides have the same generators, except with a few additional relations on $\Omega_{C/B}$. We have to quotient by $db, b \in B$. In particular, there is a map $\Omega_{B/A} \rightarrow \Omega_{C/A}$, $dx \rightarrow dx$, whose images generate the kernel. This induces a map

$$C \otimes_B \Omega_{B/A} \rightarrow \Omega_{C/A}.$$

The image is the C -module generated by $db|_{b \in B}$, and this is the kernel of the previous map. We have proved:

Proposition 2.9 (First exact sequence) *Given a sequence $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$ of rings, there is an exact sequence*

$$C \otimes_B \Omega_{B/A} \rightarrow \Omega_{C/A} \rightarrow \Omega_{C/B} \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof (Second proof). There is, however, a more functorial means of seeing this sequence, which we now describe. Namely, let us consider the category of C -modules, and the functors corepresented by these three objects. We have, for a C -module M :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Hom}_C(\Omega_{C/B}, M) &= \mathrm{Der}_B(C, M) \\ \mathrm{Hom}_C(\Omega_{C/A}, M) &= \mathrm{Der}_A(C, M) \\ \mathrm{Hom}_C(C \otimes_B \Omega_{B/A}, M) &= \mathrm{Hom}_B(\Omega_{B/A}, M) = \mathrm{Der}_A(B, M).\end{aligned}$$

By Yoneda's lemma, we know that a map of modules is the same thing as a natural transformation between the corresponding corepresentable functors, in the reverse direction. It is easy to see that there are natural transformations

$$\mathrm{Der}_B(C, M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Der}_A(C, M), \quad \mathrm{Der}_A(C, M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Der}_A(B, M)$$

obtained by restriction in the second case, and by doing nothing in the first case (a B -derivation is automatically an A -derivation). The induced maps on the modules of differentials are precisely those described before; this is easy to check (and we could have defined the maps by these functors if we wished). Now to say that the sequence is right exact is to say that for each M , there is an exact sequence of abelian groups

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Der}_B(C, M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Der}_A(C, M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Der}_A(B, M).$$

But this is obvious from the definitions: an A -derivation is a B -derivation if and only if the restriction to B is trivial. This establishes the claim. \blacktriangle

Next, we are interested in a second exact sequence. In the past (Example 2.7), we computed the module of Kähler differentials of a *polynomial* algebra. While this was a special case, any algebra is a quotient of a polynomial algebra. As a result, it will be useful to know how $\Omega_{B/A}$ behaves with respect to quotienting B .

Let $A \rightarrow B$ be a homomorphism of rings and $I \subset B$ an ideal. We would like to describe $\Omega_{B/I/A}$. There is a map

$$\Omega_{B/A} \rightarrow \Omega_{B/I/A}$$

sending dx to $d\bar{x}$ for \bar{x} the reduction of x in B/I . This is surjective on generators, so it is surjective. It is not injective, though. In $\Omega_{B/I/A}$, the generators dx, dx' are identified if $x \equiv x' \pmod{I}$. Moreover, $\Omega_{B/I/A}$ is a B/I -module. This means that there will be additional relations for that. To remedy this, we can tensor and consider the morphism

$$\Omega_{B/A} \otimes_B B/I \rightarrow \Omega_{B/I/A} \rightarrow 0.$$

Let us now define a map

$$\phi : I/I^2 \rightarrow \Omega_{B/A} \otimes_B B/I,$$

which we claim will generate the kernel. Given $x \in I$, we define $\phi(x) = dx$. If $x \in I^2$, then $dx \in I\Omega_{B/A}$ so ϕ is indeed a map of abelian groups $I/I^2 \rightarrow \Omega_{B/A} \otimes_B B/I$. Let us check that this is a B/I -module homomorphism. We would like to check that $\phi(xy) = y\phi(x)$ for $x \in I$ in $\Omega_{B/A}/I\Omega_{B/A}$. This follows from the Leibnitz rule, $\phi(xy) = y\phi(x) + xdy \equiv x\phi(x) \pmod{I\Omega_{B/A}}$. So ϕ is also defined. Its image is the submodule of $\Omega_{B/A}/I\Omega_{B/A}$ generated by $dx, x \in I$. This is precisely what one has to quotient out by to get $\Omega_{B/I/A}$. In particular:

Proposition 2.10 (Second exact sequence) *Let B be an A -algebra and $I \subset B$ an ideal. There is an exact sequence*

$$I/I^2 \rightarrow \Omega_{B/A} \otimes_B B/I \rightarrow \Omega_{B/I/A} \rightarrow 0.$$

These results will let us compute the module of Kähler differentials in cases we want.

Example 2.11 Let $B = A[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I$ for I an ideal. We will compute $\Omega_{B/A}$.

First, $\Omega_{A[x_1, \dots, x_n]/A} \otimes B \simeq B^n$ generated by symbols dx_i . There is a surjection of

$$B^n \rightarrow \Omega_{B/A} \rightarrow 0$$

whose kernel is generated by $dx, x \in I$, by the second exact sequence above. If $I = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$, then the kernel is generated by $\{df_i\}$. It follows that $\Omega_{B/A}$ is the cokernel of the map

$$B^m \rightarrow B^n$$

that sends the i th generator of B^m to df_i thought of as an element in the free B -module B^n on generators dx_1, \dots, dx_n . Here, thanks to the Leibnitz rule, df_i is given by formally differentiating the polynomial, i.e.

$$df_i = \sum_j \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} dx_j.$$

We have thus explicitly represented $\Omega_{B/A}$ as the cokernel of the matrix $\left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}\right)$.

In particular, the above example shows:

Proposition 2.12 *If B is a finitely generated A -algebra, then $\Omega_{B/A}$ is a finitely generated B -module.*

Given how Ω behaves with respect to localization, we can extend this to the case where B is *essentially* of finite type over A (recall that this means B is a localization of a finitely generated A -algebra).

Let $R = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I$ be the coordinate ring of an algebraic variety. Let $\mathfrak{m} \subset R$ be the maximal ideal. Then $\Omega_{R/\mathbb{C}}$ is what one should think of as containing information of the cotangent bundle of $\text{Spec } R$. One might ask what the *fiber* over a point $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Spec } R$ is, though. That is, we might ask what $\Omega_{R/\mathbb{C}} \otimes_R R/\mathfrak{m}$ is. To see this, we note that there are maps

$$\mathbb{C} \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{m} \simeq \mathbb{C}.$$

There is now an exact sequence by Proposition 2.9

$$\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \rightarrow \Omega_{R/\mathbb{C}} \otimes_R R/\mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \Omega_{R/\mathbb{C}} \otimes_R R/\mathfrak{m} \rightarrow 0,$$

where the last thing is zero as $R/\mathfrak{m} \simeq \mathbb{C}$ by the Nullstellensatz. The upshot is that $\Omega_{R/\mathbb{C}} \otimes_R R/\mathfrak{m}$ is a quotient of $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$.

In fact, the natural map $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \rightarrow \Omega_{R/\mathbb{C}} \otimes_R \mathbb{C}$ (given by d) is an *isomorphism* of \mathbb{C} -vector spaces. We have seen that it is surjective, so we need to see that it is injective. That is, if V is a \mathbb{C} -vector space, then we need to show that the map

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Omega_{R/\mathbb{C}} \otimes_R \mathbb{C}, V) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2, V)$$

is surjective. This means that given any \mathbb{C} -linear map $\lambda : \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \rightarrow V$, we can extend this to a derivation $R \rightarrow V$ (where V becomes an R -module by $R/\mathfrak{m} \simeq \mathbb{C}$, as usual). But this is easy: given $f \in R$, we write $f = f_0 + c$ for $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and $f_0 \in \mathfrak{m}$, and have the derivation send f to $\lambda(f_0)$. (Checking that this is a well-defined derivation is straightforward.)

This goes through if \mathbb{C} is replaced by any algebraically closed field. We have found:

Proposition 2.13 *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be the localization of a finitely generated algebra over an algebraically closed field k at a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . Then there is a natural isomorphism:*

$$\Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R k \simeq \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2.$$

This result connects the Kähler differentials to the cotangent bundle: the fiber of the cotangent bundle at a point in a manifold is, similarly, the maximal ideal modulo its square (where the “maximal ideal” is the maximal ideal in the ring of germs of functions at that point).

2.5 Kähler differentials and base change

We now want to show that the formation of Ω is compatible with base change. Namely, let B be an A -algebra, visualized by a morphism $A \rightarrow B$. If $A \rightarrow A'$ is any morphism of rings, we can think of the *base-change* $A' \rightarrow A' \otimes_A B$; we often write $B' = A' \otimes_A B$.

Proposition 2.14 *With the above notation, there is a canonical isomorphism of B' -modules:*

$$\Omega_{B/A} \otimes_A A' \simeq \Omega_{B'/A'}.$$

Note that, for a B -module, the functors $\otimes_A A'$ and $\otimes_B B'$ are the same. So we could have as well written $\Omega_{B/A} \otimes_B B' \simeq \Omega_{B'/A'}$.

Proof. We will use the functorial approach. Namely, for a B' -module M , we will show that there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{B'}(\Omega_{B/A} \otimes_A A', M) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{B'}(\Omega_{B'/A'}, M).$$

The right side represents A' -derivations $B' \rightarrow M$, or $\mathrm{Der}_{A'}(B', M)$. The left side represents $\mathrm{Hom}_B(\Omega_{B/A}, M)$, or $\mathrm{Der}_A(B, M)$. Here the natural map of modules corresponds by Yoneda’s lemma to the restriction

$$\mathrm{Der}_{A'}(B', M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Der}_A(B, M).$$

We need to see that this restriction map is an isomorphism. But given an A -derivation $B \rightarrow M$, this is to say that extends in a *unique* way to an A' -linear derivation $B' \rightarrow M$. This is easy to verify directly. \blacktriangle

We next describe how Ω behaves with respect to forming tensor products.

Proposition 2.15 *Let B, B' be A -algebras. Then there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\Omega_{B \otimes_A B'/A} \simeq \Omega_{B/A} \otimes_A B' \oplus B \otimes_A \Omega_{B'/A}.$$

Since Ω is a linearization process, it is somewhat natural that it should turn tensor products into direct sums.

Proof. The “natural map” can be described in the leftward direction. For instance, there is a natural map $\Omega_{B/A} \otimes_A B' \rightarrow \Omega_{B \otimes_A B'/A}$. We just need to show that it is an isomorphism. For this, we essentially have to show that to give an A -derivation of $B \otimes_A B'$ is the same as giving a derivation of B and one of B' . This is easy to check. \blacktriangle

2.6 Differentials and localization

We now show that localization behaves *extremely* nicely with respect to the formation of Kähler differentials. This is important in algebraic geometry for knowing that the “cotangent bundle” can be defined locally.

Proposition 2.16 *Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a map of rings. Let $S \subset B$ be multiplicatively closed. Then the natural map*

$$S^{-1}\Omega_{B/A} \rightarrow \Omega_{S^{-1}B/A}$$

is an isomorphism.

So the formation of Kähler differentials commutes with localization.

Proof. We could prove this by the calculational definition, but perhaps it is better to prove it via the universal property. If M is any $S^{-1}B$ -module, then we can look at

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{S^{-1}B}(\Omega_{S^{-1}B/A}, M)$$

which is given by the group of A -linear derivations $S^{-1}B \rightarrow M$, by the universal property.

On the other hand,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{S^{-1}B}(S^{-1}\Omega_{B/A}, M)$$

is the same thing as the set of B -linear maps $\Omega_{B/A} \rightarrow M$, i.e. the set of A -linear derivations $B \rightarrow M$.

We want to show that these two are the same thing. Given an A -derivation $S^{-1}B \rightarrow M$, we get an A -derivation $B \rightarrow M$ by pulling back. We want to show that any A -linear derivation $B \rightarrow M$ arises in this way. So we need to show that any A -linear derivation $d : B \rightarrow M$ extends uniquely to an A -linear $\bar{d} : S^{-1}B \rightarrow M$. Here are two proofs:

1. (Lowbrow proof.) For $x/s \in S^{-1}B$, with $x \in B, s \in S$, we define $\bar{d}(x/s) = dx/s - xds/s^2$ as in calculus. The claim is that this works, and is the only thing that works. One should check this—**exercise**.
2. (Highbrow proof.) We start with a digression. Let B be a commutative ring, M a B -module. Consider $B \oplus M$, which is a B -module. We can make it into a ring (via **square zero multiplication**) by multiplying

$$(b, x)(b', x') = (bb', bx' + b'x).$$

This is compatible with the B -module structure on $M \subset B \oplus M$. Note that M is an ideal in this ring with square zero. Then the projection $\pi : B \oplus M \rightarrow B$ is a ring-homomorphism as well. There is also a ring-homomorphism in the other direction $b \rightarrow (b, 0)$, which is a section of π . There may be other homomorphisms $B \rightarrow B \oplus M$.

You might ask what all the right inverses to π are, i.e. ring-homomorphisms $\phi : B \rightarrow B \oplus M$ such that $\pi \circ \phi = 1_B$. This must be of the form $\phi : b \rightarrow (b, db)$ where $d : B \rightarrow M$ is some map. It is easy to check that ϕ is a homomorphism precisely when d is a derivation.

Suppose now $A \rightarrow B$ is a morphism of rings making B an A -algebra. Then $B \oplus M$ is an A -algebra via the inclusion $a \rightarrow (a, 0)$. Then you might ask when $\phi : b \rightarrow (b, db), B \rightarrow B \oplus M$ is an A -homomorphism. The answer is clear: when d is an A -derivation.

Recall that we were in the situation of $f : A \rightarrow B$ a morphism of rings, $S \subset B$ a multiplicatively closed subset, and M an $S^{-1}B$ -module. The claim was that any A -linear derivation

$d : B \rightarrow M$ extends uniquely to $\bar{d} : S^{-1}B \rightarrow M$. We can draw a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B \oplus M & \longrightarrow & S^{-1}B \oplus M \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow S^{-1}B \end{array}$$

This is a cartesian diagram. So given a section of A -algebras $B \rightarrow B \oplus M$, we have to construct a section of A -algebras $S^{-1}B \rightarrow S^{-1}B \oplus M$. We can do this by the universal property of localization, since S acts by invertible elements on $S^{-1}B \oplus M$. (To see this, note that S acts by invertible elements on $S^{-1}B$, and M is a nilpotent ideal.) \blacktriangle

Finally, we note that there is an even slicker argument. (We learned this from [Qui].) Namely, it suffices to show that $\Omega_{S^{-1}B/B} = 0$, by the exact sequences. But this is a $S^{-1}B$ -module, so we have

$$\Omega_{S^{-1}B/B} = \Omega_{S^{-1}B/B} \otimes_B S^{-1}B,$$

because tensoring with $S^{-1}B$ localizes at S , but this does nothing to a $S^{-1}B$ -module! By the base change formula (Proposition 2.14), we have

$$\Omega_{S^{-1}B/B} \otimes_B S^{-1}B = \Omega_{S^{-1}B/S^{-1}B} = 0,$$

where we again use the fact that $S^{-1}B \otimes_B S^{-1}B \simeq S^{-1}B$.

2.7 Another construction of $\Omega_{B/A}$

Let B be an A -algebra. We have constructed $\Omega_{B/A}$ by quotienting generators by relations. There is also a simple and elegant “global” construction one sometimes finds useful in generalizing the procedure to schemes.

Consider the algebra $B \otimes_A B$ and the map $B \otimes_A B \rightarrow B$ given by multiplication. Note that B acts on $B \otimes_A B$ by multiplication on the first factor: this is how the latter is a B -module, and then the multiplication map is a B -homomorphism. Let $I \subset B \otimes_A B$ be the kernel.

Proposition 2.17 *There is an isomorphism of B -modules*

$$\Omega_{B/A} \simeq I/I^2$$

given by the derivation $b \mapsto 1 \otimes b - b \otimes 1$, from B to I/I^2 .

Proof. It is clear that the maps

$$b \rightarrow 1 \otimes b, b \rightarrow b \otimes 1 : B \rightarrow B \otimes_A B$$

are A -linear, so their difference is too. The quotient $d : B \rightarrow I/I^2$ is thus A -linear too.

First, note that if $c, c' \in B$, then $1 \otimes c - c \otimes 1, 1 \otimes c' - c' \otimes 1 \in I$. Their product is thus zero in I/I^2 :

$$(1 \otimes c - c \otimes 1)(1 \otimes c' - c' \otimes 1) = 1 \otimes cc' + cc' \otimes 1 - c \otimes c' - c' \otimes c \in I^2.$$

Next we must check that $d : B \rightarrow I/I^2$ is a derivation. So fix $b, b' \in B$; we have

$$d(bb') = 1 \otimes bb' - bb' \otimes 1$$

and

$$bdb' = b(1 \otimes b' - b' \otimes 1), \quad b'db = b'(1 \otimes b - b \otimes 1).$$

The second relation shows that

$$bdb' + b'db = b \otimes b' - bb' \otimes 1 + b' \otimes b - bb' \otimes 1.$$

Modulo I^2 , we have as above $b \otimes b' + b' \otimes b \equiv 1 \otimes bb' + bb' \otimes 1$, so

$$bdb' + b'db \equiv 1 \otimes bb' - bb' \otimes 1 \pmod{I^2},$$

and this last is equal to $d(bb')$ by definition. So we have an A -linear derivation $d : B \rightarrow I/I^2$. It remains to be checked that this is *universal*. In particular, we must check that the induced

$$\phi : \Omega_{B/A} \rightarrow I/I^2$$

sending $db \rightarrow 1 \otimes b - b \otimes 1$ is an isomorphism. We can define the inverse $\psi : I/I^2 \rightarrow \Omega_{B/A}$ by sending $\sum b_i \otimes b'_i \in I$ to $\sum b_i db'_i$. This is clearly a B -module homomorphism, and it is well-defined mod I^2 .

It is clear that $\psi(\phi(db)) = db$ from the definitions, since this is

$$\psi(1 \otimes b - b \otimes 1) = 1(db) - bd1 = db,$$

as $d1 = 0$. So $\psi \circ \phi = 1_{\Omega_{B/A}}$. It follows that ϕ is injective. We will check now that it is surjective. Then we will be done.

Lemma 2.18 *Any element in I is a B -linear combination of elements of the form $1 \otimes b - b \otimes 1$.*

Every such element is the image of db under ϕ by definition of the derivation $B \rightarrow I/I^2$. So this lemma will complete the proof.

Proof. Let $Q = \sum c_i \otimes d_i \in I$. By assumption, $\sum c_i d_i = 0 \in B$. We have by this last identity

$$Q = \sum ((c_i \otimes d_i) - (c_i d_i \otimes 1)) = \sum c_i (1 \otimes d_i - d_i \otimes 1). \quad \blacktriangle$$

So Q is in the submodule spanned by the $\{1 \otimes b - b \otimes 1\}_{b \in B}$. \blacktriangle

§3 Introduction to smoothness

3.1 Kähler differentials for fields

Let us start with the simplest examples—fields.

Example 3.1 Let k be a field, k'/k an extension.

Question What does $\Omega_{k'/k}$ look like? When does it vanish?

$\Omega_{k'/k}$ is a k' -vector space.

Proposition 3.2 *Let k'/k be a separable algebraic extension of fields. Then $\Omega_{k'/k} = 0$.*

Proof. We will need a formal property of Kähler differentials that is easy to check, namely that they are compatible with filtered colimits. If $B = \varinjlim B_\alpha$ for A -algebras B_α , then there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\Omega_{B/A} \simeq \varinjlim \Omega_{B_\alpha/A}.$$

One can check this on generators and relations, for instance.

Given this, we can reduce to the case of k'/k finite and separable.

Remark Given a sequence of fields and morphisms $k \rightarrow k' \rightarrow k''$, then there is an exact sequence

$$\Omega_{k'/k} \otimes k'' \rightarrow \Omega_{k''/k} \rightarrow \Omega_{k''/k'} \rightarrow 0.$$

In particular, if $\Omega_{k'/k} = \Omega_{k''/k'} = 0$, then $\Omega_{k''/k} = 0$. This is a kind of dévissage argument.

Anyway, recall that we have a finite separable extension k'/k where $k' = k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.³ We will show that

$$\Omega_{k(x_1, \dots, x_i)/k(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})} = 0 \quad \forall i,$$

which will imply by the devissage argument that $\Omega_{k'/k} = 0$. In particular, we are reduced to showing the proposition when k' is generated over k by a *single element* x . Then we have that

$$k' \simeq k[X]/(f(X))$$

for $f(X)$ an irreducible polynomial. Set $I = (f(X))$. We have an exact sequence

$$I/I^2 \rightarrow \Omega_{k[X]/k} \otimes_{k[X]} k' \rightarrow \Omega_{k'/k} \rightarrow 0$$

The middle term is a copy of k' and the first term is isomorphic to $k[X]/I \simeq k'$. So there is an exact sequence

$$k' \rightarrow k' \rightarrow \Omega_{k'/k} \rightarrow 0.$$

The first term is, as we have computed, multiplication by $f'(x)$; however this is nonzero by separability. Thus we find that $\Omega_{k'/k} = 0$. ▲

Remark The above result is **not true** for inseparable extensions in general.

Example 3.3 Let k be an imperfect field of characteristic $p > 0$. There is $x \in k$ such that $x^{1/p} \notin k$, by definition. Let $k' = k(x^{1/p})$. As a ring, this looks like $k[t]/(t^p - x)$. In writing the exact sequence, we find that $\Omega_{k'/k} = k'$ as this is the cokernel of the map $k' \rightarrow k'$ given by multiplication $\frac{d}{dt}|_{x^{1/p}}(t^p - x)$. That polynomial has identically vanishing derivative, though. We find that a generator of $\Omega_{k'/k}$ is dt where t is a p th root of x , and $\Omega_{k'/k} \simeq k$.

Now let us consider transcendental extensions. Let $k' = k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a purely transcendental extension, i.e. the field of rational functions of x_1, \dots, x_n .

Proposition 3.4 *If $k' = k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, then $\Omega_{k'/k}$ is a free k' -module on the generators dx_i .*

This extends to an *infinitely generated* purely transcendental extension, because Kähler differentials commute with filtered colimits.

Proof. We already know this for the polynomial ring $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. However, the rational function field is just a localization of the polynomial ring at the zero ideal. So the result will follow from Proposition 2.16. ▲

We have shown that separable algebraic extensions have no Kähler differentials, but that purely transcendental extensions have a free module of rank equal to the transcendence degree.

We can deduce from this:

Corollary 3.5 *Let L/K be a field extension of fields of char 0. Then*

$$\dim_L \Omega_{L/K} = \text{trdeg}(L/K).$$

³We can take $n = 1$ by the primitive element theorem, but shall not need this.

Proof (Partial proof). Put the above two facts together. Choose a transcendence basis $\{x_\alpha\}$ for L/K . This means that L is algebraic over $K(\{x_\alpha\})$ and the $\{x_\alpha\}$ are algebraically independent. Moreover $L/K(\{x_\alpha\})$ is *separable* algebraic. Now let us use a few things about these cotangent complexes. There is an exact sequence:

$$\Omega_{K(\{x_\alpha\})} \otimes_{K(\{x_\alpha\})} L \rightarrow \Omega_{L/K} \rightarrow \Omega_{L/K(\{x_\alpha\})} \rightarrow 0$$

The last thing is zero, and we know what the first thing is; it's free on the dx_α . So we find that $\Omega_{L/K}$ is generated by the elements dx_α . If we knew that the dx_α were linearly independent, then we would be done. But we don't, yet. \blacktriangle

This is **not true** in characteristic p . If $L = K(\alpha^{1/p})$ for $\alpha \in K$ and $\alpha^{1/p} \notin K$, then $\Omega_{L/K} \neq 0$.

3.2 Regularity, smoothness, and Kähler differentials

From this, let us revisit a statement made last time. Let K be an algebraically closed field, let $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I$ and let $\mathfrak{m} \subset R$ be a maximal ideal. Recall that the Nullstellensatz implies that $R/\mathfrak{m} \simeq k$. We were studying

$$\Omega_{R/k}.$$

This is an R -module, so $\Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R k$ makes sense. There is a surjection

$$\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \rightarrow \Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R k \rightarrow 0,$$

that sends $x \rightarrow dx$.

Proposition 3.6 *This map is an isomorphism.*

Proof. We construct a map going the other way. Call the map $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \rightarrow \Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R k$ as ϕ . We want to construct

$$\psi : \Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R k \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2.$$

This is equivalent to giving an R -module map

$$\Omega_{R/k} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2,$$

that is a derivation $\partial : R \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$. This acts via $\partial(\lambda + x) = x$ for $\lambda \in k, x \in \mathfrak{m}$. Since $k + \mathfrak{m} = R$, this is indeed well-defined. We must check that ∂ is a derivation. That is, we have to compute $\partial((\lambda + x)(\lambda' + x'))$. But this is

$$\partial(\lambda\lambda' + (\lambda x' + \lambda' x) + xx').$$

The definition of ∂ is to ignore the constant term and look at the nonconstant term mod \mathfrak{m}^2 . So this becomes

$$\lambda x' + \lambda' x = (\partial(\lambda + x))(x' + \lambda') + (\partial(\lambda' + x'))(x + \lambda)$$

because $xx' \in \mathfrak{m}^2$, and because \mathfrak{m} acts trivially on $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$. Thus we get the map ψ in the inverse direction, and one checks that ϕ, ψ are inverses. This is because ϕ sends $x \rightarrow dx$ and ψ sends $dx \rightarrow x$. \blacktriangle

Corollary 3.7 *Let R be as before. Then $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is regular iff $\dim R_{\mathfrak{m}} = \dim_k \Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R R/\mathfrak{m}$.*

In particular, the modules of Kähler differentials detect regularity for certain rings.

Definition 3.8 Let R be a noetherian ring. We say that R is **regular** if $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is regular for every maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . (This actually implies that $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is regular for all primes \mathfrak{p} , though we are not ready to see this. It will follow from the fact that the localization of a regular local ring at a prime ideal is regular.)

Let $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I$ be an affine ring over an algebraically closed field k . Then:

Proposition 3.9 *TFAE:*

1. R is regular.
2. “ R is smooth over k ” (to be defined)
3. $\Omega_{R/k}$ is a projective module over R of rank $\dim R$.

A finitely generated projective module is locally free. So the last statement is that $(\Omega_{R/k})_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is free of rank $\dim R$ for each prime \mathfrak{p} .

Remark A projective module does not necessarily have a well-defined rank as an integer. For instance, if $R = R_1 \times R_2$ and $M = R_1 \times 0$, then M is a summand of R , hence is projective. But there are two candidates for what the rank should be. The problem is that $\text{Spec } R$ is disconnected into two pieces, and M is of rank one on one piece, and of rank zero on the other. But in this case, it does not happen.

Remark The smoothness condition states that locally on $\text{Spec } R$, we have an isomorphism with $k[y_1, \dots, y_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_m)$ with the gradients ∇f_i linearly independent. Equivalently, if $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is the localization of R at a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} , then $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a regular local ring, as we have seen.

Proof. We have already seen that 1 and 2 are equivalent. The new thing is that they are equivalent to 3. First, assume 1 (or 2). First, note that $\Omega_{R/k}$ is a finitely generated R -module; that’s a general observation:

Proposition 3.10 *If $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a map of rings that makes B a finitely generated A -algebra, then $\Omega_{B/A}$ is a finitely generated B -module.*

Proof. We’ve seen this is true for polynomial rings, and we can use the exact sequence. If B is a quotient of a polynomial ring, then $\Omega_{B/A}$ is a quotient of the Kähler differentials of the polynomial ring. ▲

Return to the main proof. In particular, $\Omega_{R/k}$ is projective if and only if $(\Omega_{R/k})_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is projective for every maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . According to the second assertion, we have that $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ looks like $(k[y_1, \dots, y_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_m))_{\mathfrak{n}}$ for some maximal ideal \mathfrak{n} , with the gradients ∇f_i linearly independent. Thus $(\Omega_{R/k})_{\mathfrak{m}} = \Omega_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}/k}$ looks like the cokernel of

$$R_{\mathfrak{m}}^m \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{m}}^n$$

where the map is multiplication by the Jacobian matrix $\left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial y_j}\right)$. By assumption this matrix has full rank. We see that there is a left inverse of the reduced map $k^m \rightarrow k^n$. We can lift this to a map $R_{\mathfrak{m}}^n \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{m}}^m$. Since this is a left inverse mod \mathfrak{m} , the composite is at least an isomorphism (looking at determinants). Anyway, we see that $\Omega_{R/k}$ is given by the cokernel of a map of free module that splits, hence is projective. The rank is $n - m = \dim R_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

Finally, let us prove that 3 implies 1. Suppose $\Omega_{R/k}$ is projective of rank $\dim R$. So this means that $\Omega_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}/k}$ is free of dimension $\dim R_{\mathfrak{m}}$. But this implies that $(\Omega_{R/k}) \otimes_R R/\mathfrak{m}$ is free of the appropriate rank, and that is—as we have seen already—the embedding dimension $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$. So if 3 holds, the embedding dimension equals the usual dimension, and we get regularity. ▲

Corollary 3.11 *Let $R = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\mathfrak{p}$ for \mathfrak{p} a prime. Then there is a nonzero $f \in R$ such that $R[f^{-1}]$ is regular.*

Geometrically, this says the following. $\text{Spec } R$ is some algebraic variety, and $\text{Spec } R[f^{-1}]$ is a Zariski open subset. What we are saying is that, in characteristic zero, any algebraic variety has a nonempty open smooth locus. The singular locus is always smaller than the entire variety.

Proof. $\Omega_{R/\mathbb{C}}$ is a finitely generated R -module. Let $K(R)$ be the fraction field of R . Now

$$\Omega_{R/\mathbb{C}} \otimes_R K(R) = \Omega_{K(R)/\mathbb{C}}$$

is a finite $K(R)$ -vector space. The dimension is $\text{trdeg}(K(R)/\mathbb{C})$. That is also $d = \dim R$, as we have seen. Choose elements $x_1, \dots, x_d \in \Omega_{R/\mathbb{C}}$ which form a basis for $\Omega_{K(R)/\mathbb{C}}$. There is a map

$$R^d \rightarrow \Omega_{R/\mathbb{C}}$$

which is an isomorphism after localization at (0) . This implies that there is $f \in R$ such that the map is an isomorphism after localization at f .⁴ We find that $\Omega_{R[f^{-1}]/\mathbb{C}}$ is free of rank d for some f , which is what we wanted. \blacktriangle

This argument works over any algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, or really any field of characteristic zero.

Remark (Warning) Over imperfect fields in characteristic p , two things can happen:

1. Varieties need not be generically smooth
2. $\Omega_{R/k}$ can be projective with the wrong rank

(Nothing goes wrong for **algebraically closed fields** of characteristic p .)

Example 3.12 Here is a silly example. Say $R = k[y]/(y^p - x)$ where $x \in K$ has no p th root. We know that $\Omega_{R/k}$ is free of rank one. However, the rank is wrong: the variety has dimension zero.

Last time, we were trying to show that $\Omega_{L/K}$ is free on a transcendence basis if L/K is an extension in characteristic zero. So we had a tower of fields

$$K \rightarrow K' \rightarrow L,$$

where L/K' was separable algebraic. We claim in this case that

$$\Omega_{L/K} \simeq \Omega_{K'/K} \otimes_{K'} L.$$

This will prove the result. But we had not done this yesterday.

Proof. This doesn't follow directly from the previous calculations. Without loss of generality, L is finite over K' , and in particular, $L = K'[x]/(f(x))$ for f separable. The claim is that

$$\Omega_{L/K} \simeq (\Omega_{K'/K} \otimes_{K'} L \oplus K' dx) / f'(x) dx + \dots$$

When we kill the vector $f'(x) dx + \dots$, we kill the second component. \blacktriangle

⁴There is an inverse defined over the fraction field, so it is defined over some localization.

CRing Project contents

I	Fundamentals	1
0	Categories	3
1	Foundations	37
2	Fields and Extensions	71
3	Three important functors	93
II	Commutative algebra	131
4	The Spec of a ring	133
5	Noetherian rings and modules	157
6	Graded and filtered rings	183
7	Integrality and valuation rings	201
8	Unique factorization and the class group	233
9	Dedekind domains	249
10	Dimension theory	265
11	Completions	293
12	Regularity, differentials, and smoothness	313
III	Topics	337
13	Various topics	339
14	Homological Algebra	353
15	Flatness revisited	369
16	Homological theory of local rings	395

17	Étale, unramified, and smooth morphisms	425
18	Complete local rings	459
19	Homotopical algebra	461
20	GNU Free Documentation License	469

CRing Project bibliography

- [AM69] M. F. Atiyah and I. G. Macdonald. *Introduction to commutative algebra*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.-London-Don Mills, Ont., 1969.
- [BBD82] A. A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein, and P. Deligne. Faisceaux pervers. In *Analysis and topology on singular spaces, I (Luminy, 1981)*, volume 100 of *Astérisque*, pages 5–171. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1982.
- [Bou98] Nicolas Bourbaki. *Commutative algebra. Chapters 1–7*. Elements of Mathematics (Berlin). Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998. Translated from the French, Reprint of the 1989 English translation.
- [Cam88] Oscar Campoli. A principal ideal domain that is not a euclidean domain. *American Mathematical Monthly*, 95(9):868–871, 1988.
- [CF86] J. W. S. Cassels and A. Fröhlich, editors. *Algebraic number theory*, London, 1986. Academic Press Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers]. Reprint of the 1967 original.
- [Cla11] Pete L. Clark. Factorization in euclidean domains. 2011. Available at <http://math.uga.edu/~pete/factorization2010.pdf>.
- [dJea10] Aise Johan de Jong et al. *Stacks Project*. Open source project, available at http://www.math.columbia.edu/algebraic_geometry/stacks-git/, 2010.
- [Eis95] David Eisenbud. *Commutative algebra*, volume 150 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995. With a view toward algebraic geometry.
- [For91] Otto Forster. *Lectures on Riemann surfaces*, volume 81 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991. Translated from the 1977 German original by Bruce Gilligan, Reprint of the 1981 English translation.
- [GD] Alexander Grothendieck and Jean Dieudonné. *Éléments de géométrie algébrique*. Publications Mathématiques de l’IHÉS.
- [Ger] Anton Geraschenko (mathoverflow.net/users/1). Is there an example of a formally smooth morphism which is not smooth? MathOverflow. <http://mathoverflow.net/questions/200> (version: 2009-10-08).
- [Gil70] Robert Gilmer. An existence theorem for non-Noetherian rings. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 77(6):621–623, 1970.
- [Gre97] John Greene. Principal ideal domains are almost euclidean. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 104(2):154–156, 1997.
- [Gro57] Alexander Grothendieck. Sur quelques points d’algèbre homologique. *Tôhoku Math. J. (2)*, 9:119–221, 1957.

- [Har77] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52.
- [Hat02] Allen Hatcher. *Algebraic topology*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002. Available at <http://www.math.cornell.edu/~hatcher/AT/AT.pdf>.
- [Hov07] Mark Hovey. *Model Categories*. American Mathematical Society, 2007.
- [KS06] Masaki Kashiwara and Pierre Schapira. *Categories and sheaves*, volume 332 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.
- [Lan94] Serge Lang. *Algebraic number theory*, volume 110 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1994.
- [Lan02] Serge Lang. *Algebra*, volume 211 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, third edition, 2002.
- [Liu02] Qing Liu. *Algebraic geometry and arithmetic curves*, volume 6 of *Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002. Translated from the French by Reinie Ern e, Oxford Science Publications.
- [LR08] T. Y. Lam and Manuel L. Reyes. A prime ideal principle in commutative algebra. *J. Algebra*, 319(7):3006–3027, 2008.
- [Mar02] David Marker. *Model theory*, volume 217 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002. An introduction.
- [Mat80] Hideyuki Matsumura. *Commutative algebra*, volume 56 of *Mathematics Lecture Note Series*. Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Co., Inc., Reading, Mass., second edition, 1980.
- [McC76] John McCabe. A note on Zariski’s lemma. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 83(7):560–561, 1976.
- [Mil80] James S. Milne. * tale cohomology*, volume 33 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1980.
- [ML98] Saunders Mac Lane. *Categories for the working mathematician*, volume 5 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1998.
- [Per04] Herv e Perdry. An elementary proof of Krull’s intersection theorem. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 111(4):356–357, 2004.
- [Qui] Daniel Quillen. Homology of commutative rings. Mimeographed notes.
- [Ray70] Michel Raynaud. *Anneaux locaux hens茅liens*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 169. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970.
- [RG71] Michel Raynaud and Laurent Gruson. Crit eres de platitude et de projectivit e. Techniques de “platification” d’un module. *Invent. Math.*, 13:1–89, 1971.
- [Ser65] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Alg ebre locale. Multiplicit es*, volume 11 of *Cours au Coll ege de France, 1957–1958, r edig e par Pierre Gabriel. Seconde  dition, 1965. Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1965.
- [Ser79] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Local fields*, volume 67 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979. Translated from the French by Marvin Jay Greenberg.

- [Ser09] Jean-Pierre Serre. How to use finite fields for problems concerning infinite fields. 2009. arXiv:0903.0517v2.
- [SGA72] *Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas. Tome 1: Théorie des topos*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 269. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1963–1964 (SGA 4), Dirigé par M. Artin, A. Grothendieck, et J. L. Verdier. Avec la collaboration de N. Bourbaki, P. Deligne et B. Saint-Donat.
- [SGA03] *Revêtements étales et groupe fondamental (SGA 1)*. Documents Mathématiques (Paris) [Mathematical Documents (Paris)], 3. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2003. Séminaire de géométrie algébrique du Bois Marie 1960–61. [Algebraic Geometry Seminar of Bois Marie 1960-61], Directed by A. Grothendieck, With two papers by M. Raynaud, Updated and annotated reprint of the 1971 original [Lecture Notes in Math., 224, Springer, Berlin; MR0354651 (50 #7129)].
- [Tam94] Günter Tamme. *Introduction to étale cohomology*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994. Translated from the German by Manfred Kolster.
- [Vis08] Angelo Vistoli. Notes on Grothendieck topologies, fibered categories, and descent theory. *Published in FGA Explained*, 2008. arXiv:math/0412512v4.
- [Was97] Lawrence C. Washington. *Introduction to cyclotomic fields*, volume 83 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1997.
- [Wei94] Charles A. Weibel. *An introduction to homological algebra*, volume 38 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.